

Phase Space Analysis on some Black Hole Manifolds

P. Blue, A. Soffer

February 2, 2008

Abstract

The Schwarzschild and Reissner-Nordström solutions to Einstein's equations describe space- times which contain spherically symmetric black holes. We consider solutions to the linear wave equation in the exterior of a fixed black hole space- time of this type. We show that for solutions with initial data which decay at infinity and at the bifurcation sphere, a weighted L^6 norm in space decays like $t^{-\frac{1}{3}}$. This weight vanishes at the event horizon, but not at infinite.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
1.1	Structure of the paper	4
2	The wave equation on the Reissner-Nordström space	4
2.1	The Reissner-Nordström solution	4
2.2	The wave equation	6
3	Methods for pointwise decay	7
3.1	Densities, energy conservation, and the conformal charge	8
3.2	Sobolev estimates	10
3.3	Local support of the trapping terms	14
4	Relativistic considerations on the event horizon	17
4.1	Decay on the bifurcation sphere	17
5	The Heisenberg-type relation	19
6	Morawetz Estimates	21
6.1	Preliminary bounds	21
6.2	Computation of Morawetz commutators	22
6.3	L^2 local decay estimate	26
7	Angular Modulation	28
7.1	Angular Modulation and Initial Estimates	29
7.2	Direct Angular Momentum Bounds	29
8	Phase Space Analysis	34
8.1	Phase Space Variables, Localisation, and Multipliers	34
8.2	Commutator Expansions	37
8.3	Phase Space Estimates	41
8.4	Derivative Bounds	49
8.5	Phase Space Induction	54

1 Introduction

Black holes are very important objects in Relativity, but very little is known about their dynamics and interaction. Even the question of stability under small perturbations is a challenging, open problem. Any solution to such questions will require an understanding of the interaction between black holes and gravitational radiation. The structure of the vacuum Einstein equations, which govern these dynamics in the absence of other matter, make it impossible to consider only radial perturbations, so that more general perturbations must be considered immediately. We hope that the study of linear, uncoupled waves outside the black hole will help provide an understanding of these problems.

Even the stability of the empty space was a very difficult problem. It was solved originally by Christodoulou and Klainerman [7], and Lindblad and Rodnianski have since developed a simpler proof [23]. Decay estimates for solutions of non linear wave equations played an important role in both proofs.

In Relativity, the structure of space- time is determined by a Lorentzian pseudo- metric, g , which, in the absence of matter, satisfies the Einstein equations,

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}Rg_{\mu\nu} = 0. \quad (1.1)$$

The Schwarzschild and Reissner-Nordström solutions are singular solutions to the Einstein equations which describe space- times containing a spherically symmetric black hole. The Schwarzschild solution is a special case of the Reissner-Nordström solutions. We will restrict our attention to the exterior region, outside the black hole. We discuss the geometry of the exterior region further in subsection 2.1. Because the structure of the Einstein equations makes it impossible for these to have spherically symmetric perturbations, it is expected that the study of black- hole stability will require analysis of the more general, non spherically symmetric, rotating, Kerr-Newman black holes. The linearisation of the Einstein equations around the Kerr solution has been shown to have no unstable modes[34].

In 1957, Regge and Wheeler investigated the linear stability of the Schwarzschild black- hole, and were able to reduce the problem to the study of a second order, scalar equation[27]. After appropriate transformations, this equation and the geometrically defined, scalar wave equation differ only by a multiple of the potential term appearing in each. Using these transformations, the exterior region of the black- hole can be decomposed into the product of time and a three dimensional space.

Because of the intrinsic interest in the geometric wave equation and because of its possible applications to the study of black hole stability, we consider the wave equation

$$\square \tilde{u} = 0, \quad (1.2)$$

$$\tilde{u}(1) = \tilde{u}_0, \quad (1.3)$$

$$\dot{\tilde{u}}(1) = \tilde{u}_1. \quad (1.4)$$

For this equation, we prove the following result.

Theorem 8.21. *If $\tilde{u}$ is a solution to the wave equation (2.21) , and $u = r\tilde{u}$,*

$$\|F^{\frac{1}{2}}\tilde{u}\|_{L^6(\mathfrak{M})} \leq t^{-\frac{1}{3}}C(\|u_0\|_2^2 + E[u_0, u_1] + E_C[u_0, u_1] + \|L^\epsilon u\|^2 + E[L^\epsilon u_0, L^\epsilon u_1])^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (1.5)$$

$$\|(\rho_*^2 + 1)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\tilde{u}\|_{L^2(\mathfrak{M})} \leq t^{-\frac{1}{2}}C(\|u_0\|_2^2 + E[u_0, u_1] + E_C[u_0, u_1] + \|L^\epsilon u\|^2 + E[L^\epsilon u_0, L^\epsilon u_1])^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (1.6)$$

where $E[v, w]$ and $E_C[v, w]$ are the energy and conformal charge which are defined in section 3, ρ_* is the Regge-Wheeler radial co-ordinate defined in section 2, and L is an angular derivative operator defined in section 7. The measures are defined in section 2.

Previously, we were able to apply our techniques to prove results for both the wave equation on the Schwarzschild manifold and the Regge-Wheeler equation, and expect the same to be true here[4, 5].

This decay rate is slower than the rate found in Euclidean space, which is $t^{-\frac{2}{3}}$ [18]. This is consistent with current results for other equations on the Schwarzschild solution, which only prove slower rates of decay than those found in $\mathbb{R}^{3+1}$. The L^∞ norm has been shown to decay like $t^{-\frac{5}{6}}$ for both the massive Dirac equation [17] and the massive Klein- Gordon equation [21]. For the Schrödinger equation, the L^∞ has been shown to

decay like $t^{-\frac{1}{4}+\epsilon}$ [22]. All these results hold only for radial initial data, or data which is the sum of finitely many spherical harmonics.

The rigorous study of scattering for linear waves on black hole backgrounds was begun by Dimock and Kay who proved existence and completeness of wave operators for the linear wave equation [13] and the Klein-Gordon equation [14]. Asymptotic completeness and global existence for the cubic semi linear wave equation have been proven for a wide class of black hole manifolds, including the Kerr- Newman solutions [1, 2, 26]. DeBièvre, Hislop, and Sigal have proven asymptotic completeness on a large class of non compact manifolds, including the Reissner-Nordström manifolds [11]. However, none of these methods give decay estimates even in the linear case. For sufficiently super critical Reissner-Nordström black holes, Strichartz estimates have also been proven [6]. Little is known about fields coupled to the Einstein equations, but for a spherically symmetric, scalar field, Dafermos and Rodnianski have shown the field decays along the event horizon according to an inverse cubic, Price law [9]. They also proved a weaker rate of decay for decoupled, radial, semi linear wave equations in the exterior of Reissner-Nordström solutions [10].

We start by introducing an analogue of the conformal charge used by Ginibre and Velo [18]. However, we are prevented from completing the argument which holds for the wave equation in Euclidean space by the presence of a closed geodesic surface in the three dimensional space which describes the exterior region of the black hole. The absence of such geodesics is a non- trapping condition which is commonly imposed in scattering theory. The presence of this geodesic surface and the gravitational lensing they cause is already known [8, 32]. This surface is called the photon sphere.

To overcome this obstacle, it is sufficient to prove estimates on the angular derivative of the solution in the region near the closed geodesics. We prove:

Theorem 8.20. *If $\varepsilon > 0$, then if $\tilde{u}$ is a solution to the wave equation (2.21) and $u = r\tilde{u}$*

$$\int_1^\infty \|L^{1-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}\chi_\alpha u\|^2 dt < C(\|u_0\|_{L^2}^2 + E[u_0, u_1]), \quad (1.7)$$

where L acts like one angular derivative and χ_α is a function with compact support near the closed geodesics.

This is sufficient to prove theorem 8.21. The loss of L^ε is responsible for the additional factors of L^ε appearing in theorem 8.21.

Estimates on the angular derivative have already been used to prove Strichartz and point wise in time L^p estimates in Euclidean space [31, 24] and on non-trapping manifolds [19].

Our method is to introduce an analogue for the wave equation of the Heisenberg equation for the Schrödinger equation. There is a self-adjoint operator H which determines the time evolution of the solution. We refer to this operator as the Hamiltonian. Other self-adjoint operators are referred to as observables, and the analogue of the Heisenberg identity relates the time derivative of a particular inner product involving the observable to the expectation value of the commutator between the Hamiltonian and the observable. For the Schrödinger equation, the expectation value of the observable is differentiated in time, but for the wave equation, the analogue is a more complicated inner product involving both a solution and its time derivative. For an operator to be a propagation observable, we require a few other conditions.

Our first propagation observable is a radial derivative operator directed away from the geodesic surface. This is used to prove a smoothed Morawetz estimate, which is like theorem 8.20, but with zero powers of the angular derivative and a non compactly supported, but decaying, localisation function. A function, g , of the radial variable, denoted ρ_* , is used to direct this operator away from the geodesics.

Following this, we define the positive operator L by

$$L^2 = 1 - \Delta_{S^2}, \quad (1.8)$$

where Δ_{S^2} is the Laplace- Beltrami operator on the sphere, which acts as the derivative in the angular directions. By rescaling the argument of g by powers of L , we generate a new propagation observable, which we use to prove theorem 8.20 with $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}$. We call this rescaling by L angular modulation.

To prove the result for all positive ε , we use phase space analysis. We introduce the phase space variables, which are defined in terms of the original radial co-ordinate, ρ_* , and radial derivative, $\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*}$.

$$\mathbf{x}_m = L^m \rho_*, \quad (1.9)$$

$$\boldsymbol{\xi}_n = L^{n-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*}. \quad (1.10)$$

We localise a propagation observable in phase space by multiplying by a compactly supported function of the phase space variable, $X(\mathbf{x}_m)$ and $\Phi(\xi_n)$. We also localise with functions which are not compactly supported but which decay away from a certain region. By both rescaling the argument of g and localising in ξ_n , we can control powers of L in various regions of phase space. However, these estimates require control of different powers of L in other regions of phase space. In a process of phase space induction, we are able to combine the estimates across all regions, and use the estimate in one region to control the remainder terms in another. This is sufficient to prove theorems 8.20 and 8.21.

1.1 Structure of the paper

Section 2 introduces the wave equation on the Reissner-Nordström solution, some common notation and transformations to simplify the equation, and discusses important regions of the geometry, including the photon sphere. Section 3 introduces the energy, conformal charge, and a Sobolev estimate. In that section, we prove pointwise in time L^p estimates can be reduced to bounding weighted space-time integrals of solutions and their angular derivatives. Section 4 translates some of our conditions into terminology commonly used by Physicists. In particular, we show that the finite L^2 , energy, and conformal charge conditions, require that initial data, but not its derivatives, vanish at the bifurcation sphere. In fact, functions which grow like an arbitrarily small power of the distance from the bifurcation sphere are permitted.

The remainder of the paper deals with proving weighted space-time integral bounds. Section 5 provides the basic propagation observable framework, using a commutator formalism analogous to the Heisenberg equation from quantum mechanics. Section 6 introduces a propagation observable γ which majorates a decaying weight. This is analogous to a smoothed Morawetz estimate. This is sufficient to control the weighted space-time integral needed in the proof of the L^p estimates. The section concludes with an L^p estimate for radial data and with a similar result for non-radial data, which reduces the problem to one of controlling space-time integrals of one angular derivative in L^2 . This localisation is in an arbitrarily small neighbourhood of the photon sphere. Section 7 uses a new technique of angular modulation to control $\frac{3}{4}$ angular derivatives in L^2 in the desired region. The method is to rescale the previous propagation observable by fractional powers of the angular derivative operator.

In the final section, section 8, we introduce a family of propagation observables to control $1 - \epsilon$ angular derivatives. The propagation observables are like the one from the angular modulation argument, but are also localised in both the radial variable and radial derivative. We refer to this as phase space analysis. Subsection 8.1 introduces these observables and rescaled versions of the radial variable and radial derivative, which we call the phase space variables. Subsection 8.2 provides a commutator theorem which allows us to rearrange localisations in the non commuting phase space variables. It also provides lemmas which cover particularly common cases. Subsection 8.3 begins the argument by using the commutators to bound fractional powers of the angular derivative. These estimates have decaying weights in the rescaled radial variable and derivative. Subsection 8.4 removes the weights in the rescaled radial variable, leaving estimates localised in the radial derivatives only. All these estimates involve remainder terms which include lower powers of the angular derivative, but not localised in the same region. Subsection 8.5 combines the results inductively to control $1 - \epsilon$ angular derivatives without phase space localisation. It concludes with an L^p estimate with ϵ loss of angular derivatives in the energy.

Through out this paper, the notation C is used to denote constants which may vary from equation to equation. Indices are used to separate different constants within a line of an equation and to refer to constants later in a proof.

2 The wave equation on the Reissner-Nordström space

2.1 The Reissner-Nordström solution

The Reissner-Nordström solution to the Einstein equations represents the space-time outside a spherically symmetric, charged, massive body. It is the unique spherically symmetric, static¹, asymptotically flat solution to the vacuum Einstein-Maxwell equations, which govern the structure of space-time in the presence of

¹The static condition is redundant since spherically symmetric, vacuum solutions are necessarily static.

gravity and electro- magnetic fields. This solution can be represented in terms of the co-ordinates (t, r, θ, ϕ) by the Lorentzian pseudo-metric:

$$ds^2 = F dt^2 - F^{-1} dr^2 - r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2(\theta)d\phi^2), \quad (2.1)$$

$$F = 1 - \frac{2M}{r} + \frac{Q^2}{r^2}, \quad (2.2)$$

where $M \geq 0$ is the central mass and $Q \in \mathbb{R}$ is the central charge, both as measured by observers at infinity.

The polynomial $r^2 F$ has two roots at

$$r_{\pm} = M \pm \sqrt{M^2 - Q^2}. \quad (2.3)$$

In the sub critical case, $0 < |Q| < M$, these roots determine the location of two event horizons which permit geodesics and energy to cross only in the inward direction, towards decreasing r , and not in the outward direction. In the critical case, $|Q| = M$, there is a single event horizon, which has the same property. In the super critical case, $|Q| > M$, the roots are complex, there are no event horizons to prevent geodesics which start at the singularity $r = 0$ from being extended to $r = \infty$, and the central singularity is called a naked singularity. We will not study the super critical case. In the non super critical cases, the effects of the event horizon and the structure of the interior region are complicated and have been studied extensively [15, 33]. The interior region is called a black hole since anything, including a light ray, may fall in but can not escape.

We will restrict our attention to the exterior region of the non super critical solution,

$$t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad r > r_+ = M + \sqrt{M^2 - Q^2}, \quad (\theta, \phi) \in S^2. \quad (2.4)$$

The famous Schwarzschild solution corresponds to the chargeless case, $Q = 0$,

$$ds^2 = F dt^2 - F^{-1} dr^2 - r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2(\theta)d\phi^2), \quad (2.5)$$

$$F = 1 - \frac{2M}{r}, \quad (2.6)$$

$$t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad r > r_+ = 2M, \quad (\theta, \phi) \in S^2 \quad (2.7)$$

The Schwarzschild solution, $Q = 0$, has only one event horizon at $r = 2M$, because the second root, r_- coincides with the central singularity $r = 0$. In the exterior region, the Schwarzschild solution is representative of all the sub critical solutions. The critical solution is similar; however, F vanishes quadratically instead of linearly towards the horizon, and this affects the rate of decay of other quantities.

In studying the exterior region of the Reissner-Nordström or Schwarzschild solutions it is common to introduce a new radial co-ordinate, the Regge-Wheeler tortoise co-ordinate, r_* , defined by

$$\frac{dr}{dr_*} = F. \quad (2.8)$$

This co-ordinate extends from $-\infty$ to ∞ and has the effect of “pushing the horizon to negative infinity”. The original radial co-ordinate, r , is now treated as a function of r_* . The exterior region of the Reissner-Nordström solution is now represented by

$$ds^2 = F dt^2 - F dr_*^2 - r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2(\theta)d\phi^2), \quad (2.9)$$

$$F = 1 - \frac{2M}{r} + \frac{Q^2}{r^2}, \quad (2.10)$$

$$t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad r_* \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (\theta, \phi) \in S^2 \quad (2.11)$$

In the Schwarzschild case, r_* can be expressed simply in terms of r and M and has simple asymptotic behaviour.

$$r_* = r + 2M \log \left(\frac{r - 2M}{M} \right) + C_*, \quad (2.12)$$

$$\lim_{r_* \rightarrow \infty} r_*^{-1} r = 1, \quad (2.13)$$

$$\lim_{r_* \rightarrow -\infty} r_*^{-1} \log \left(\frac{r - 2M}{M} \right) = \frac{1}{2M}. \quad (2.14)$$

In the literature, C_* is commonly taken, for simplicity, to be $-2M \log(2)$ [33, 25] or $-2M \log(M/2)$ [15]. In equation (2.32), we will use a particular choice of C_* , coming from the geometry of the Reissner-Nordström solution.

In the general sub critical case, $|Q| < M$, the expression for r_* is slightly more complicated, but the asymptotic are the same.

$$r_* = r + \frac{r_+^2}{r_+ - r_-} \ln\left(\frac{r - r_+}{M}\right) - \frac{r_-^2}{r_+ - r_-} \ln\left(\frac{r - r_-}{M}\right) + C_*, \quad (2.15)$$

$$\lim_{r_* \rightarrow \infty} r_*^{-1} r = 1, \quad (2.16)$$

$$\lim_{r_* \rightarrow -\infty} r_*^{-1} \ln\left(\frac{r - r_+}{M}\right) = \frac{r_+ - r_-}{r_+^2}. \quad (2.17)$$

In the critical case, $|Q| = M$, the expression for r_* and the asymptotics are inverse linear, instead of logarithmic, towards the event horizon.

$$r_* = r + 2M \ln\left(\frac{r - M}{M}\right) - \frac{M^2}{r - M} + C_*, \quad (2.18)$$

$$\lim_{r_* \rightarrow \infty} r_*^{-1} r = 1, \quad (2.19)$$

$$\lim_{r_* \rightarrow -\infty} r_*(r - M) = -M^2. \quad (2.20)$$

2.2 The wave equation

We wish to study the linear wave equation,

$$\square_{\text{RN}} \tilde{u} = 0, \quad \tilde{u}(1) = \tilde{u}_0, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \tilde{u}(1) = \tilde{u}_1, \quad (2.21)$$

in the exterior region of the Reissner-Nordström solution. In terms of the tortoise co-ordinate the d'Alembertian is

$$\square_{\text{RN}} = F^{-1} \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - r^{-2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_*} r^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial r_*} \right) - r^{-2} \Delta_{S^2}, \quad (2.22)$$

where Δ_{S^2} is the Laplace-Beltrami operator on the sphere. The substitution

$$u = r \tilde{u} \quad (2.23)$$

simplifies the wave equation to

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} u + H u = 0, \quad u(1) = u_0, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u(1) = u_1, \quad (2.24)$$

where the operator H is composed of the following terms

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^3 H_i, \quad (2.25)$$

$$H_1 = -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r_*^2}, \quad (2.26)$$

$$H_2 = V(r) = \frac{1}{r} F \frac{dF}{dr}, \quad (2.27)$$

$$H_3 = V_L(r) (-\Delta_{S^2}), \quad (2.28)$$

$$V_L(r) = \frac{1}{r^2} F. \quad (2.29)$$

We refer to V as the potential and V_L as the angular potential. In the exterior region, $r > r_+$, the angular potential has a single critical point at (in terms of the r variable) ²

$$\alpha = \frac{3M + \sqrt{9M^2 - 8Q^2}}{2} \quad (2.30)$$

The critical point, α , is extremely important. Geodesics at $r = \alpha$ and tangent to this surface will remain on the surface forever, and geodesics which approach this surface almost tangentially can approach the surface as $t \rightarrow \infty$ or orbit the black hole arbitrarily many times before escaping to $r_* \rightarrow \pm\infty$ [8, 32]. This geodesic surface at $r = \alpha$ is sometimes called the photon sphere. The region near $r = \alpha$ will also be the most difficult in which to prove decay of solution to the wave equation. This region only presents a problem for non-radial data.

The value of r_* corresponding to $r = \alpha$ will be denoted α_* , and we will introduce the new radial coordinate

$$\rho_* = r_* - \alpha_* \quad (2.31)$$

This corresponds to taking $r_* = \rho_*$ with the integration constant C_* in equation (2.12), (2.15), or (2.18) chosen so that

$$\alpha_* = 0. \quad (2.32)$$

For this reason, $\frac{\partial}{\partial r_*} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*}$, etc.

For simplicity, we typically use the measure

$$d^3\mu = dr_* d^2\mu_{S^2}. \quad (2.33)$$

The space $\mathfrak{M}$ refers to $\mathbb{R} \times S^2$ with the measure $d^3\mu$. This defines $L^2(\mathfrak{M})$ and, more generally, $L^p(\mathfrak{M})$ for any $p \geq 1$. Unless otherwise specified all norms and inner products are with respect to $L^2(\mathfrak{M})$.

The function space $H^1(\mathfrak{M})$ is the collection of functions for which u' and $V_L^{\frac{1}{2}} \nabla_{S^2}^2 u$ are in $L^2(\mathfrak{M})$. This space is not particularly useful, and we typically consider functions with finite energy or conformal charge, as defined in section 3.

When dealing with the original function, $\tilde{u}$, we also use the measure

$$d^3\tilde{\mu} = r^2 dr_* d^2\mu_{S^2}, \quad (2.34)$$

and use $L^2(\tilde{\mathfrak{M}})$ to refer to $\mathbb{R} \times S^2$ with this measure.

The two L^2 spaces coincide, in the sense that

$$\|u\|_{L^2(\mathfrak{M})} = \|\tilde{u}\|_{L^2(\tilde{\mathfrak{M}})} \quad (2.35)$$

The Schwartz space, $\mathbb{S}$, refers to functions which are infinitely differentiable and for which, for a given $v \in \mathbb{S}$,

$$\forall i, j, k \in \mathbb{Z}^+, \exists C_{i,j,k} |\rho_*^i \frac{\partial^j}{\partial \rho_*^j} \nabla_{S^2}^k v| < C_{i,j,k} \quad (2.36)$$

The Fourier transform on $\mathbb{R} \times S^2$ is defined by first making a spherical harmonic decomposition in the angular variable, and then applying the one dimensional Fourier transform on each spherical harmonic.

3 Methods for pointwise decay

In Minkowski space, $\mathbb{R}^{3+1}$, there is a conformal charge which is conserved and which dominates $t^2 \|r^{-1} \nabla_{S^2} u\|^2$. Using a Sobolev estimate, the decay of the angular component of the $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ norm implies decay of the $L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)$ norm [18]. We introduce an analogous conformal charge for the Reissner-Nordström solution. The growth of this charge is dominated by the sum of localised space-time integrals of u and its angular derivative. Using a Sobolev estimate and the conformal charge, we reduce the proof of a pointwise in time $L^p(\mathfrak{M})$ estimate to bounding localised space-time integrals of a solution and its angular derivative.

²For the critical Reissner-Nordström solution, the angular potential has a second critical point at the event horizon $r = M$.

3.1 Densities, energy conservation, and the conformal charge

There are many formalisms for studying wave equations. In this subsection, we will introduce various densities and show that they satisfy differential relations. Integrating these relations will show that the energy is conserved and give an identity for the time derivative of the conformal charge.

Definition 3.1. *Given a pair of functions, $(v, w) \in \mathbb{S} \times \mathbb{S}$, the energy, radial momentum, and angular momentum densities are defined respectively by*

$$e[v, w] = \frac{1}{2}(w^2 + v'^2 + Vv^2 + V_L \nabla_{S^2} v \cdot \nabla_{S^2} v), \quad (3.1)$$

$$p_{\rho_*}[v, w] = wv', \quad (3.2)$$

$$\vec{p}_\omega[v, w] = w \nabla_{S^2} v. \quad (3.3)$$

The energy is defined to be

$$E[v, w] = \int e[v, w] d^3\mu \quad (3.4)$$

These densities satisfy the following differential relations.

Lemma 3.2. *If $u \in \mathbb{S}$ is a solution to the wave equation, $\ddot{u} + Hu = 0$, then the following relations hold*

$$0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e[u(t), \dot{u}(t)] - \partial_{\rho_*} p_{\rho_*}[u(t), \dot{u}(t)] - \nabla_{S^2} \cdot (V_L \vec{p}_\omega[u(t), \dot{u}(t)]), \quad (3.5)$$

$$0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} p_{\rho_*}[u(t), \dot{u}(t)] - \partial_{\rho_*} \frac{1}{2} (\dot{u}(t) \dot{u}(t) + u'(t) u'(t) - u(t) V u(t) - \nabla_{S^2} u(t) \cdot V_L \nabla_{S^2} u(t)) - \nabla_{S^2} \cdot (u'(t) V_L \nabla_{S^2} u(t)) \quad (3.6)$$

$$- \frac{1}{2} u(t) V' u(t) - \frac{1}{2} \nabla_{S^2} u \cdot V_L' \nabla_{S^2} u, \quad (3.7)$$

and the energy is conserved

$$\frac{d}{dt} E[u(t), \dot{u}(t)] = 0. \quad (3.8)$$

Proof. The relations are proven using the method of multipliers, in which both sides of the wave equation are multiplied by a quantity, typically a differential operator acting on u , and then the right hand side is rearranged.

The multiplier $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u$ gives the relation for the derivative of the energy momentum.

$$0 = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u \right) (\ddot{u} - u'' + V u - V_L (\Delta_{S^2}) u) \quad (3.9)$$

$$= \dot{u} \ddot{u} + \dot{u}' u' + \partial_{\rho_*} (\dot{u} u') + \dot{u} V u + \nabla_{S^2} u \cdot (V_L \nabla_{S^2} u) + \nabla_{S^2} \cdot (\dot{u} V_L \nabla_{S^2} u) \quad (3.10)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\dot{u} \dot{u} + u' u' + u V u + \nabla_{S^2} u \cdot V_L \nabla_{S^2} u) - \partial_{\rho_*} (\dot{u} u') - \nabla_{S^2} \cdot (\dot{u} V_L \nabla_{S^2} u) \quad (3.11)$$

$$= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e[u, \dot{u}] - \partial_{\rho_*} p_{\rho_*}[u, \dot{u}] - \nabla_{S^2} \cdot (V_L \vec{p}_\omega[u, \dot{u}]) \quad (3.12)$$

Since the integral of a pure spatial derivative is identically zero, integrating this result gives that $\frac{d}{dt} E[u(t), \dot{u}(t)]$ is zero, and that the energy is conserved.

The relation for the time derivative of the radial momentum comes from multiplier $\partial_{\rho_*} u$.

$$0 = (\partial_{\rho_*} u) (\ddot{u} - u'' + V u + V_L (-\Delta_{S^2}) u) \quad (3.13)$$

$$= u' \ddot{u} - u' u'' + u' V u + u' V_L (-\Delta_{S^2}) u \quad (3.14)$$

$$= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (u' \dot{u}) - \dot{u}' \dot{u} - u' u'' + u' V u + \nabla_{S^2} u' \cdot V_L \nabla_{S^2} u - \nabla_{S^2} \cdot (u' V_L \nabla_{S^2} u) \quad (3.15)$$

$$= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} p_{\rho_*} - \partial_{\rho_*} \frac{1}{2} (\dot{u} \dot{u} + u' u' - u V u - \nabla_{S^2} u \cdot V_L \nabla_{S^2} u) \quad (3.16)$$

$$- \frac{1}{2} u V' u - \frac{1}{2} \nabla_{S^2} u \cdot V_L' \nabla_{S^2} u \quad (3.17)$$

$$- \nabla_{S^2} \cdot (u' V_L \nabla_{S^2} u) \quad (3.18)$$

□

We now define the conformal charge in terms of the energy and momentum densities. In Minkowski space, the conformal multiplier is found by conjugating the time derivative with the discrete inversion symmetry of Minkowski space. The conformal multiplier is then used to define the conformal charge and its density by the same process which defines the energy and energy density from the time derivative. The Reissner-Nordström solution does not have a discrete inversion symmetry, so we define our conformal multiplier by formally taking the Minkowski conformal multiplier and replacing the Minkowski radial variable by ρ_* , the Reissner-Nordström radial variable.

Definition 3.3. *The conformal multiplier, the conformal charge density for a pair of functions $(v, w) \in \mathbb{S} \times \mathbb{S}$, and the conformal charge for the same pair are defined respectively by*

$$\mathcal{C} = (t^2 + \rho_*^2) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + 2t\rho_* \partial_{\rho_*}, \quad (3.19)$$

$$e_C[v, w] = (t^2 + \rho_*^2) e[v, w] + 2t\rho_* p_{\rho_*}[v, w], \quad (3.20)$$

$$E_C[v, w] = \int e_C[v, w] d^3\mu. \quad (3.21)$$

The conformal charge density can be rewritten as a manifestly positive quantity. This form is more useful for making estimates.

Lemma 3.4. *For any pair (v, w) ,*

$$e_C[v, w] = \frac{1}{4}(t - \rho_*)^2(w - v')^2 + \frac{1}{4}(t + \rho_*)^2(w + v')^2 \quad (3.22)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2}(t^2 + \rho_*^2)Vv^2 + \frac{1}{2}(t^2 + \rho_*^2)V_L(\nabla_{S^2}v \cdot \nabla_{S^2}v) \quad (3.23)$$

Proof. Only the time and radial derivative terms need to be rearranged.

$$(t - \rho_*)^2(w - v')^2 = t^2w^2 - 2t^2wv' + t^2v'^2 \quad (3.24)$$

$$- 2t\rho_*w^2 + 4t\rho_*wv' - 2t\rho_*v'^2 \quad (3.25)$$

$$+ \rho_*^2w^2 - 2\rho_*^2wv' + \rho^2v'^2 \quad (3.26)$$

From this,

$$(t - \rho_*)^2(w - v')^2 + (t + \rho_*)^2(w + v')^2 = 2(t^2 + \rho_*^2)(w^2 + v'^2) + 8t\rho_*wv' \quad (3.27)$$

□

In Minkowski space, since the time derivative is the generator of the time translation symmetry, the conformal multiplier is a composition of symmetries, and hence a symmetry itself. From Noether's theorem, the conformal charge it generates is conserved. Our conformal multiplier is not constructed from symmetries and does not generate a conserved quantity. Heuristically, the change of the Reissner-Nordström conformal charge should only involve the potentials, since, formally, the conformal multiplier is the same as in $\mathbb{R}^{3+1}$, and the wave equation differs only by the presence of potentials. The potentials appear in expressions of the form $2V + \rho_*V'$. We call them the trapping terms. In $\mathbb{R}^3$, the analogue of V_L is r^{-2} , and the corresponding trapping term, $2V_L + rV'_L$, vanishes.

Lemma 3.5. *If $u \in \mathbb{S}$ is a solution to the wave equation, $\ddot{u} + Hu = 0$, then*

$$\frac{d}{dt} E_C[u(t), \dot{u}(t)] = \int t(2V + \rho_*V')u^2 d^3\mu + \int t(2V_L + \rho_*V'_L)(\nabla_{S^2}u \cdot \nabla_{S^2}u) d^3\mu \quad (3.28)$$

Proof. We multiply the wave equation by the conformal multiplier, $\mathcal{C}u$, and then apply the relations from lemma 3.2.

$$0 = (\mathcal{C}u)(\ddot{u} - u'' + Vu + V_L(-\Delta_{S^2})u) \quad (3.29)$$

$$= (t^2 + \rho_*^2)\dot{u}(\ddot{u} - u'' + Vu + V_L(-\Delta_{S^2})u) \quad (3.30)$$

$$+ 2t\rho_*u'(\ddot{u} - u'' + Vu + V_L(-\Delta_{S^2})u) \quad (3.31)$$

$$= (t^2 + \rho_*^2) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} e - \partial_{\rho_*} p_{\rho_*} - \nabla_{S^2} \cdot (V_L p_\omega) \right) \quad (3.32)$$

$$+ 2t\rho_* \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} p_{\rho_*} - \partial_{\rho_*} \frac{1}{2} (\dot{u}\dot{u} + u'u' - uVu - \nabla_{S^2} u \cdot V_L \nabla_{S^2} u) - \nabla_{S^2} \cdot (u' V_L \nabla_{S^2} u) \right) \quad (3.33)$$

$$- 2t\rho_* \left(\frac{1}{2} u V' u + \frac{1}{2} \nabla_{S^2} u \cdot V_L' \nabla_{S^2} u \right) \quad (3.34)$$

Integrating these terms and then integrating by parts in the angular derivatives eliminates the angular gradients.

$$0 = \int (t^2 + \rho_*^2) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} e - \partial_{\rho_*} p_{\rho_*} - \nabla_{S^2} \cdot (V_L p_\omega) \right) d^3\mu \quad (3.35)$$

$$+ \int 2t\rho_* \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} p_{\rho_*} - \partial_{\rho_*} \frac{1}{2} (\dot{u}\dot{u} + u'u' - uVu - \nabla_{S^2} u \cdot V_L \nabla_{S^2} u) - \nabla_{S^2} \cdot (u' V_L \nabla_{S^2} u) \right) d^3\mu \quad (3.36)$$

$$- \int 2t\rho_* \left(\frac{1}{2} u V' u + \frac{1}{2} \nabla_{S^2} u \cdot V_L' \nabla_{S^2} u \right) d^3\mu \quad (3.37)$$

$$= \int (t^2 + \rho_*^2) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} e - \partial_{\rho_*} p_{\rho_*} \right) d^3\mu \quad (3.38)$$

$$+ \int 2t\rho_* \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} p_{\rho_*} - \partial_{\rho_*} \frac{1}{2} (\dot{u}\dot{u} + u'u' - uVu - \nabla_{S^2} u \cdot V_L \nabla_{S^2} u) \right) d^3\mu \quad (3.39)$$

$$- \int 2t\rho_* \left(\frac{1}{2} u V' u + \frac{1}{2} \nabla_{S^2} u \cdot V_L' \nabla_{S^2} u \right) d^3\mu \quad (3.40)$$

This is further simplified by integrating by parts in the radial variable and isolating pure time derivatives.

$$0 = \int \frac{\partial}{\partial t} ((t^2 + \rho_*^2) + 2t\rho_* p_{\rho_*}) d^3\mu \quad (3.41)$$

$$+ \int -2te - 2\rho_* p_{\rho_*} d^3\mu \quad (3.42)$$

$$+ \int +2\rho_* p_{\rho_*} + 2t \frac{1}{2} (\dot{u}\dot{u} + u'u' - uVu - \nabla_{S^2} u \cdot V_L \nabla_{S^2} u) d^3\mu \quad (3.43)$$

$$- \int 2t\rho_* \left(\frac{1}{2} u V' u + \frac{1}{2} \nabla_{S^2} u \cdot V_L' \nabla_{S^2} u \right) d^3\mu \quad (3.44)$$

$$= \frac{d}{dt} \int (t^2 + \rho_*^2) e + 2t\rho_* p_{\rho_*} d^3\mu \quad (3.45)$$

$$- \int 2t(Vu^2 + \nabla_{S^2} u \cdot V_L \nabla_{S^2} u) d^3\mu \quad (3.46)$$

$$- \int 2t\rho_* \left(\frac{1}{2} u V' u + \frac{1}{2} \nabla_{S^2} u \cdot V_L' \nabla_{S^2} u \right) d^3\mu \quad (3.47)$$

□

3.2 Sobolev estimates

Our goal in this section is to bound the L^6 norm by the energy, the conformal charge, and a negative power of t . The main step in this is to prove a Sobolev estimate, which, roughly speaking, controls the

L^6 norm by the H^1 norm. The analogous result in Minkowski space, $\mathbb{R}^{3+1}$, is that the spatial L^6 norm can be controlled by a third of a factor of the radial component of the H^1 norm and two thirds of the angular component of the inhomogeneous H^1 norm. The energy controls the radial component of the H^1 norm, and the conformal charge controls the product of a positive power of t and the angular component of the H^1 norm. It is not obvious from the definition that the conformal charge controls the weighted L^2 norm needed in the inhomogeneous part of the angular H^1 norm, but it does [18]. A similar result holds for the Reissner-Nordström solution, but, because of the weight appearing in the L^6 norm, we require two estimates on weighted L^2 norms instead of one.

The two estimates in the following lemma are the estimates needed for the Sobolev estimate. Note that the terms appearing on the right are independent of w , the second argument of E_C .

Lemma 3.6. *There is a constant such that, for all $(v, w) \in \mathbb{S} \times \mathbb{S}$,*

$$E_C[v, w] \geq C \langle v, \frac{t^2 + \rho_*^2}{\rho_*^2 + 1} v \rangle, \quad (3.48)$$

$$E_C[v, w] t^{-2} \geq C \langle v, \frac{1}{\rho_*^2 + 1} v \rangle. \quad (3.49)$$

Proof. This is a smoothed version of the argument used in $\mathbb{R}^n$ [18].

The ingoing and outgoing wave terms can be isolated and rearranged. We introduce the notation $e_{C,(t,\rho_*)}$ to denote twice these terms.

$$e_{C,(t,\rho_*)}[v, w] = \|\rho_* w\|^2 + \|tw\|^2 + \|\rho_* v\|^2 + \|tv\|^2 + \langle w, 4\rho_* tv \rangle \quad (3.50)$$

$$= \|tw + \rho_* v'\|^2 + \|\rho_* w + tv'\|^2 \quad (3.51)$$

The weighted term $hu = h(\rho_*)u$ is introduced into these terms.

$$e_{C,(t,\rho_*)}[v, w] = \|tw + \rho_* v' - \rho_* hv\|^2 + \|\rho_* w + tv' + thv\|^2 \quad (3.52)$$

$$+ 2\langle \rho_* v', \rho_* hv \rangle - 2\langle tv', thv \rangle - \langle \rho_* hv, \rho_* hv \rangle - \langle thv, thv \rangle \quad (3.53)$$

Dropping the first two terms, which are strictly positive, and integrating by parts in the following pair yields:

$$e_{C,(t,\rho_*)}[v, w] \geq -\langle v, (2\rho_* h + (\rho_*^2 - t^2)h')v \rangle - \langle v, (\rho_*^2 + t^2)h^2 v \rangle \quad (3.54)$$

A particular choice of h can now be made in terms of parameters $a > 0$ and $\epsilon > 0$.

$$h(\rho_*) = \epsilon \frac{\rho_*}{\rho_*^2 + a} \quad (3.55)$$

$$h'(\rho_*) = \epsilon \frac{a - \rho_*^2}{(\rho_*^2 + a)^2} \quad (3.56)$$

We now substitute this choice of h into the previous calculations.

$$-(2\rho_* h + (\rho_*^2 - t^2)h') - (\rho_*^2 + t^2)h^2 = -\epsilon \left(\frac{2\rho_*^2}{\rho_*^2 + a} + (\rho_*^2 - t^2) \frac{a - \rho_*^2}{(\rho_*^2 + a)^2} \right) - \epsilon^2 \frac{(\rho_*^2 + t^2)\rho_*^2}{(\rho_*^2 + a)^2} \quad (3.57)$$

$$= -\epsilon \frac{\rho_*^4 + 3a\rho_*^2 - t^2a + t^2\rho_*^2}{(\rho_*^2 + a)^2} - \epsilon^2 \frac{\rho_*^4 + t^2\rho_*^2}{(\rho_*^2 + a)^2} \quad (3.58)$$

$$= -(\epsilon + \epsilon^2) \frac{\rho_*^4}{(\rho_*^2 + a)^2} - \epsilon \frac{3a\rho_*^2}{(\rho_*^2 + a)^2} - \epsilon \frac{-t^2a}{(\rho_*^2 + a)^2} - (\epsilon + \epsilon^2) \frac{t^2\rho_*^2}{(\rho_*^2 + a)^2} \quad (3.59)$$

For $\epsilon \in (-1, 0)$ the first, second, and forth terms are positive. For $\epsilon \in (-\frac{1}{2}, 0)$ and $\rho_*^2 > \frac{a}{4}$, the forth term dominates the third by a factor of 2. ϵ can be chosen sufficiently close to zero so that for $\rho_*^2 < \frac{a}{4}$,

$$0 \leq -\epsilon \frac{-t^2a}{(\rho_*^2 + a)^2} + V(\rho_*^2 + t^2) \leq -\epsilon \frac{-t^2a}{(\rho_*^2 + a)^2} + H_2(\rho_*^2 + t^2) \quad (3.60)$$

Thus, with $a = 1$,

$$E_C[v, w] \geq e_{C, (t, \rho_*)}[v, w] + \langle v, H_2 v \rangle \geq (-\epsilon - \epsilon^2) \langle v, \frac{t^2 + \rho_*^2}{\rho_*^2 + 1} v \rangle \quad (3.61)$$

This proves the first statement in the theorem. The second part follows trivially by dropping the $\rho_*^2/(\rho_*^2 + 1)$ term from the first estimate and dividing by t^2 . $\square$

We now turn to the Sobolev estimate. Because our main interest is making estimates in terms of the energy and conformal estimate, the estimate is expressed both in terms of L^2 norms of the derivatives of the function under consideration and in terms of the energy and conformal charge. Once again, the energy and conformal charge take a second argument w which does not appear in the quantity estimated.

Lemma 3.7. *There is a constant C , such that if $(v, w) \in \mathbb{S} \times \mathbb{S}$, then*

$$\|F^{\frac{1}{2}} r^{-\frac{2}{3}} v\|_{L^6(\mathfrak{M})} \leq C(E[v, w] + E_C[v, w] t^{-2})^{\frac{1}{6}} E_C[v, w]^{\frac{1}{3}} t^{-\frac{2}{3}}. \quad (3.62)$$

Proof. We begin by proving a Sobolev estimate which controls the L^6 norm by weighted H^1 norms.

Following the standard argument [30, 16], the proof starts with a $W^{1,1} \hookrightarrow L^{\frac{3}{2}}$ estimate. Take $\psi(\rho_*, \omega)$ infinitely differentiable and of compact support for simplicity. To this function ψ associate a function on the sphere

$$I_1(\omega) \equiv \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} \psi(\rho_*, \omega) \right| dr_* \quad (3.63)$$

Integration and the Sobolev estimates for $\mathbb{R}$ and S^2 can be applied. The Sobolev estimate in 1 dimension follows directly from the fundamental theorem of Calculus.

$$|\psi(\rho_*, \omega)| \leq I_1(\omega) \quad (3.64)$$

$$|\psi(\rho_*, \omega)|^{\frac{3}{2}} \leq I_1(\omega)^{\frac{1}{2}} |\psi(\rho_*, \omega)| \quad (3.65)$$

$$\int_{S^2} |\psi(\rho_*, \omega)|^{\frac{3}{2}} d\omega \leq \int_{S^2} I_1(\omega)^{\frac{1}{2}} |\psi(\rho_*, \omega)| d\omega \quad (3.66)$$

The second term in the final product on the right side is estimated by the spherical Sobolev estimate. The Sobolev estimate on S^2 follows from using a partition of unity on S^2 into co-ordinate charts and then applying the Sobolev estimate on $\mathbb{R}^2$ [16]. The notation $\|\psi\|_{(1)}(\rho_*)$ is introduced to denote the $L^1(S^2)$ norm of $\psi(\rho_*, \omega)$ with ρ_* fixed, and the norm of (TS^2) valued vector is equal to the norm of the length $\|\nabla_{S^2} \psi\|_X \equiv \|(|\nabla_{S^2} \psi|)\|_X$.

$$\int_{S^2} |\psi(\rho_*, \omega)|^{\frac{3}{2}} d\omega \leq \left(\int_{S^2} I_1(\omega) d\omega \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{S^2} |\psi(\rho_*, \omega)|^2 d\omega \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (3.67)$$

$$\leq \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} \psi \right\|_1^{\frac{1}{2}} (\|\nabla_{S^2} \psi\|_{(1)}(\rho_*) + \|\psi\|_{(1)}(\rho_*)) \quad (3.68)$$

A radial weight $f^\alpha(\rho_*)$ can be introduced before integrating with respect to dr_* . For this proof, the exponents α and β are used. They have no relation to the value of $r - \alpha$ governing the location of the photon sphere.

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{S^2} f^\alpha(\rho_*) |\psi(\rho_*, \omega)|^{\frac{3}{2}} d\omega dr_* \leq \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} \psi \right\|_1^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f^\alpha (\|\nabla_{S^2} \psi\|_{(1)}(\rho_*) + \|\psi\|_{(1)}(\rho_*)) dr_* \quad (3.69)$$

$$\|f^{\frac{2\alpha}{3}} \psi\|_{\frac{3}{2}} \leq \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} \psi \right\|_1^{\frac{1}{2}} (f^\alpha \|\nabla_{S^2} \psi\|_1 + \|f^\alpha \psi\|_1)^{\frac{2}{3}} \quad (3.70)$$

The substitution $\psi = f^\beta |v_1|^4$ transforms this to a $H_1 \hookrightarrow L^6$ Sobolev estimate.

$$\|f^{\frac{2\alpha}{3}+\beta} |v_1|^4\|_{\frac{3}{2}} \leq (4 \int f^\beta |v_1|^3 |\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} v_1| + \beta f^{\beta-1} f' |v_1|^4 d^3 \mu)^{\frac{1}{3}} \quad (3.71)$$

$$\times (4 \int f^{\alpha+\beta} |v_1|^3 |\nabla_{S^2} v_1| d^3 \mu + \int f^{\alpha+\beta} |v_1|^4 d^3 \mu)^{\frac{2}{3}} \quad (3.72)$$

$$(\int f^{\alpha+\frac{3}{2}\beta} |v_1|^6 d^3 \mu)^{\frac{2}{3}} \leq 4 (\int f^{2\beta} |v_1|^6 d^3 \mu)^{\frac{1}{6}} (\int |\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} v_1|^2 d^3 \mu + \int (\beta \frac{f'}{f})^2 |v_1|^2 d^3 \mu)^{\frac{1}{6}} \quad (3.73)$$

$$\times (\int f^{2\alpha+2\beta-1} |v_1|^6 d^3 \mu)^{\frac{1}{3}} (\int f |(-\Delta_{S^2})^{\frac{1}{2}} v_1|^2 d^3 \mu + \int f |v_1|^2 d^3 \mu)^{\frac{2}{6}} \quad (3.74)$$

We now choose

$$\alpha + \frac{3}{2}\beta = 2\beta = 2\alpha + 2\beta - 1 \implies \alpha = \frac{1}{2}, \quad \beta = 1, \quad (3.75)$$

so that $\|f^{\frac{1}{3}} v_1\|_6$ can be cancelled.

$$\|f^{\frac{1}{3}} v_1\|_6 \leq 4 (\|\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} v_1\|_2 + \|\frac{f'}{f} v_1\|_2)^{\frac{1}{3}} (\|f^{\frac{1}{2}} (-\Delta_{S^2})^{\frac{1}{2}} v_1\|_2 + \|f^{\frac{1}{2}} v_1\|_2)^{\frac{2}{3}} \quad (3.76)$$

The weight $f = r^{-2}$ is now taken to give a result analogous to the Sobolev estimate in $\mathbb{R}^3$.

$$\|r^{-\frac{2}{3}} v_1\|_6 \leq C (\|\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} v_1\|_2 + \|-2Fr^{-1} v_1\|_2)^{\frac{1}{3}} (\|r^{-1} (-\Delta_{S^2})^{\frac{1}{2}} v_1\|_2 + \|r^{-1} v_1\|_2)^{\frac{2}{3}} \quad (3.77)$$

To complete the proof of the Sobolev estimate for the Reissner-Nordström solution, the substitution $v_1 = F^{\frac{1}{2}} v$ and the inequality $Fr^{-1} \leq (1 + \rho_*^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ are used.

$$\|F^{\frac{1}{2}} r^{-\frac{2}{3}} v\|_6 \leq C (\|F^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} u\|_2 + \|\frac{1}{2} F^{\frac{1}{2}} (2Mr^{-2} - 2Q^2) v\| + \|-2F^{\frac{3}{2}} r^{-1} u\|_2)^{\frac{1}{3}} \quad (3.78)$$

$$\times (\|F^{\frac{1}{2}} r^{-1} (-\Delta_{S^2})^{\frac{1}{2}} v\|_2 + \|F^{\frac{1}{2}} r^{-1} v\|_2)^{\frac{2}{3}} \quad (3.79)$$

$$\leq C (\|F^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} v\|_2 + \|(1 + \rho_*^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} v\|)^{\frac{1}{3}} \quad (3.80)$$

$$\times (\|F^{\frac{1}{2}} r^{-1} (-\Delta_{S^2})^{\frac{1}{2}} v\|_2 + \|F^{\frac{1}{2}} r^{-1} v\|_2)^{\frac{2}{3}} \quad (3.81)$$

We introduce the dummy function w to act as the second argument of the energy and conformal charge. From the definition of the energy, the conformal charge, and lemma 3.6,

$$\|F^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} v\|_2^2 \leq \|\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} v\|_2^2 \leq E[v, w], \quad (3.82)$$

$$\|F^{\frac{1}{2}} r^{-1} (-\Delta_{S^2})^{\frac{1}{2}} v\|_2^2 \leq E_C[v, w] t^{-2}, \quad (3.83)$$

$$\|F^{\frac{1}{2}} r^{-1} v\|_2^2 \leq \|(1 + \rho_*^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} v\|^2 \leq C E_C[v, w] t^{-2}. \quad (3.84)$$

Substituting these into equation (3.81) proves the result. $\square$

We remark that if we repeat the same argument with $f = Fr^{-2}$ and $v_1 = v$, the Sobolev type result, analogous to equation (3.81), would be

$$\|F^{\frac{1}{3}} r^{-\frac{2}{3}} v\|_6 \leq C (\|\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} v\|_2 + \|(-2r^{-1} + 6Mr^{-2} - 4Q^2 r^{-3}) v\|)^{\frac{1}{3}} \quad (3.85)$$

$$\times (\|F^{\frac{1}{2}} r^{-1} (-\Delta_{S^2})^{\frac{1}{2}} v\|_2 + \|F^{\frac{1}{2}} r^{-1} v\|_2)^{\frac{2}{3}}. \quad (3.86)$$

The new weighted L^2 norm is also controlled by lemma 3.6, for $t > 1$, although, there is no longer the additional factor of t^{-2} .

$$\|(-2r^{-1} + 6Mr^{-2} - 4Q^2 r^{-3}) v\|^2 \leq \|(\frac{t^2 + \rho_*^2}{\rho_*^2 + 1} u)^{\frac{1}{2}} v\|^2 \leq E_C[v, w]. \quad (3.87)$$

Combining these results, we have control of a norm which decays less rapidly towards the event horizon but at the cost of less time decay on the right hand side.

$$\int |v|^6 F^2 r^{-4} d^3 \mu \leq C(E[v, w] + E_C[v, w]) E_C[v, w]^2 t^{-2}, \quad (3.88)$$

3.3 Local support of the trapping terms

We refer to terms of the form $2V + \rho_* V'_L$, for both the potential and the angular potential, as trapping terms. In this section, we show that the trapping terms are positive only in a finite interval of ρ_* values in the subcritical case. The functions W and W_l will refer to the positive part of the trapping terms. Through the conformal identity and the Sobolev estimates, this reduces the problem of finding point wise, weighted L^6 estimates to proving local decay estimates of the form $\int \int \chi |u|^2 d^3 \mu dt + \int \int \chi |\nabla_{S^2} u|^2 d^3 \mu dt \leq C$.

One of the factors in the derivative of the potential will require careful attention, both here and in subsection 6.2. We introduce it with the notation $P_Q(r)$.

Definition 3.8. For $Q \in [0, M]$, $P_Q(r)$ is defined by

$$P_Q(r) = 3Mr^3 - 4(Q^2 + 2M^2)r^2 + 15MQ^2r - 6Q^4 \quad (3.89)$$

We now show that the trapping term for the potential is positive only in a bounded set of ρ_* values by computing the limit at $\pm\infty$.

Lemma 3.9. The derivative of the potential is given by

$$V' = -2Fr^{-7}P_Q(r) \quad (3.90)$$

For $|\rho_*|$ sufficiently large, $2V + \rho_* V'$ is negative.

There is a compactly supported, positive, bounded function, W , such that $W > 2V + \rho_* V'$

Proof. The derivative is computed from the definition of V in equation 2.27.

$$\partial_{\rho_*} V = \frac{dr}{d\rho_*} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (r^{-1} F \frac{\partial F}{\partial r}) \quad (3.91)$$

$$= F \frac{\partial}{\partial r} ((r^{-1} - 2Mr^{-2} + Q^2 r^{-3})(2Mr^{-2} - 2Q^2 r^{-3})) \quad (3.92)$$

$$= F \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (2Mr^{-3} - 2(Q^2 + 2M^2)r^{-4} + 6MQ^2 r^{-5} - 2Q^4 r^{-6}) \quad (3.93)$$

$$= -2Fr^{-7}(3Mr^3 - 4(Q^2 + 2M^2)r^2 + 15MQ^2r - 6Q^4) \quad (3.94)$$

From this,

$$2V + \rho_* V' = 2r^{-1} F \left(\frac{dF}{dr} - \frac{\rho_*}{r^6} P_Q(r) \right) \quad (3.95)$$

$$= 2r^{-1} F \left(\left(\frac{2M}{r^2} - \frac{2Q^2}{r^3} \right) - \frac{\rho_*}{r^6} P_Q(r) \right) \quad (3.96)$$

$$= 2r^{-1} F \left(\left(\frac{2M}{r^2} - \frac{2Q^2}{r^3} \right) - \frac{\rho_*}{r} \left(\frac{3M}{r^2} - \frac{4(Q^2 - 2M^2)}{r^3} + \frac{15MQ^2}{r^4} - \frac{6Q^4}{r^5} \right) \right) \quad (3.97)$$

To show that this is negative for sufficiently large values of $|\rho_*|$, we will multiply by a positive factor and then show that the resulting quantity has a negative limit as $\rho_* \rightarrow \pm\infty$. As $\rho_* \rightarrow -\infty$, the subcritical and critical cases must be dealt with separately.

In both the subcritical and critical cases, for $\rho_* \rightarrow \infty$, $\frac{\rho_*}{r} \rightarrow 1$ and $F \rightarrow 1$, so

$$r^3(2V + \rho_* V') \rightarrow 2M - 3M = -M \quad (3.98)$$

For $\rho_* \rightarrow -\infty$, the original radial variable has limit $r \rightarrow r_+ = M + \sqrt{M^2 - Q^2}$, and the limiting value of $P_Q(r_+)$ is

$$P_Q(M + \sqrt{M^2 - Q^2}) = -2(2M^2 - Q^2)(M^2 - Q^2) - 4M\sqrt{M^2 - Q^2}(M^2 - Q^2) \quad (3.99)$$

In the subcritical case, the term with $-\rho_*$ dominates, so it is sufficient to look at $P_Q(r_+)$.

$$\frac{r^7}{2F(-\rho_*)}(2V + \rho_* V') \rightarrow P_Q(r) \quad (3.100)$$

$$= -2(2M^2 - Q^2)(M^2 - Q^2) - 4M\sqrt{M^2 - Q^2}(M^2 - Q^2) \quad (3.101)$$

$$< 0 \quad (3.102)$$

In the critical case, since $P_M(M) = 0$, it is necessary to multiply by a different positive factor before taking the limit. For $\rho_* \rightarrow -\infty$, from the asymptotic behaviour of ρ_* given in equation (2.20)

$$\frac{r^4}{2F} \frac{1}{r - M} (2V + \rho_* V') = 2M \frac{r - M}{r - M} + \frac{\rho_*}{r - M} \frac{1}{r^3} P_M(r) \quad (3.103)$$

$$= 2M + \rho_*(r - M) \frac{3M}{r^3} (r - 2M) \quad (3.104)$$

$$\rightarrow 2M - 3M \quad (3.105)$$

$$= -M \quad (3.106)$$

From these limits, it follows that $2V + \rho_* V'$ is negative for sufficiently large values of $|\rho_*|$. Since V and $\rho_* V'$ are continuous, it follows that $2V + \rho_* V'$ can be bounded above by a compactly supported, positive, bounded function. $\square$

In the subcritical case, a similar calculation of limits as $\rho_* \rightarrow \pm\infty$ shows that $2V_L + \rho_* V'_L$ is positive only on a bounded set of ρ_* values. In the critical case, the angular trapping term is positive as $\rho_* \rightarrow -\infty$, and we compute the rate at which it vanishes as $r \rightarrow r_+ = M$.

Lemma 3.10. *In the subcritical case, for $|\rho_*|$ sufficiently large, $2V_L + \rho_* V'_L$ is negative, and there is a compactly supported, positive, bounded function, W_L , such that $W_L > 2V_L + \rho_* V'_L$.*

In the critical case, there is a positive, bounded function, W_L , such that $W_L > 2V_L + \rho_ V'_L$, W_L is identically zero for sufficiently large ρ_* , and W_L decays like $(r - M)^F$ as $\rho_* \rightarrow -\infty$.*

Proof. As in the previous lemma, $2V_L + \rho_* V'_L$ will be shown to be negative from the fact that when a positive factor is applied, the limit as $\rho_* \rightarrow \pm\infty$ is strictly negative or the quantity diverges to negative infinity. For this lemma, it is simplest to separate the subcritical and critical cases, when evaluating the limits.

From the definition of V_L ,

$$\partial_{\rho_*} V_L = \frac{-2F}{r^3} \left(1 - \frac{3M}{r} + \frac{2Q^2}{r^2} \right) \quad (3.107)$$

so that

$$2V - \rho_* V' = \frac{2F}{r^3} \left(r - \rho_* \left(1 - \frac{3M}{r} + \frac{2Q^2}{r^2} \right) \right) \quad (3.108)$$

In the subcritical, as $\rho_* \rightarrow \infty$, by the explicit expansion of ρ_* given in equation 2.15,

$$\frac{r^3}{2F} (2V - \rho_* V') = r - \left(r + \frac{r_+^2}{r_+ - r_-} \log\left(\frac{r - r_+}{M}\right) - \frac{r_-^2}{r_+ - r_-} \log\left(\frac{r - r_-}{M}\right) + C \right) \left(1 - \frac{3M}{r} + \frac{2Q^2}{r^2} \right) \quad (3.109)$$

$$= -\frac{r_+^2}{r_+ - r_-} \log\left(\frac{r - r_+}{M}\right) + \frac{r_-^2}{r_+ - r_-} \log\left(\frac{r - r_-}{M}\right) + O(1) \quad (3.110)$$

$$\rightarrow -\infty \quad (3.111)$$

In the critical case, as $\rho_* \rightarrow \infty$, by the expansion in 2.18,

$$\frac{r^3}{2F} (2V - \rho_* V') = r - (r + 2M \log(\frac{r-M}{M}) - \frac{M^2}{r-M} + C)(1 - \frac{3M}{r} + \frac{2Q^2}{r^2}) \quad (3.112)$$

$$= -2M \log(\frac{r-M}{M}) + O(1) \quad (3.113)$$

$$\rightarrow -\infty \quad (3.114)$$

For $\rho_* \rightarrow -\infty$, we use both the asymptotics of ρ_* from subsection 2.1 and the geometry of the angular potential from subsection 2.2. The critical points of V_L are the roots of $r^2 - 3Mr + 2Q^2$, which we denote by

$$\alpha_{\pm} = \frac{3M \pm \sqrt{9M^2 - 8Q^2}}{2}. \quad (3.115)$$

Only one of these, $\alpha_+ = \alpha$ is in the exterior region $r > r_+$. We now multiply the angular trapping term by a positive term.

$$\frac{r^5}{2F} \frac{1}{-\rho_*} (2V_L + \rho_* V'_L) = \frac{r^3}{-\rho_*} + r^2 - 3Mr + 2Q^2 \quad (3.116)$$

$$= \frac{r^3}{-\rho_*} + (r - \alpha)(r - \alpha_-) \quad (3.117)$$

In the subcritical case, the relevant terms are ordered $\alpha_- < r_+ < \alpha_+$, so that in the limit $\rho_* \rightarrow -\infty$, $r \rightarrow r_+$, and

$$\frac{r^5}{2F} \frac{1}{-\rho_*} (2V_L + \rho_* V'_L) \rightarrow (r_+ - \alpha_-)(r_+ - \alpha_+) < 0 \quad (3.118)$$

In the critical case, the relevant terms are not distinct, $\alpha_- = r_+ = M < 2M = \alpha_+$, so the previous argument does not hold. In fact, the angular trapping term is positive as $r \rightarrow r_+ = M$. Instead, equation (3.117) can be rearranged as

$$(r^5)(2V_L + \rho_* V'_L) = (r^3 - \rho_*(r - \alpha)(r - \alpha_-)) \quad (3.119)$$

From the asymptotics of ρ_* in equation (2.18),

$$r^3 - \rho_*(r - \alpha_-)(r - \alpha_+) = r^3 - (r + 2M \ln(\frac{r-M}{M}) - \frac{M^2}{r-M} + C_*)(r - M)(r - 2M) \quad (3.120)$$

$$= (r^3 + M^2(r - 2M)) + \ln(\frac{r-M}{M})(r - M)2M(2M - r) \quad (3.121)$$

$$- (r - M)(r + C_*)(r - 2m) \quad (3.122)$$

On the right, the last term clearly vanishes like $r - M$, and the second term is negative as $\rho_* \rightarrow -\infty$. The first term is a polynomial in r which vanishes at $r = M$, so it must vanish at least linearly. Thus the right hand side is bounded above by a term which vanishes linearly in $r - M$. The potential term vanishes at a rate which is F times faster. $\square$

Together, the results from this section show that a weighted L^6 norm is controlled, pointwise in time, by weighted space- time integrals of a solution and its derivative.

Proposition 3.11. *In the subcritical case, $|Q| < M$, there are bounded, compactly supported functions W and W_L such that if $u \in \mathbb{S}$ is a solution to the wave equation, $\ddot{u} + Hu = 0$, then*

$$\int |u|^6(t, \rho_*, \omega) F^3 r^{-4} d^3 \mu \leq C(E[u_0, u_1] + E_C[u(t), \dot{u}(t)] t^{-2}) E_C[u(t), \dot{u}(t)]^{\frac{2}{3}} t^{\frac{-4}{3}} \quad (3.123)$$

$$E_C[u(t), \dot{u}(t)] \leq E_C[u_0, u_1] + \int \int 2t(W|u|^2 + W_L|\nabla_{S^2} u|^2) d^3 \mu dt \quad (3.124)$$

In the critical case, the same result holds with W_L zero for r sufficiently large and vanishing linearly in $r - M$ as $r \rightarrow r_+ = M$.

4 Relativistic considerations on the event horizon

To study the behaviour of waves on the event horizon, it is common to introduce the Eddington-Finkelstein co-ordinates ³[9, 25, 33]

$$s_- = t - \rho_* \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (4.1)$$

$$s_+ = t + \rho_* \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (4.2)$$

$$S_- = -e^{-\frac{s_-}{4M}} \in (-\infty, 0), \quad (4.3)$$

$$S_+ = e^{\frac{s_+}{4M}} \in (0, \infty). \quad (4.4)$$

In fact, this range of co-ordinates only describes the exterior region of the black hole, and the subcritical Reissner-Nordström solutions extend smoothly to positive S_- and negative S_+ ⁴. The outer event horizon corresponds to both the lines $S_- = 0$ and $S_+ = 0$. The surface $S_- = 0$ is the future event horizon, $\mathcal{H}_+$, and $S_+ = 0$ is the past event horizon, $\mathcal{H}_-$. To approach $\mathcal{H}_+$, t must diverge to infinite. The bifurcation sphere is the sphere given by $(S_-, S_+) = (0, 0)$, with the spherical co-ordinates free.

In this section, we discuss how solutions must decay near the bifurcation sphere if they have finite conformal charge.

4.1 Decay on the bifurcation sphere

To get $u \in L^2(\mathfrak{M})$, we made several transformations and change of variables in section 2. To determine the physical constraints imposed by finite energy and finite conformal charge, we must return to the original function $\tilde{u} = r^{-1}u$, and use normalised vectors and their duals,

$$\partial_T = F^{-\frac{1}{2}} \partial_t, \quad (4.5)$$

$$\partial_R = F^{-\frac{1}{2}} \partial_{\rho_*}, \quad (4.6)$$

$$dT = F^{\frac{1}{2}} dt, \quad (4.7)$$

$$dR = F^{\frac{1}{2}} d\rho_*. \quad (4.8)$$

From this, the natural measure on $(\rho_*, \omega) \in \mathbb{R} \times S^2$ is

$$d\mu_{\text{normalised}} = F^{\frac{1}{2}} r^2 d\rho_* d\mu_{S^2}. \quad (4.9)$$

Since there is no bifurcation sphere in the critical case, we will only consider the subcritical cases in this subsection.

We begin by writing the L^2 norm in terms of $\tilde{u}$ and the natural measure.

$$\|u\|_{L^2(\mathfrak{M})}^2 = \|\tilde{u}\|_{L^2(\tilde{\mathfrak{M}})}^2 = \int_{\tilde{\mathfrak{M}}} |\tilde{u}|^2 r^2 dr_* d\omega \quad (4.10)$$

$$= \int_{\tilde{\mathfrak{M}}} |\tilde{u}|^2 F^{-\frac{1}{2}} d\mu_{\text{normalised}}. \quad (4.11)$$

From the first line, at the bifurcation sphere, the function $\tilde{u}$ must vanish at the boundary, but any power of $F \sim (r - r_+)$ is sufficient, and even inverse logarithmic decay is sufficient.

³The co-ordinates (s_-, s_+) are typically denoted (u, v) and the term Eddington-Finkelstein co-ordinates properly refers to the mixed co-ordinate systems (u, r, θ, ϕ) or (v, r, θ, ϕ) .

⁴In the critical case, $(S_-, S_+) = (0, 0)$ is a singular point. Otherwise, the critical solution can be extended to an open set containing $S_- > 0, S_+ > 0$ and $S_- < 0, S_+ < 0$.

We now write the energy in terms of $\tilde{u}$.

$$E[u, \dot{u}] = \int |\dot{u}|^2 + |u'|^2 + Fr^{-2}|\nabla_{S^2} u|^2 + 2MFr^{-3}|u|^2 d^3\mu \quad (4.12)$$

$$= \int (|\dot{\tilde{u}}|^2 + |Fr^{-1}\tilde{u} + \tilde{u}'|^2 + Fr^{-2}|\nabla_{S^2} \tilde{u}|^2 + V|\tilde{u}|^2) r^2 d^3\mu \quad (4.13)$$

$$= \int (|\dot{\tilde{u}}|^2 + |\tilde{u}'|^2 + Fr^{-2}|\nabla_{S^2} \tilde{u}|^2) r^2 d^3\mu \quad (4.14)$$

$$+ \int 2Fr\tilde{u}\tilde{u}' + F^2|\tilde{u}|^2 + Vr^2|\tilde{u}|^2 d^3\mu. \quad (4.15)$$

The co-ordinates t and ρ_* are singular at the bifurcation sphere, so we must rewrite the derivatives in terms of $\tilde{u}_T = \partial_T \tilde{u}$, $\tilde{u}_R = \partial_R \tilde{u}$, and the four gradient $\tilde{\nabla}_4 \tilde{u}$.

$$E[u, \dot{u}] = \int (F^{-1}|\dot{\tilde{u}}|^2 + F^{-1}|\tilde{u}'|^2 + r^{-2}|\nabla_{S^2} \tilde{u}|^2) Fr^2 d\rho_* d^2\omega \quad (4.16)$$

$$+ \int -(F \frac{dF}{dr} r + F^2)|\tilde{u}|^2 + (F^2 + rF \frac{dF}{dr})|\tilde{u}|^2 d^3\mu \quad (4.17)$$

$$= \int (|\tilde{u}_T|^2 + |\tilde{u}_R|^2 + r^{-2}|\nabla_{S^2} \tilde{u}|^2) Fr^2 d\rho_* d^2\omega \quad (4.18)$$

$$= \int |\tilde{\nabla}_4 \tilde{u}|^2 F^{\frac{1}{2}} d\mu_{\text{normalised}}. \quad (4.19)$$

For the energy to be bounded, it is sufficient that, far from the bifurcation sphere, the four gradient of $\tilde{u}$ is integrable and that near the bifurcation sphere,

$$|\tilde{\nabla}_4 \tilde{u}|^2 < C(r - r_+)^{-1+\epsilon}, \quad (4.20)$$

$$|\tilde{\nabla}_4 \tilde{u}| < C(r - r_+)^{-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}. \quad (4.21)$$

Under this condition,

$$|\partial_r \tilde{u}| = F^{-1} |\partial_{\rho_*} \tilde{u}| \quad (4.22)$$

$$= F^{-\frac{1}{2}} |\partial_R \tilde{u}| \quad (4.23)$$

$$\leq F^{-\frac{1}{2}} |\tilde{\nabla}_4 \tilde{u}| \quad (4.24)$$

$$< C(r - r_+)^{-1+\epsilon}. \quad (4.25)$$

The additional condition that $\tilde{u}$ vanishes at the bifurcation sphere restricts the size of $\tilde{u}$ near the bifurcation sphere,

$$|\tilde{u}| < C(r - r_+)^{\epsilon}. \quad (4.26)$$

From integrating the length of the radial vector ∂_R , the distance from the bifurcation sphere, s , is given by

$$s \sim (r - r_+)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (4.27)$$

Thus, the sufficient condition on the energy in equation 4.21 permits functions which grow like an arbitrarily small power of the distance from the bifurcation sphere.

The calculations and conditions for the conformal charge are similar. Once again, we begin by rewriting

the conformal charge in terms of $\tilde{u}$.

$$E_C[u, \dot{u}] = \int (\rho_*^2 + 1)(|\dot{u}|^2 + |u'|^2 + Fr^{-2}|\nabla_{S^2} u|^2 + 2MFr^{-3}|u|^2)d^3\mu \quad (4.28)$$

$$= \int (\rho_*^2 + 1)(|\tilde{u}_T|^2 + |\tilde{u}_R|^2 + r^{-2}|\nabla_{S^2} \tilde{u}|^2)Fr^2d\rho_*d^2\omega \quad (4.29)$$

$$+ \int (\rho_*^2 + 1)(2Fr\tilde{u}\tilde{u}' + F^2|\tilde{u}|^2 + Vr^2|\tilde{u}|^2)d^3\mu \quad (4.30)$$

$$= \int (\rho_*^2 + 1)(|\tilde{u}_T|^2 + |\tilde{u}_R|^2 + r^{-2}|\nabla_{S^2} \tilde{u}|^2)Fr^2d\rho_*d^2\omega \quad (4.31)$$

$$+ \int -2Fr\rho_*|\tilde{u}|^2d^3\mu \quad (4.32)$$

$$= \int (\rho_*^2 + 1)(|\tilde{u}_T|^2 + |\tilde{u}_R|^2 + r^{-2}|\nabla_{S^2} \tilde{u}|^2)Fr^2d\rho_*d^2\omega \quad (4.33)$$

$$+ \int -\frac{2\rho_*}{r}|\tilde{u}|^2Fr^2d\rho_*d^2\omega \quad (4.34)$$

$$= \int |\tilde{\nabla}_4 \tilde{u}|^2(\rho_*^2 + 1)F^{\frac{1}{2}}d\mu_{\text{normalised}} + \int |\tilde{u}|^2(-\frac{2\rho_*}{r})F^{\frac{1}{2}}d\mu_{\text{normalised}} \quad (4.35)$$

The weights in the conformal charge only differ by factors of ρ_* which is logarithmic in $(r - r_+)$ near the bifurcation sphere. Thus, the sufficient condition given for the energy in equation 4.21 is sufficient to guarantee that the conformal charge is also bounded.

5 The Heisenberg-type relation

For the Schrödinger equation from quantum mechanics,

$$-i\psi_t + H\psi = 0, \quad (5.1)$$

there is the well known Heisenberg type relation for the time derivative of the expectation value of a self-adjoint operator, A ,

$$\frac{d}{dt}\langle\psi, A\psi\rangle = \langle\psi, i[H, A]\psi\rangle. \quad (5.2)$$

This formulation is central to the standard interpretation of quantum mechanics which associates operators to physically observable quantities, and the expectation value to the mean observed value. This formulation was also used in the original proof of scattering for the quantum n -body problem [12, 20, 28, 29].

We begin by defining the commutator in the form sense.

Definition 5.1. *If H and A are two self-adjoint operators, and $D(A) \cap D(H)$ is dense in $L^2(\mathfrak{M})$, then the commutator $[H, A]$ is defined to be the form Ω given by*

$$\Omega(v, w) = \langle Hv, Aw\rangle - \langle Av, Hw\rangle. \quad (5.3)$$

The Heisenberg-type relation follows from the wave equation and this definition.

Lemma 5.2. *If A is a time-independent, self-adjoint operator, and $u \in \mathbb{S}$ is a solution to the wave equation, $\ddot{u} + Hu = 0$, then*

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\langle u, A\dot{u}\rangle - \langle \dot{u}, Au\rangle) = \langle u, [H, A]u\rangle, \quad (5.4)$$

where $\langle u, [H, A]u\rangle$ is understood to mean the quadratic form $[H, A]$ evaluated on the pair (u, u) .

Proof. We begin by computing the left hand side.

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\langle u, A\dot{u} \rangle - \langle \dot{u}, Au \rangle) = \langle \dot{u}, A\dot{u} \rangle + \langle u, A\ddot{u} \rangle - \langle \ddot{u}, Au \rangle - \langle \dot{u}, A\dot{u} \rangle \quad (5.5)$$

$$= \langle u, -AHu \rangle + \langle Hu, Au \rangle. \quad (5.6)$$

Since A is self-adjoint,

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\langle u, A\dot{u} \rangle - \langle \dot{u}, Au \rangle) = -\langle Au, Hu \rangle + \langle Hu, Au \rangle. \quad (5.7)$$

The right hand side is exactly the commutator. $\square$

Our method will be to find bounded propagation observables. A simple example of a propagation observable, A , which majorates an operator G , is one for which

$$[H, A] = G^*G \quad (5.8)$$

(where G^* represents the adjoint of G). If A is a bounded operator on the energy space, then, from the Heisenberg-type relation,

$$\int \|Gu\|^2 dt \leq \int \frac{d}{dt}(\langle u, A\dot{u} \rangle - \langle \dot{u}, Au \rangle) dt \leq 4\|\dot{u}\|\|Au\| \leq CE[u, \dot{u}]. \quad (5.9)$$

The standard definition of a propagation observable is broader than this, and our definition will be even broader.

Definition 5.3. *Given a pair of operators, A and G , with $D(A) \cap D(H) \cap D(G)$ dense in $L^2(\mathfrak{M})$, the operator A is a propagation observable which majorates G if there is a pair of bounded, non-zero operators, X_1 and X_2 , for which*

$$X_1 + X_2 = Id, \quad (5.10)$$

$$[H, A] = G^*X_1G + \text{lower order terms}, \quad (5.11)$$

where “lower order terms” refers to the sum of operators R for which either

1. R is a bounded operator and for all $u \in \mathbb{S}$ which solve the wave equation,

$$\int \langle u, Ru \rangle dt \leq C, \quad (5.12)$$

2. or R is an operator with domain $D(R) \subset D((G^*G)^{\frac{1}{2}})$, and for all $u \in D((G^*G)^{\frac{1}{2}})$,

$$\langle u, Ru \rangle \leq C\langle u, (G^*G)^{1-\epsilon}u \rangle. \quad (5.13)$$

If A maps $\mathbb{S}$ to $\mathbb{S}$, even if it is not self-adjoint, then, since H maps $\mathbb{S}$ to $\mathbb{S}$, for $v \in \mathbb{S}$, $H(Av) - A(Hv)$ is well-defined on $\mathbb{S}$. Since H is self-adjoint, by a similar calculation,

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\langle u, A\dot{u} \rangle - \langle \dot{u}, Au \rangle) = \langle u, (HA - AH)u \rangle. \quad (5.14)$$

Since products of smooth functions, integer powers of the radial derivative, and integer powers of angular derivatives map $\mathbb{S}$ to $\mathbb{S}$, if A is of this form, we can write

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\langle u, A\dot{u} \rangle - \langle \dot{u}, Au \rangle) = \langle u, [H, A]u \rangle, \quad (5.15)$$

$$[H, A] = HA - AH. \quad (5.16)$$

For anti-self-adjoint operators, since multiplication by i commutes with H , we can define

$$\langle u, [H, A]u \rangle = \langle u, [H, -iA]iu \rangle. \quad (5.17)$$

From this, for an anti-self-adjoint operator, we have the same Heisenberg-type relation.

6 Morawetz Estimates

The goal of this section is to prove bounds on weighted space-time norms of solutions. We begin by introducing a propagation observable, γ , show it majorates a weighted quantities, and conclude with a Gronwall's type argument to integrate the Heisenberg-type relation. These estimates are proven using a spherical harmonic decomposition. We show these estimates have a uniform nature in the spherical harmonic parameter, so that we can recover an estimate for general u . In later sections, the contribution from H_2 is controlled by the local decay estimate, and we can use a uniform multiplier.

In $\mathbb{R}^{3+1}$, the radial derivative can be used as a propagation observable to control the time integral of $|u(t, \vec{0})|^2$. This can be thought of as a weighted space-time integral with the δ -function as a weight. Essentially, our propagation observable is a smooth version of the radial derivative, which leads to a smooth weight. This follows [22, 4].

The spherical Laplacian is well known to have discrete spectrum. On each spherical harmonic we will use l to refer to the standard spherical harmonic parameter and $\tilde{l}$ to refer to the value of the square root of the Laplacian on that harmonic, hence $\tilde{l}^2 = l(l+1)$. We will also introduce the effective potential on each spherical harmonic, given by

$$V_l = H_2 + H_3 = V + \tilde{l}^2 V_l. \quad (6.1)$$

If necessary, we will use $\mathbf{P}_l$ to denote the projection on to the spherical harmonic with parameter l , but typically, in this section, we will assume that u has support on only one spherical harmonic. In this case, u satisfies

$$\ddot{u} - u'' + \tilde{l}^2 V_l u = 0. \quad (6.2)$$

We will show in lemma 6.3 that V_l has a single critical point, which is a maximum. We denote this critical point $(\alpha_l)_*$.

The Morawetz-type operator is defined on each spherical harmonic in terms of the radial co-ordinate ρ_* . The definition involves a weight, g_σ , which is defined for $\sigma > 1$ so that g_σ remains bounded.

Definition 6.1. *Given $\sigma > 1$, $b > 0$, and $l \in \mathbb{N}$, the Morawetz-type multiplier $\gamma_{l,\sigma}$ is defined by*

$$g_{l,b,\sigma}(\rho_*) \equiv \int_0^{b(\rho_* - (\alpha_l)_*)} \frac{1}{(1 + |\tau|)^\sigma} d\tau \quad (6.3)$$

$$\gamma_{l,b,\sigma} \equiv \frac{1}{2} (g_{l,b,\sigma}(\rho_*) \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} g_{l,b,\sigma}(\rho_*)) \quad (6.4)$$

$$= g_{l,b,\sigma}(\rho_*) + \frac{1}{2} g'_{l,b,\sigma}(\rho_*) \quad (6.5)$$

In all cases σ will not vary so the notation $\gamma = \gamma_{l,\sigma}$ and $g = g_{l,\sigma}$ will be used. The projection operator onto the l^{th} spherical harmonic is denoted $\mathbf{P}_l$. When working on more than one spherical harmonic, we also use γ to denote

$$\sum_l \gamma_{l,b,\sigma} \mathbf{P}_l. \quad (6.6)$$

We note that as l varies, the observable $\gamma_{l,b,\sigma}$ is simply translated. We will also show that $(\alpha_l)_* \rightarrow 0$ from which it follows that $g_{l,b,\sigma}$ has a limit in L^∞ .

6.1 Preliminary bounds

Lemma 6.2. *If $\sigma > 1$ and $b > 0$, then there are constants C_σ and C_b , independent of l , such that for all $u \in \mathbb{S}$,*

$$\langle u, \gamma_{l,b,\sigma} u \rangle = 0 \quad (6.7)$$

$$\|\gamma u\|_{L^2} \leq C_\sigma \|u'\|_{L^2} + C_b \|(1 + \rho_*^2)^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}} u\|_{L^2} \quad (6.8)$$

$$\leq C_\sigma \sqrt{E[u]} + C_b \|(1 + \rho_*^2)^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}} u\|_{L^2} \quad (6.9)$$

Proof. We work on a fixed spherical harmonic. Since $u \in \mathbb{S}$, derivatives can be moved about freely.

$$\langle u, \gamma u \rangle = \langle u, \frac{1}{2} (g \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} g) u \rangle \quad (6.10)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} (\langle u, g \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} u \rangle - \langle g \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} u, u \rangle) \quad (6.11)$$

$$= 0 \quad (6.12)$$

The last equality holds since the L^2 inner product is symmetric on real valued functions.

Since $g = \int_0^{b(\rho_* - (\alpha_l)_*)} (1 + |\tau|)^{-\sigma} d\tau$, g' is bounded by $C_b(1 + \rho_*^2)^{-\sigma/2}$. Since the integrand is continuous and decays as $\tau^{-\sigma}$ for $\sigma > 1$, g is bounded by some constant C_σ . Using this and the fact that $u \in \mathbb{S}$, the following holds.

$$\|\gamma u\| = \|gu' + \frac{1}{2}g'u\| \quad (6.13)$$

$$\leq \|gu'\| + \|\frac{1}{2}g'u\| \quad (6.14)$$

$$\leq C_\sigma \|u'\| + C_b \|(1 + \rho_*^2)^{-\sigma} u\| \quad (6.15)$$

The constant C_σ is given by the integral of $(1 + |\tau|)^{-\sigma}$ from 0 to ∞ . This is independent of l . The constant C_b is based on the equivalence of $b(1 + b|\rho_* - (\alpha_l)_*|)^{-\sigma}$ and $(1 + \rho_*^2)^{-\sigma/2}$. Since $(\alpha_l)_*$ converges to zero by lemma 6.3, this equivalence is uniform in l . $\square$

6.2 Computation of Morawetz commutators

We show that the propagation observable, γ , majorates $(1 - \rho_*^2)^{-\sigma-1}$. We will prove this on each spherical harmonic, and then show there is a uniform lower bound on these estimates, to get an estimate for uniform l .

We first show that on each spherical harmonic, the effective potential has a unique maximum.

Lemma 6.3. *For $l \geq 0$, $M > 0$ and $0 \leq |Q| < M$, the effective potential V_l has a unique critical point, which is a maximum. The maxima, $(\alpha_l)_*$, converge to 0.*

Proof. The Reissner-Nordström potentials are

$$V = \frac{2M}{r^3} (1 - \frac{2M}{r} + \frac{Q^2}{r^2}), \quad (6.16)$$

$$V_L = \frac{1}{r^2} (1 - \frac{2M}{r} + \frac{Q^2}{r^2}), \quad (6.17)$$

$$V_l = V + \tilde{l}^2 V_L. \quad (6.18)$$

Our goal is to show that in the outer region, the effective potential V_l has a single critical point. The outer region is given by $r > r_+$ with

$$r_{\pm} = M \pm \sqrt{M^2 - Q^2}, \quad (6.19)$$

being the zeroes of the factor $1 - 2M/r + Q^2/r^2$.

We will do this by showing that a positive factor times the derivative of each of the potentials increases from a nonpositive value to infinite from $r = 2M$ to $r \rightarrow \infty$ and that the potentials are negative for r from the horizon at $r = r_+$ to $r = 2M$.

The derivatives are given by

$$V' = -2Fr^{-7}P, \quad P = 3Mr^3 - 4(Q^2 + 2M^2)r^2 + 15MQ^2r - 6Q^4, \quad (6.20)$$

$$V'_L = -2Fr^{-7}P_L, \quad P_L = r^4 - 3Mr^3 + 2Q^2r^2, \quad (6.21)$$

$$V'_l = V' + \tilde{l}^2 V'_L = -2Fr^{-7}P_l, \quad P_l = P + \tilde{l}^2 P_L. \quad (6.22)$$

We will also use

$$I = r^{-2}P = 3Mr - 4(Q^2 + 2M^2) + 15MQ^2r^{-1} - 6Q^4r^{-2}, \quad (6.23)$$

$$I_L = r^{-2}P_L = r^2 - 3Mr + 2Q^2, \quad (6.24)$$

$$I_{\tilde{l}} = r^{-2}P_{\tilde{l}} = I + \tilde{l}^2 I_L. \quad (6.25)$$

Showing that P_l has a single root in the exterior region is equivalent to showing that $I_{\tilde{l}}$ has a single root in the exterior region.

We start by showing that I and I_L are increasing in the region $r \geq 2M$. The derivative of I is

$$\partial_r I = 3M - 15MQ^2r^{-2} + 12Q^4r^{-3} \quad (6.26)$$

$$= r^{-3}(3Mr^3 - 15MQ^2r + 12Q^4). \quad (6.27)$$

The derivative of the term in brackets, for $r \geq 2M$ and $Q \leq M$, can be estimated by

$$\partial_r(3Mr^3 - 15MQ^2r + 12Q^4) = 9Mr^2 - 15MQ^2 > 0. \quad (6.28)$$

At $r = 2M$, the quantity in brackets in (6.27) is $24M^4 - 30M^2Q^2 + 12Q^4 > 0$, thus $\partial_r I$ is positive, and I is increasing from $r = 2M$. The derivative of I_L , $\partial_r I_L = 2r - 3M > 0$, is positive for $r \geq 2M$ (and even for $r > 3M/2$).

The value of these quantities at $r = 2M$ is

$$I = 6M^2 - 4(Q^2 + 2M^2) + 7.5Q^2 - \frac{3Q^4}{2M^2} \quad (6.29)$$

$$= \frac{1}{M^2}(-2M^4 + 3.5M^2Q^2 - 1.5Q^4) \leq 0, \quad (6.30)$$

$$I_L = 4M^2 - 6M^2 + 2Q^2 \leq 0. \quad (6.31)$$

Thus each of I and I_L are increasing for $r \geq 2M$, diverge to infinite as $r \rightarrow \infty$, and are nonpositive for $r = 2M$.

We now show that I and I_L is negative for r between r_+ and $2M$. To show I is negative, it is sufficient to show $P = r^2I$ is negative. This is a cubic, and we observe a number of properties about it before drawing a conclusion. The derivative is quadratic with two roots,

$$\partial_r(P) = 9Mr^2 - 8(Q^2 + 2M^2)r + 15MQ^2, \quad (6.32)$$

$$r = \frac{4Q^2 + 8M^2 \pm \sqrt{64M^4 - 71M^2Q^2 + 16Q^4}}{9M}. \quad (6.33)$$

The discriminant is bounded above by $64M^2 - 64M^2Q^2 + 16Q^4 = (8M^2 - 4Q^2)^2$. Therefore, the upper root is less than $16M/9 < 2M$. The discriminant is bounded from below by $9Q^4$, so the lower root is bounded above by $(8M^2 + Q^2)/9M < M$. The value of $P = r^2I$ at $r = r_+$ is

$$P(r_+) = -2(2M^2 - Q^2 + 2M\sqrt{M^2 - Q^2})(M^2 - Q^2) \leq 0. \quad (6.34)$$

Considered as a function on the entire real line, P goes from negative infinite to a local maximum value at the lower root of the derivative, and then decreases to a non positive value at $r = r_+$. Since r^2I is cubic, it can only have one root for $r > r_+$. Since that root is in $r \geq 2M$, the cubic must be negative for $r \in [r_+, 2M]$.

The function I_L is much easier to estimate. It has two roots at

$$r = \frac{3M \pm \sqrt{9M^2 - 8Q^2}}{2}. \quad (6.35)$$

For $Q \leq M$, the lower root is less than or equal to M and the upper root is greater than or equal to $2M$. Thus, I_L is negative from $r_+ = M + \sqrt{Q^2 - M^2}$ to $2M$.

We know that I and I_L are negative for r between $r_+ = M + \sqrt{Q^2 - M^2}$ and $2M$, are increasing for $r \geq 2M$, and go to infinite as $r \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, the weighted sum $I_{\tilde{l}} = I + \tilde{l}^2 I_L$ has the same properties and must have a single root for $r > r_+$.

Since the derivative goes from negative to positive, these critical points are maxima. Since $V_l \tilde{l}^{-2} \rightarrow V_L$ and the maximum of V_L occurs at 0, if $(\alpha_l)_*$ denotes the maximum point of V_l , then $(\alpha_l)_* \rightarrow 0$. $\square$

We now show that the commutators uniformly bound a polynomially decaying term, for an appropriate choice of b . This value of b will always be used in $\gamma_{l,\sigma}$ from now on. There are additional positive terms which are also dominated, but we are better able to take advantage of them in the later sections using a slightly modified multiplier.

Lemma 6.4. *If $\sigma > 1$, $M > 0$, and $|Q| \leq M$, then there is a choice of b for which there is a constant C such that for all $u \in \mathbb{S}$,*

$$\langle u, [H, \gamma] \rangle \geq C \langle u, (1 + \rho_*^2)^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}-1} u \rangle. \quad (6.36)$$

Proof. We work on a single spherical harmonic and sum at the end of the argument. The commutator can be computed exactly as

$$[H, \gamma] = -\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r_*^2} g \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} + \frac{\partial^3}{\partial r^3} g - g \frac{\partial^3}{\partial r^3} - \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} g \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r_*^2} \right) - \tilde{l}^2 \left(g \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} V_l - V_l g \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} \right) \quad (6.37)$$

$$= -2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} g \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} - \frac{1}{2} g''' - \tilde{l}^2 V_l'. \quad (6.38)$$

We will use x to denote $\rho_* - (\alpha_l)_*$

$$g' = \frac{b}{(1 + b|x|)^\sigma} \quad (6.39)$$

$$g'' = \frac{-b^2 \sigma \operatorname{sgn}(x)}{(1 + b|x|)^{\sigma+1}} \quad (6.40)$$

$$g''' = -b^2 \sigma 2 \delta_0(x) + \frac{b^3 \sigma (\sigma + 1)}{(1 + b|x|)^{\sigma+2}} \quad (6.41)$$

To estimate the contribution from the δ function, we introduce a smooth, weakly decreasing, compactly support function, χ which is identically one in a neighbourhood of $x = 0$ (this is unrelated to any other cut off function used elsewhere in this paper). Using integration by parts, and temporarily treating u as a function of x ,

$$0 = \int \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} (x \chi u^2) dx \quad (6.42)$$

$$= \int \chi u^2 dx + \int x \chi' u^2 dx + \int 2x \chi u \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} u dx, \quad (6.43)$$

$$\int \chi u^2 dx \leq \int (|x \chi'| + x^2 \chi) u^2 dx + \int \chi \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} u \right)^2 dx, \quad (6.44)$$

$$u(0)^2 = - \int_0^\infty \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} (\chi u^2) dx \quad (6.45)$$

$$= - \int_0^\infty \chi' u^2 dx - \int_0^\infty 2 \chi u \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} u dx \quad (6.46)$$

$$\leq \int_0^\infty |\chi'| u^2 dx + \int_0^\infty \chi u^2 dx + \int_0^\infty \chi \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} u \right)^2 dx. \quad (6.47)$$

Applying a symmetric argument for $(-\infty, 0]$ and substituting the result for $\int \chi u^2 dx$, we have

$$2u(0)^2 \leq \int (|\chi'| + |x \chi'| + x^2 \chi) u^2 dx + \int 2 \chi \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} u \right)^2 dx. \quad (6.48)$$

We use a similar integration by parts argument to estimate $(1 + b|x|)^{-\sigma-2}u$.

$$0 = \int \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} (g'' u^2) dx \quad (6.49)$$

$$= \int g''' u^2 dx + \int 2g'' u \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} u dx \quad (6.50)$$

$$b^3 \int \frac{\sigma(\sigma+1)}{(1+b|x|)^{\sigma+2}} dx \leq 2\sigma b^2 u(0)^2 \quad (6.51)$$

$$+ \left(\int b^3 \frac{\sigma(\sigma+1)}{(1+b|x|)^{\sigma+2}} u^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int \frac{2\sigma}{\sigma+1} b \frac{2(\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} u)^2}{(1+b|x|)^{\sigma}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (6.52)$$

Substituting equation (6.48),

$$b^3 \int \frac{\sigma(\sigma+1)}{(1+b|x|)^{\sigma+2}} dx \leq \sigma b^2 \int (|\chi'| + |x\chi'| + x^2\chi) u^2 dx + \sigma b^2 \int 2\chi \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} u \right)^2 dx \quad (6.53)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \int b^3 \frac{\sigma(\sigma+1)}{(1+b|x|)^{\sigma+2}} u^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{2\sigma}{\sigma+1} b \frac{2(\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} u)^2}{(1+b|x|)^{\sigma}} dx \quad (6.54)$$

$$b^3 \int \frac{\sigma(\sigma+1)}{(1+b|x|)^{\sigma+2}} dx \leq 2\sigma b^2 \int (|\chi'| + |x\chi'| + x^2\chi) u^2 dx + 2\sigma b \int \left(\frac{2}{\sigma+1} \frac{1}{(1+b|x|)^{\sigma}} + 2b\chi \right) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} u \right)^2 dx \quad (6.55)$$

The commutator term can now be estimated

$$\int 2g' \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} u \right)^2 - \frac{1}{2} g''' u^2 - gV_l' u^2 dx \quad (6.56)$$

$$= \int \frac{2b}{(1+b|x|)^{\sigma}} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} u \right)^2 dx + \sigma b^2 u(0)^2 - \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{b^3 \sigma(\sigma+1)}{(1+b|x|)^{\sigma+2}} u^2 dx - gV_l' u^2 dx \quad (6.57)$$

$$\geq \int \frac{2b}{(1+b|x|)^{\sigma}} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} u \right)^2 dx + \sigma b^2 u(0)^2 \quad (6.58)$$

$$- \sigma b^2 \int (|\chi'| + |x\chi'| + x^2\chi) u^2 dx - \sigma b \int \left(\frac{2}{\sigma+1} \frac{1}{(1+b|x|)^{\sigma}} + 2b\chi \right) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} u \right)^2 dx - gV_l' u^2 dx \quad (6.59)$$

$$\geq 2b \int \left(\frac{1}{\sigma+1} \frac{1}{(1+b|x|)^{\sigma+2}} - \sigma b\chi \right) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} u \right)^2 dx + \int (-\sigma b^2 (|\chi'| + |x\chi'| + x^2\chi) - gV_l') u^2 dx + \sigma b^2 u(0)^2 \quad (6.60)$$

The term $\sigma b u(0)^2$ is positive and will be ignored. The term $(\sigma+1)^{-1}(1+b|x|)^{-\sigma-2} - \sigma b\chi$ is independent of l , so we can choose b sufficiently small so that this is bounded below by $c(1+b|x|)^{-\sigma-2}$. Since $V_l' = V' + V_L' \tilde{l}^2$, and the $(\alpha_l)_*$ converge, the value of V_l'' is uniformly bounded from below at $(\alpha_l)_*$, and b can be chosen sufficiently small so that the quadratically vanishing quantity $-\sigma b^2 (|\chi'| + |x\chi'| + x^2\chi) - gV_l'$ is bounded below by $-cx^2\chi$, uniformly in l . Thus there are uniform constants, c_1 and c_2 , for which,

$$\int 2g' \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} u \right)^2 - \frac{1}{2} g''' u^2 - gV_l' u^2 dx \quad (6.61)$$

$$\geq c_1 \int \frac{1}{(1+b|x|)^{\sigma}} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} u \right)^2 - x^2 \chi u^2 dx \quad (6.62)$$

$$\geq c_2 \int \frac{1}{(1+b|x|)^{\sigma+2}} u^2 dx. \quad (6.63)$$

Since the $(\alpha_l)_*$ converge, there is a uniform equivalence between $(1+b|x|)^{-\sigma-2}$ and $(1+\rho_*^2)^{-\sigma/2-1}$. Integrating over the angular variables gives

$$\langle u, [H, \gamma] u \rangle \geq C \langle u, \frac{1}{(1+\rho_*^2)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}+1}} u \rangle. \quad (6.64)$$

□

6.3 L^2 local decay estimate

We begin with a type of Gronwall's estimate.

Lemma 6.5. *If $\theta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ with uniformly bounded derivative, $\epsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{3})$, and there are constants C_1, C_2 , and T , such that for $t > T$*

$$\int_0^t \theta(\tau)^2 d\tau \leq C_1 + C_2 t^\epsilon \theta(t)^{1-\epsilon} \quad (6.65)$$

then there is a sequence $\{t_i\}$ such that $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} t_i = \infty$ and

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} t_i^\epsilon \theta(t_i)^{1-\epsilon} = 0 \quad (6.66)$$

Proof. Successively stronger bounds on $\theta(t)$ will be proven.

The bound on the derivative implies $\theta(t)$ and $t^\epsilon \theta(t)^{1-\epsilon}$ are linearly bounded above.

Suppose there is no sequence $\{t_i\}$ on which $\theta(t_i) \rightarrow 0$, then $\theta(t)$ is bounded below by a constant. By the integral condition $t^\epsilon \theta(t)^{1-\epsilon}$ is bounded below by a linear function. From this $\theta(t)^2$ is bounded below by a quadratic, and its integral is bounded below by a cubic. This contradicts the linear upper bound of the integral. Therefore, there is a sequence $\{t_i\}$ such that $t_i \rightarrow \infty$ and $\theta(t_i) \rightarrow 0$.

Since $\epsilon < \frac{1}{3}$, there exists $r < 1$ such that

$$-\frac{1-\epsilon}{2-r+r\epsilon} < -\frac{\epsilon}{1-\epsilon}$$

Now choose δ negative such that $\delta \geq \frac{-\epsilon}{1-\epsilon}$, from which it follows that

$$r\delta < 1 + \frac{2\delta}{1-\epsilon} \quad (6.67)$$

Suppose $\exists K > 0, S > 0 : \forall t > S : \theta(t) > Kt^\delta$, then by the integral condition (6.65) there is a positive C_3 such that

$$C_3 t^{1+2\delta} \leq C_1 + C_2 t^\epsilon \theta(t)^{1-\epsilon} \quad (6.68)$$

Since $\delta \geq \frac{-\epsilon}{1-\epsilon} > -\frac{1}{2}$, $1+2\delta$ is strictly positive. Thus for sufficiently large t , there are constants C_4, C_5 such that

$$\begin{aligned} C_4 t^{1+2\delta} &\leq t^\epsilon \theta(t)^{1-\epsilon} \\ C_5 t^{1+\frac{2\delta}{1-\epsilon}} &\leq \theta(t) \end{aligned}$$

If δ is sufficiently close to zero, then this contradicts the previous result that $\theta(t)$ goes to zero on a subsequence. If δ is larger, then we use the fact that by equation (6.67), $\theta(t) \geq C_5 t^{r\delta}$. This implies the original assumed lower bound is replaced by the larger lower bound $t^{r\delta}$. Repeated iterations of this process shows that $\theta(t)$ is bounded below by $t^{r^n \delta}$ for any n . Since $r < 1$, this reduces the situation to the δ close to zero case, which led to a contradiction. Thus $\theta(t)$ can not be bounded below by a function of the form Kt^δ for $\delta \geq \frac{-\epsilon}{1-\epsilon}$. In particular, $\theta(t)$ can not be bounded below by a function of the form $Kt^{\frac{-\epsilon}{1-\epsilon}}$ and so the desired subsequence must exist. $\square$

The propagation observable γ majorates the weight $(1 + \rho_*^2)^{-\beta}$ for $\beta > \frac{3}{2}$. This is a regularised version of the Morawetz estimate. As a consequence, the solution is space-time integrable in any bounded region, and must decay in that region.

Theorem 6.6 (Local Decay Estimate). *If $\beta > \frac{3}{2}$, then there is a positive constants K_{LD} and such that for $u \in \mathbb{S}$ a solution to the wave equation, $\ddot{u} + Hu = 0$,*

$$\int_1^\infty \|(1 + \rho_*^2)^{\frac{-\beta}{2}} u\|^2 dt \leq K_{LD} \sqrt{E} (\sqrt{E} + \|u(1)\|) \quad (6.69)$$

where $E = E[u_0, u_1]$.

Proof. First a crude estimate on the norm growth of $\|(1 + \rho_*^2)^{-\frac{\beta}{2}} u\|$ is made. In this paragraph, we use the notation $f \equiv (1 + \rho_*^2)^{-\frac{\beta}{2}} \leq 1$.

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \|fu\|^2 = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \langle fu, fu \rangle \quad (6.70)$$

$$2\|fu\| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \|fu\| = 2\langle fu, fu \rangle \quad (6.71)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \|fu\| \leq \|f\dot{u}\| \leq \|\dot{u}\| \leq \sqrt{E[u(1)]} \quad (6.72)$$

Initially β is restricted to $(\frac{3}{2}, 2)$, and the variable $\sigma = 2(\beta - 1) \in (1, 2)$ is used. This σ is distinct from the σ used previously in the commutator argument and definition of γ . From the commutator estimates lemma 6.4, there is a constants C_2 such that

$$\int_1^T C_2 \|(1 + \rho_*^2)^{-\frac{\beta}{2}} u\|^2 dt \leq - \int_1^T \langle u, [\sum_{i=1}^3 H_i, \gamma] u \rangle dt \quad (6.73)$$

From integrating the Heisenberg relation, the integral on the right is bounded by

$$\int_1^T \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\langle u, \gamma \dot{u} \rangle - \langle \dot{u}, \gamma u \rangle) dt \leq [\langle u, \gamma \dot{u} \rangle - \langle \dot{u}, \gamma u \rangle]_{t=1}^T \quad (6.74)$$

$$\leq [\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \langle u, \gamma u \rangle - 2\langle \dot{u}, \gamma u \rangle]_{t=1}^T \quad (6.75)$$

$$\leq [-2\langle \dot{u}, \gamma u \rangle]_{t=1}^T \quad (6.76)$$

$$\leq [\|\dot{u}\| \|\gamma u\|]_{t=1} + [\|\dot{u}\| \|\gamma u\|]_{t=T} \quad (6.77)$$

From energy conservation and the bound on $\|\gamma u\|$ in lemma 6.2, these norms are bounded by

$$[\|\dot{u}\| \|\gamma u\|]_{t=1} + [\|\dot{u}\| \|\gamma u\|]_{t=T} \leq C_1 \sqrt{E} (\sqrt{E} + \|(1 + \rho_*^2)^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}} u(1)\|) \quad (6.78)$$

$$+ C_2 \sqrt{E} (\sqrt{E} + \|(1 + \rho_*^2)^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}} u(T)\|) \quad (6.79)$$

Since $\sigma \in (1, 2)$, q can be chosen in $(\frac{1}{\sigma} + \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}) \subset (1, \frac{3}{2})$. If p is the conjugate exponent to q and $\kappa \equiv \frac{2}{p}$, then

$$\frac{1}{p} > 1 - \frac{2}{3} = \frac{1}{3} \quad (6.80)$$

$$(\frac{2 - \kappa}{2})q = 1 \quad (6.81)$$

$$\sigma q > \frac{\sigma + 2}{2} \quad (6.82)$$

Hölder's inequality can be applied to the last term in equation (6.79) using conjugate exponent p and q . Continuing to use $\|\bullet\|$ as the L^2 norm gives,

$$\|(1 + \rho_*^2)^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}} u\|^2 = \int_{\mathfrak{M}} \frac{|u|^\kappa |u|^{2-\kappa}}{(1 + \rho_*^2)^\sigma} d^3 \mu \quad (6.83)$$

$$\leq (\int_{\mathfrak{M}} |u|^{p\kappa} d^3 \mu)^{\frac{1}{p}} (\int_{\mathfrak{M}} \frac{|u|^{(2-\kappa)q}}{(1 + \rho_*^2)^{\sigma q}} d^3 \mu)^{\frac{1}{q}} \quad (6.84)$$

$$\leq \| |u|^{\frac{p\kappa}{2}} \|_{\frac{2}{p}}^{\frac{2}{p}} \| \frac{|u|^{\frac{2-\kappa}{2}q}}{(1 + \rho_*^2)^{\frac{\sigma q}{2}}} \|_{\frac{2}{q}}^{\frac{2}{q}} \quad (6.85)$$

The fact that $\sigma q > \frac{\sigma+2}{2}$ can now be used.

$$\|(1 + \rho_*^2)^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}} u\| \leq \|u\|_{\frac{1}{p}}^{\frac{1}{p}} \|(1 + \rho_*^2)^{-\frac{\sigma-2}{4}} u\|^{1-\frac{1}{p}} \quad (6.86)$$

Since $\|\dot{u}\|^2 \leq E$, the norm of u is controlled, $\|u(t)\| \leq \|u(1)\| + tE^{\frac{1}{2}}$. The computations from the beginning of 6.73 to 6.86 give an integral inequality for the weighted norm of u .

$$\int_1^T \|(1 + \rho_*^2)^{\frac{-\sigma-2}{4}} u\|^2 dt \leq C_1(E[u] + \|u\|^2) + C_2 E[u]^{\frac{1}{2p}} t^{\frac{1}{p}} \|(1 + \rho_*^2)^{\frac{-\sigma-2}{4}} u\|^{1-\frac{1}{p}} \quad (6.87)$$

Since $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \|(1 + \rho_*^2)^{\frac{-\sigma-2}{4}} u\|$ is uniformly bounded by equation (6.72), and since $\frac{1}{p} \in (0, \frac{1}{3})$, the Gronwall's estimate lemma 6.5 applies, and there is a subsequence on which

$$t^{\frac{1}{p}} \|(1 + \rho_*^2)^{\frac{-\sigma-2}{4}} u\|^{1-\frac{1}{p}} \rightarrow 0 \quad (6.88)$$

Using the calculations starting from the left hand side of equation (6.73), which is monotonically increasing in time, to equation (6.86), which is sequentially decreasing, the desired result holds for $\beta \in (\frac{3}{2}, 2)$. Since $(1 + \rho_*^2)^{\frac{-\beta}{2}} |u|$ is monotonically decreasing in β , the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem extends the result to all $\beta > \frac{3}{2}$. $\square$

To conclude this section, we apply this result to the conformal estimate. Since the weights in the local decay theorem will dominate any compactly supported function, if u is a radial function, the trapping terms can be integrated in time to control the growth of the conformal charge and the weighted L^6 norm.

Corollary 6.7. *In the non super critical cases, $|Q| \leq M$, if $u \in \mathbb{S}$ is a solution to the wave equation, $\ddot{u} + Hu = 0$, and u_0 and u_1 are radial functions, then there is a constant, C , depending only on $\|u_0\|^2$, $E[u_0, u_1]$, and $E_C[u_0, u_1]$ such that,*

$$\left(\int |u|^6(t, \rho_*, \omega) F^3 r^{-4} d^3 \mu \right)^{\frac{1}{6}} \leq C t^{\frac{-1}{3}} \quad (6.89)$$

Proof. Since the initial conditions are radial, the solution u will remain radial, and

$$\int W_L |\nabla_{S^2} u|^2 d\mu = 0. \quad (6.90)$$

Since the potential trapping term, W , is compactly supported, it can be dominated by the weights in the local decay result. This provides a bound on the conformal charge.

$$E_C[u(T), \dot{u}(T)] \leq E_C[u_0, u_1] + \int_1^T \int 2\tau W |u|^2 d^3 \mu d\tau \quad (6.91)$$

$$\leq E_C[u_0, u_1] + t \int \int 2W |u|^2 d^3 \mu d\tau \quad (6.92)$$

$$\leq E_C[u_0, u_1] + tE^{\frac{1}{2}}(E^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|u_0\|) \quad (6.93)$$

From proposition 3.11,

$$\int |u|^6(t, \rho_*, \omega) F^3 r^{-4} d^3 \mu \leq C(E[u_0, u_1] + E_C[u(t), \dot{u}(t)]t^{-2})E_C[u(t), \dot{u}(t)]t^{-4} \quad (6.94)$$

$$\leq C t^{-2} \quad (6.95)$$

$\square$

7 Angular Modulation

To close the conformal estimate it is necessary to estimate one angular derivative in L^2 localised near the photon sphere $r = \alpha$. Although this will not be possible, we will be able to bound fractional powers of the angular derivative. To do this, we introduce a propagation observable, which is an analogue of γ but is

rescaled, on each spherical harmonic, by a fractional power of $1 - \Delta_{S^2}$. In this section, we control $(1 - \Delta_{S^2})^{\frac{3}{2}}$ in expectation value.

Inspired by, but deviating from, the standard notation from Quantum Mechanics, L is notationally used to refer to the operator square root of $1 - \Delta_{S^2}$; however, since an explicit decomposition of the function space into eigenfunctions of $1 - \Delta_{S^2}$ is known, at this point it can still be thought of as a purely notational convenience. A strictly positive version of $-\Delta_{S^2}$ is used so that inverse powers can be taken in section 8. This differs slightly from $\tilde{l}$, which was used in the previous section but will not be used again.

Definition 7.1. L is defined to be the operator square root of $1 - \Delta_{S^2}$. On each spherical harmonic this acts as multiplication by $\sqrt{1 + l(l+1)}$.

7.1 Angular Modulation and Initial Estimates

A new operator γ_{L^m} is introduced to give better estimates near $\rho_* = 0$. Because the contribution from $H_2 = V$ involves no powers of L , the local decay result allows us to ignore it, and the new multiplier is centred at the peak of V_L uniformly in L . Instead, the rescaling parameter will vary with L^m .

Definition 7.2. The angularly modulated multiplier γ_{L^m} is defined for $m \in [0, 1/2]$, $b > 0$, and $\sigma > 1$, by

$$g_{L^m} \equiv \int_0^{bL^m \rho_*} (1 + |\tau|)^{-\sigma} d\tau \quad (7.1)$$

$$= \sum_0^\infty \int_0^{b(1+l(l+1))^{\frac{m}{2}} \rho_*} (1 + |\tau|)^{-\sigma} d\tau \mathbf{P}_l \quad (7.2)$$

$$\gamma_{L^m} \equiv \frac{1}{2} (g_{L^m} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} g_{L^m}) \quad (7.3)$$

We will take $\sigma \geq 2$ since there is no improvement in varying σ and it simplifies the estimates.

Lemma 7.3. If $m \in [0, 1/2]$, $\sigma \geq 2$, and $b > 0$, then for all $u \in \mathbb{S}$,

$$\|\gamma_{L^m} u\|^2 \leq C_m (E[u] + \|(1 + \rho_*^2)^{-1} u\|^2). \quad (7.4)$$

Proof. Since $\sigma \geq 2$, g_{L^m} is bounded in absolute value by C_σ . The derivative is bounded on each spherical harmonic shell.

$$g'_{L^m} = L^m (1 + bL^m |\rho_*|)^{-\sigma} \leq L^m (1 + bL^m |\rho_*|)^{-2} \leq C (L^m F^{-\frac{1}{2}} r^{-1} + (1 + \rho_*^2)^{-1}) \quad (7.5)$$

(this constant is independent of the spherical harmonic shell). The norm $\|g'_{L^m} u\|^2$ is bounded by $\|LF^{\frac{1}{2}} r^{-1} u\|^2 + \|(1 + \rho_*^2)^{-1} u\|^2$ which are bounded by the energy and local decay norm.

$$\|\gamma_{L^m} u\|^2 \leq 2(\|g_{L^m} u'\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|g'_{L^m} u\|^2) \quad (7.6)$$

$$\leq C_m (E[u] + \|(1 + \rho_*^2)^{-1} u\|^2). \quad (7.7)$$

□

7.2 Direct Angular Momentum Bounds

The family of multipliers γ_{L^m} is not sufficient to prove a local decay estimate for the angular energy $\langle u, W_L L^2 u \rangle$. Instead only an estimate for $\langle u, W_L L^{\frac{3}{2}} u \rangle$ can be proven directly without phase space analysis. The same method as in the proof of local decay is used, and the best result is found by optimising over m . We track some of the additional positive terms more carefully to gain this improvement.

Whenever a commutator involving a ρ_* localisation or its derivatives, appears in a calculation, that term can be controlled by the previous local decay result. For this reason, we start by ignoring the contribution from H_2 and estimate it later.

Lemma 7.4. *If $m \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$, $\sigma \geq 2$, $\epsilon > 0$, and a continuous, compactly supported function $\tilde{\chi}$, then there is a choice of b , constants C_1 , C_2 and C_3 and a smooth, compactly supported function χ such that for all $u \in \mathbb{S}$,*

$$\langle u, [H_1 + H_3, \gamma_{L^m}]u \rangle \geq C_1 \langle u', \frac{L^m}{(1 + (\frac{L^m \rho_*}{2M}))^2} u' \rangle \quad (7.8)$$

$$+ (1 - \frac{\epsilon}{3}) \langle u, -gV'_L(L^2 - 1)u \rangle - C_2 \int b^2 \rho_*^2 \chi u^2 d\rho_*, \quad (7.9)$$

$$\geq \epsilon \int L^{3m} \tilde{\chi} u^2 d\rho_* + (1 - \epsilon) \int -gV'_L(L^2 - 1)u^2 d\rho_* - C_3 \int b^2 \rho_*^2 \chi u^2 d\rho_*. \quad (7.10)$$

Proof. We follow the same argument as before, but with the extra parameters from scaling by L^m . From the definition of g , we have

$$g' = \frac{L^m b}{(1 + L^m b |\rho_*|)^\sigma}, \quad (7.11)$$

$$g'' = \frac{-\sigma L^{2m} b^2 \text{sgn} \rho_*}{(1 + L^m b |\rho_*|)^{\sigma+1}}, \quad (7.12)$$

$$g''' = -2L^{2m} b^2 \sigma \delta(\rho_*) + \sigma(\sigma + 1) L^{3m} \frac{b^3}{(1 + L^m b |\rho_*|)^{\sigma+2}}. \quad (7.13)$$

Once again, we begin by controlling the δ function appearing in g''' . For this argument, χ denotes a compactly supported function, identically one in an interval of width L^{-m} about the origin and supported on an interval of the same scale.

$$0 = \int \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} (\rho_* \chi u^2) d\rho_* \quad (7.14)$$

$$= \int \chi u^2 d\rho_* + \int \rho_* \chi' u^2 d\rho_* + \int 2\rho_* \chi u \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} u d\rho_* \quad (7.15)$$

We isolate the first term, note that the derivative of a characteristic function is bounded by $L^{2m} \rho_*$ times a (different) characteristic function (also denoted χ), multiply by L^{3m} , and apply Cauchy-Schwartz,

$$\int L^{3m} \chi u^2 d\rho_* \leq \int L^{5m} \rho_*^2 \chi u^2 d\rho_* + 2 \left(\int L^{5m} \rho_*^2 \chi^2 u^2 d\rho_* \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int L^m \chi \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} u \right)^2 d\rho_* \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (7.16)$$

We control the value of the function at $\rho_* = 0$ using a similar method, integrating only on the positive axis to get the value at the origin.

$$u(0)^2 = - \int_0^\infty \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} (\chi u^2) d\rho_* \quad (7.17)$$

$$= - \int_0^\infty \chi' u^2 d\rho_* - \int_0^\infty 2\chi u \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} u d\rho_* \quad (7.18)$$

$$\leq C \left(\int \chi u^2 d\rho_* \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int L^{4m} \rho_*^2 \chi u^2 d\rho_* + \int \chi \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} u \right)^2 d\rho_* \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (7.19)$$

We now multiply by L to the power $2m$.

$$L^{2m} u(0)^2 \leq C \left(\int L^{3m} \chi u^2 d\rho_* \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int L^{5m} \rho_*^2 \chi u^2 d\rho_* + \int L^m \chi \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} u \right)^2 d\rho_* \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (7.20)$$

Applying equation (7.16) to control the weighted L^2 norms, we have,

$$L^{2m} u(0)^2 \leq C_0 \left(\int L^{5m} \rho_*^2 \chi u^2 d\rho_* + \int L^m \chi \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} u \right)^2 d\rho_* \right). \quad (7.21)$$

We now turn to controlling non compactly supported weights coming from g''' .

$$0 = \int \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} (g'' u^2) d\rho_* \quad (7.22)$$

$$= \int g''' u^2 d\rho_* + \int 2g'' u \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} u d\rho_* \quad (7.23)$$

$$L^{3m} b^3 \int \frac{\sigma(\sigma+1)}{(1+bL^m|\rho_*|)^{\sigma+2}} d\rho_* \leq 2\sigma L^{2m} b^2 u(0)^2 \quad (7.24)$$

$$+ \left(\int L^{3m} b^3 \frac{\sigma(\sigma+1)}{(1+bL^m|\rho_*|)^{\sigma+2}} u^2 d\rho_* \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int \frac{2\sigma}{\sigma+1} bL^m \frac{2(\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} u)^2}{(1+bL^m|\rho_*|)^{\sigma}} d\rho_* \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (7.25)$$

Substituting equation (7.21) and noting $\chi \leq C(1+bL^m|\rho_*|)^{\sigma}$,

$$L^{3m} b^3 \int \frac{\sigma(\sigma+1)}{(1+bL^m|\rho_*|)^{\sigma+2}} u^2 d\rho_* \quad (7.26)$$

$$\leq \sigma b C_0 \left(\int bL^{5m} \rho_*^2 \chi u^2 d\rho_* + \int bL^m \frac{2(\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} u)^2}{(1+bL^m|\rho_*|)^{\sigma}} d\rho_* \right) \quad (7.27)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \left(\int L^{3m} b^3 \frac{\sigma(\sigma+1)}{(1+bL^m|\rho_*|)^{\sigma+2}} u^2 d\rho_* + \int \frac{2\sigma}{\sigma+1} L^m b \frac{2(\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} u)^2}{(1+bL^m|\rho_*|)^{\sigma}} d\rho_* \right) \quad (7.28)$$

$$L^{3m} b^3 \int \frac{\sigma(\sigma+1)}{(1+bL^m|\rho_*|)^{\sigma+2}} u^2 d\rho_* \quad (7.29)$$

$$\leq 2\sigma b C_0 \int L^{5m} b \rho_*^2 \chi u^2 d\rho_* + \left(\frac{2\sigma}{\sigma+1} + 2\sigma b C_0 \right) \int bL^m \frac{2(\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} u)^2}{(1+bL^m|\rho_*|)^{\sigma}} d\rho_* \quad (7.30)$$

The commutator term can now be estimated

$$\int 2g' \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} u \right)^2 - \frac{1}{2} g''' u^2 - gV'_L (L^2 - 1) u^2 d\rho_* \quad (7.31)$$

$$\geq \int L^m b \frac{2(\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} u)^2}{1+b|\rho_*|} d\rho_* + L^{2m} b^2 \sigma u(0)^2 \quad (7.32)$$

$$- \int L^{3m} b^3 \frac{\sigma(\sigma+1)}{2(1+b|\rho_*|)^{\sigma+2}} u^2 d\rho_* - \int gV'_L (L^2 - 1) u^2 d\rho_* \quad (7.33)$$

$$\geq \int \left(\frac{2}{\sigma+1} - 2\sigma b C_0 \right) L^m b \frac{(\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} u)^2}{(1+b|\rho_*|)^{\sigma}} d\rho_* + L^{2m} b^2 \sigma u(0)^2 \quad (7.34)$$

$$- \int \sigma L^{5m} b^2 C_0 \rho_*^2 \chi u^2 d\rho_* - \int gV'_L (L^2 - 1) u^2 d\rho_*. \quad (7.35)$$

We choose b sufficiently small so that $2/(\sigma+1) - 2\sigma b C_0 > 1/2$ and $-(\epsilon/3)\rho_* V'_L \chi > \sigma b^2 \rho_*^2 \chi$. This choice of b can be computed explicitly from C_0 and $V'_L(0)$, but it will be independent of the spherical harmonic. Since g vanishes like $L^m \rho_*$ in the support of χ , under these conditions, $-(\epsilon/3)gV'_L L^2 > \sigma L^{5m} b^2 \rho_*^2 \chi$. This gives us sufficient control, except in the case $L^2 - 1 = 0$, when we must subtract off an additional localisation term. With these choices, we have

$$\int 2g' \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} u \right)^2 - \frac{1}{2} g''' u^2 - gV'_L (L^2 - 1) u^2 d\rho_* \quad (7.36)$$

$$\geq c \int L^m b \frac{(\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} u)^2}{(1+bL^m|\rho_*|)^{\sigma}} d\rho_* + cL^{2m} b^2 u(0)^2 - (1 - \frac{\epsilon}{3}) \int gV'_L (L^2 - 1) u^2 d\rho_* - C \int b^2 \rho_*^2 \chi d\rho_*. \quad (7.37)$$

We ignore the $u(0)^2$ term and divide the remaining positive terms into three pieces. A large piece will be $1 - \epsilon$ times $-gV'_L (L^2 - 1)$. Another piece will be the $(u')^2$ term and $\epsilon/3$ times $-gV'_L (L^2 - 1)$. In a compact

set of width L^{-m} , by equation (7.16), this controls L^{3m} , up to a compactly supported term coming from the $L^2 - 1 = 0$ case. The remaining $\epsilon/3$ factor of $-gV'_L$, we use outside $|\rho_*| \leq L^{-m}$. In this outer region, but inside a compact set independent of L , $-gV'_L(L^2 - 1)$ dominates any continuous, compactly supported function, $\tilde{\chi}$, by a factor of $L^{2-m} > L^{3m}$, again with an extra contribution required for the $L^2 - 1 = 0$ case. Here, we use the assumption $m \leq 1/2$ again. From this,

$$\int 2g'(\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*}u)^2 - \frac{1}{2}g'''u^2 - gV'_Lu^2 d\rho_* \quad (7.38)$$

$$\geq c\epsilon \int L^{3m}\tilde{\chi}u^2 d\rho_* + (1-\epsilon) \int gV'_L(L^2 - 1)u^2 d\rho_* - C \int b^2\rho_*^2\chi d\rho_*. \quad (7.39)$$

Recall $\tilde{\chi}$ denotes an arbitrary continuous, compactly supported function, and χ is supported on $|\rho_*| < CL^{-m}$. $\square$

The next lemma says the contribution from H_2 is a local decay term.

Lemma 7.5. *If $m \in [0, 1/2]$, $\sigma \geq 2$, and $b > 0$, then there is a positive constant C such that for all $u \in \mathbb{S}$,*

$$|\langle u, [H_2, \gamma_{L^m}]u \rangle| \leq C\langle u, (1 + \rho_*^2)^{-2}u \rangle \quad (7.40)$$

Proof. From lemma 3.9, the derivative of the potential is $-V' = 2Fr^{-7}P_Q(r)$ where P_Q is a cubic polynomial in r .

Since for $\rho_* \rightarrow \infty$, $r \sim \rho_*$, and for $\rho_* \rightarrow -\infty$, F decays exponentially, there is a constant C so that $|V'| < C(1 + \rho_*^2)^{-2}$. Since $|g_{L^m}|$ is bounded by a constant and $[H_2, \gamma_{L^m}] = -g_{L^m}V'$, the result holds. $\square$

The previous two lemmas shows that γ_{L^m} is a propagation observable which majorates powers of L . That is, ignoring terms which are space- time integrable, the commutator is bounded below by the product of powers of L and localisation functions. These localisation functions are functions of $L^m\rho_*$. In the following theorem, the Heisenberg-type relation with γ_{L^m} is integrated in time. The theorem shows that γ_{L^m} is a bounded operator on the energy space.

The localising function $\tilde{\chi}$ is included to restrict attention to the region ρ_* bounded but on an L independent scale. In the theorem, taking the optimal value $m = \frac{1}{2}$ gives an estimate for $\langle u, \tilde{\chi}L^{\frac{3}{2}}u \rangle$. The estimate for other values of m will be used later, in the phase space analysis.

Theorem 7.6. ⁵ *If $m \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$, then there is a constant C such that for all $u \in \mathbb{S}$ which satisfy the linear wave equation, $\ddot{u} + Hu = 0$,*

$$\int_1^\infty \langle u', \frac{L^m}{(1 + (L^m\rho_*)^2)}u' \rangle + \langle u, -gV'_L(L^2 - 1)u \rangle dt \leq C(E[u] + \|u(1)\|^2) \quad (7.41)$$

$$\int_1^\infty \langle u, L^{\frac{3}{2}}\chi_\alpha u \rangle \leq C(E[u] + \|u(1)\|^2) \quad (7.42)$$

Proof. Applying the Heisenberg identity to the operator γ_{L^m} gives

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\langle \dot{u}, \gamma_{L^m}u \rangle - \langle u, \gamma_{L^m}\dot{u} \rangle) = \langle u, \sum_{i=1}^3 H_i u \rangle \quad (7.43)$$

Using lemma 7.3 and the fact that γ_{L^m} is antisymmetric with respect to the $L^2(\mathfrak{M})$ norm when acting on C^∞ functions, this equation can be integrated to get an estimate on the commutators.

$$\int_1^t \langle u, [\sum_{i=1}^3 H_i, \gamma_{L^m}]u \rangle d\tau \leq 2\|\dot{u}\| \|\gamma_{L^m}u\|_1^t \quad (7.44)$$

$$\leq C(E[u] + \|(1 + \rho_*^2)^{-1}u(1)\|^2 + \|(1 + \rho_*^2)^{-1}u(t)\|^2) \quad (7.45)$$

⁵This is the equivalent of theorem 7.8 from the previous draft.

By the local decay result, theorem 6.6, and lemma 7.5,

$$\int_1^\infty |\langle u, [H_2, \gamma_{L^m}]u \rangle| d\tau \leq \int_1^\infty \| (1 + \rho_*^2)^{-1} u \|^2 d\tau \leq C(E[u] + \|u(1)\|^2), \quad (7.46)$$

$$\int_1^\infty \int_{\mathfrak{M}} b^2 \rho_*^2 \chi u^2 d\rho_* d\tau \leq \int_1^\infty \| (1 + \rho_*^2)^{-1} u \|^2 d\tau \leq C(E[u] + \|u(1)\|^2), \quad (7.47)$$

$$(7.48)$$

From the first estimate for $[H_1 + H_3, \gamma_{L^m}]$, equation (7.9), the following estimate holds on a sequence of times:

$$\int_1^t \langle u', \frac{L^m}{(1 + (\frac{L^m \rho_*}{2M}))^2} u' \rangle + \langle u, -gV'_L(L^2 - 1)u \rangle d\tau \quad (7.49)$$

$$\leq C(E[u] + \|u(1)\|^2 + \| (1 + \rho_*^2)^{-1} u(t) \|^2) \quad (7.50)$$

$$+ C(E[u] + \| (1 + \rho_*^2)^{-1} u(1) \|^2) \quad (7.51)$$

Since the left hand side is monotonically increasing and the right hand side is decreasing on a sequence, by the local decay estimate, theorem (6.6), equation (7.41) holds for all t .

Equation (7.42) is proven by taking $m = \frac{1}{2}$, and applying the same argument using the estimate in (7.16).

$$\int_1^\infty \langle u, L^{\frac{3}{2}} \chi_\alpha u \rangle \leq C(E[u] + \|u(1)\|^2) \quad (7.52)$$

□

This has proven an estimate for $\langle u, L^{\frac{3}{2}} \chi_\alpha u \rangle$. In the later phase space analysis, it will be necessary to estimate mixed derivative norms involving $\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*}$ and functions of L and ρ_* . The following corollary is a result of theorem 7.6. It controls the expectation value of localised $\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*}$ terms. This could have been proven directly from the Morawetz estimate with out angular modulation.

Corollary 7.7. *There is a positive constant C such that for all $u \in \mathbb{S}$ which satisfy the linear wave equation, $\ddot{u} + Hu = 0$,*

$$\int_1^\infty \langle u', \frac{1}{1 + \rho_*^2} u' \rangle d\tau \leq C(E(u) + \|u(1)\|^2). \quad (7.53)$$

In particular, if u is a solution of the linear wave equation, $\ddot{u} + Hu = 0$, and χ_1 is a smooth, compactly supported function, then there is a C such that,

$$\int_1^\infty \langle u', \chi_1 u' \rangle d\tau \leq C(E(u) + \|u(1)\|^2), \quad (7.54)$$

$$\int_1^\infty \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} \chi_1 u \right\|^2 d\tau \leq C(E(u) + \|u(1)\|^2). \quad (7.55)$$

Proof. Using the first term in the first estimate of theorem 7.41 with $m = 0$ gives this result. □

We also have control of the full two angular derivatives in any compactly supported region, with a weight which vanishes quadratically at the photon sphere, $\rho_* = 0$.

Corollary 7.8. *For any continuous, compactly supported function $\tilde{\chi}$, there is a positive constant C such that for all $u \in \mathbb{S}$ which satisfy the linear wave equation, $\ddot{u} + Hu = 0$,*

$$\int_1^\infty \langle u, \rho_*^2 \tilde{\chi} L^2 u \rangle d\tau \leq C(E[u] + \|u(1)\|^2). \quad (7.56)$$

Proof. Again using the first estimate of theorem 7.41 with $m = 0$, this time using the second term, and noting that any continuous, compactly supported function which vanishes quadratically at the photon sphere, in particular $\rho_*^2 \chi$, is dominated by $-gV'_L$. On this compactly supported region, the difference between $L^2 - 1$ and L^2 is order one, so it's a local decay term, and also space time integrable. □

8 Phase Space Analysis

The main conclusion of this section is the phase space induction theorem. It does not control the time integral of the angular energy, but instead loses an arbitrarily small power of L . The bounds are still in terms of the initial energy and the L^2 norm.

To prove this result, we introduce the operators $\Gamma_{n,m}$ to majorate $L^{\frac{3+m}{2}}$. Each $\Gamma_{n,m}$ is a phase space localised version of γ_{L^m} . Our localisation will use the radial variable and the radial derivative rescaled using the powers of L . We call these rescaled quantities the phase space variables. Because of the localisation, the commutator of $\Gamma_{n,m}$ and H dominates $L^{\frac{3+m}{2}}$ only in regions of phase space. This is where our definition of propagation observable differs from the standard one. In the standard definition, the commutator of a propagation observable must dominate the operator it is said to majorate; whereas, we allow this domination to occur in a region of phase space. Under our definition, but not the standard one, $\Gamma_{n,m}$ majorates $L^{\frac{3+m}{2}}$.

The definition of majoration always permits “lower order terms”. For $\Gamma_{n,m}$, these will be $L^{\frac{1+2n}{2}}$. So that the lower order terms truly are lower order, we require $0 \leq n \leq m \leq \frac{1}{2}$ and $n < \frac{1}{2}$. By combining various m and n choices, we eventually show that $\|L^{1-\varepsilon}\chi_\alpha u\|^2$ is integrable in time.

In subsection 1.1, *Structure of the Paper*, there is already an outline of this section, which we repeat in brief. Subsection 8.1 introduces the phase space variables and $\Gamma_{n,m}$. Subsection 8.2 introduces the commutator expansion theorem, which allows us to expand commutators of functions of the phase space variables, and several lemmas for common cases. Subsection 8.3 computes the commutators to show $\Gamma_{n,m}$ dominates powers of L with localisation in both phase space variables. Subsection 8.4 combines these estimates to prove estimates which are localised in the rescaled radial derivative alone. Finally, subsection 8.5 combines these in a finite induction to eliminate all phase space localisation. This is sufficient to prove pointwise in time L^6 decay.

8.1 Phase Space Variables, Localisation, and Multipliers

Previously, we introduced a radial variable which was rescaled by the angular momentum. Now, we also introduce a rescaled radial derivative. We refer to these as the phase space variables $\mathbf{x}_m$ and ξ_n respectively. These will be rescaled separately with different parameters m and n . By varying these parameters, we will be able to control powers of L in various regions of phase space.

Definition 8.1. *The phase space variables are*

$$\mathbf{x}_m = L^m \rho_* \tag{8.1}$$

$$\xi_n = -iL^{n-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} \tag{8.2}$$

Corollary 7.8 provides control on the angular energy away from $\rho_* = 0$, so that the angular component of the energy only needs to be controlled in a compact set around $\rho_* = 0$. This is the region near $r = \alpha$. We introduce the weight χ_α to work in this region; although, the particular choice of χ_α will not matter.

Definition 8.2. *The function χ_α is defined to be a smooth, compactly supported, radial function, such that $\chi_\alpha \leq 1$, and $\chi_\alpha = 1$ in a neighbourhood of $\rho_* = 0$.*

For the phase space variables, we have a notion of lower order, involving our choice of χ_α .

Notation 8.3. *The notation $O(L^1)$ denotes functions of time which are integrable, and for which the L^1 norm in time is bounded by a combination of constants, the energy of u , and its initial $L^2(\mathfrak{M})$ norm. By theorem 6.6, corollary 7.7, and corollary 7.8, this includes local decay norms, localised radial derivatives, and angular derivatives with vanishing weight at $\rho_* = 0$.*

The notation B denotes an arbitrary bounded operator, just as the notation C denotes an arbitrary constant. As with arbitrary constants, the value of B may vary from line to line in an argument. The notation B_i is used to refer to a bounded operator which is referred to later in the same argument.

For $n \leq \frac{1}{2}$, the notation $O(\|L^{\frac{1+2n}{2}}\chi_\alpha u\|^2, L^1)$ denotes inner products and norms of u which are either of the following form

$$\|L^{\frac{1+2n}{2}}\chi_\alpha u\|^2, O(L^1), \tag{8.3}$$

or bounded by norms of this form. Note that for $q \leq 1 + 2n$, this includes any term of the form

$$\|L^{\frac{q}{2}} B\chi_\alpha u\|^2. \quad (8.4)$$

Note that in particular if $m \leq \frac{1}{2}$, then $m + n \leq \frac{1}{2} + n$ and

$$\|L^{m+n} B\chi_\alpha u\|^2 = O(\|L^{\frac{1+2n}{2}} \chi_\alpha u\|^2, L^1). \quad (8.5)$$

To begin with, it is not possible to use sharp cut off functions in $\mathbf{x}_m$ and ξ_n , so more regular functions with infinite support must be used. The rate of decay for these functions is important, and various secondary functions derived from the also appear. The localisations occur in families, with X referring to $\mathbf{x}_m$ localisation, Φ referring to ξ_n localisation in a wide band around zero, and Ψ referring to ξ_n localisation in a narrow band away from 0.

In our estimate on the angular energy, we lose powers of L from our choice of localisation. We lose a factor of L^ϵ from our choice of decay for $\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(x)$ and a factor of L^δ from our choice of width for $\Psi_{L^{-\delta} \leq |x| \leq 1}$.

Definition 8.4. The weight g is defined by

$$g(x) = \int_0^{bx} \frac{1}{(1 + |\tau|)^2} d\tau \quad (8.6)$$

where b is chosen as in lemma 7.3. The near and far localisation functions for $\mathbf{x}_m$ are

$$X_\downarrow(x) = \frac{b}{(1 + b|x|)^2}, \quad (8.7)$$

$$\tilde{X}_\downarrow(x) = \frac{1}{1 + x^2}. \quad (8.8)$$

$$X_\uparrow(x) = x \sqrt{\frac{g(x)}{x}}. \quad (8.9)$$

From this, $X_\downarrow(\mathbf{x}_m)$, $\tilde{X}_\downarrow(\mathbf{x}_m)$, and $X_\uparrow(\mathbf{x}_m)$ are defined by the spectral theorem.

Definition 8.5. The smooth, near localisation for ξ_n and two functions derived from it are defined by

$$\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(x) = (1 + x^2)^{-\frac{1-\epsilon}{4}} \quad (8.10)$$

$$\Phi_{b,\epsilon}(x) = x\Phi'_{a,\epsilon}(x) \quad (8.11)$$

$$\Phi_{c,\epsilon}(x) = \sqrt{\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(x)(\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(x) + 2x\Phi'_{a,\epsilon}(x))}. \quad (8.12)$$

(The definition of $\Phi_{c,\epsilon}(x)$ requires the argument of the square root to be positive, which is shown in lemma 8.7.)

We use the notation $\chi(A, x)$ to denote the characteristic function of A which is 1 if $x \in A$ and 0 otherwise. The sharp, near localisation and sharp, interval localisation for ξ_n are

$$\Phi_{|x| \leq 1} = \chi([0, 1], x) \quad (8.13)$$

$$\Psi_{l^{-\delta} \leq |x| \leq 1} = \chi([l^{-\delta}, 1], x). \quad (8.14)$$

Smooth, interval localisations will be defined in section 8.4. The functions $\Phi_{|x| \leq 1}$ and $\Psi_{l^{-\delta} \leq |x| \leq 1}$ are extended as even functions.

From these, $\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)$, $\Phi_{b,\epsilon}(\xi_n)$, $\Phi_{c,\epsilon}(\xi_n)$, $\Phi_{|x| \leq 1}$ and $\Psi_{L^{-\delta} \leq |\xi_n| \leq 1}$ can be defined by the spectral theorem.

To prove a phase space localised version of the Morawetz estimate, we introduce the operator $\Gamma_{n,m}$. The parameters n and m are restricted to $0 \leq n \leq m \leq \frac{1}{2}$, because this is the range on which an estimate can be proven.

Definition 8.6. For $0 \leq n \leq m \leq \frac{1}{2}$, the phase space induction multiplier is defined to be

$$\Gamma_{n,m} = \chi_\alpha \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) L^{n-\epsilon} \gamma_{L^m} \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) \chi_\alpha \quad (8.15)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \chi_\alpha \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) i(g(\mathbf{x}_m) \xi_n + \xi_n g(\mathbf{x}_m)) \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) \chi_\alpha \quad (8.16)$$

We now prove some preliminary results, starting with some estimates on the localisations.

Lemma 8.7. *For all $\epsilon > 0$, $n \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$, and $v \in \mathbb{S}$*

$$\|\Phi_{c,\epsilon}(\xi_n)v\| \geq \epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)v\|. \quad (8.17)$$

$$\|L^{1-\epsilon}\xi_n\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)^2v\| \leq \|L^{\frac{1+n\epsilon-2\epsilon}{1-\epsilon}}v\| + \|\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_*}v\| \quad (8.18)$$

Proof. The function $\Phi_{c,\epsilon}(x)$ is computed explicitly.

$$\Phi_{c,\epsilon}(x)^2 = \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)(\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) + 2x\Phi'_{a,\epsilon}(x)) \quad (8.19)$$

$$= (1+x^2)^{-\frac{1-\epsilon}{4}}(1+x^2)^{-\frac{5-\epsilon}{4}}((1+x^2) - 2\frac{1-\epsilon}{4}2x^2) \quad (8.20)$$

$$= (1+x^2)^{-\frac{6-2\epsilon}{4}}(1+\epsilon x^2) \quad (8.21)$$

$$\geq \epsilon(1+x^2)^{-\frac{1-\epsilon}{2}} \quad (8.22)$$

$$= \epsilon\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)^2 \quad (8.23)$$

Since $\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)(\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) + 2x\Phi'_{a,\epsilon}(x))$ is strictly positive, the square root function, $\Phi_{c,\epsilon}(x)$ is well defined. Since $\Phi_{c,\epsilon}(x)^2 \geq \epsilon\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)^2$, the spectral theorem also determines that $\|\Phi_{c,\epsilon}(\xi_n)v\| \geq \epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)v\|$.

Equation (8.18) is proven first for Schwartz class data. For this data, in a representation where L and $-i\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_*}$ both act as multiplication operators, $\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)^2 \leq |\xi_n|^{-1+\epsilon} = |-i\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_*}L^{n-1}|^{-1+\epsilon}$. This can be used to start estimating the norm of $L^{n-\epsilon}\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_*}\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)^2v$. This gives equation (8.18).

$$\|L^{1-\epsilon}\xi_n\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)^2v\| \leq \|L^{1-\epsilon}|\xi_n|^{-1+\epsilon}v\| \quad (8.24)$$

$$\leq \|L^{1-\epsilon}|\xi_n|^\epsilon v\| \quad (8.25)$$

$$\leq \|L^{1+n\epsilon-2\epsilon}|-i\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_*}|^\epsilon v\| \quad (8.26)$$

Continuing in a representation where both L and $-i\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_*}$ act as multiplication operators, the identity, for positive f and g , $fg \leq f^{\frac{1}{1-\epsilon}} + g^{\frac{1}{\epsilon}}$ can be applied with $f = L^{1+n\epsilon-2\epsilon}$ and $g = |-i\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_*}|^\epsilon$.

$$\|L^{1-\epsilon}\xi_n\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)^2v\| \leq \|L^{\frac{1+n\epsilon-2\epsilon}{1-\epsilon}}v\| + \|\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_*}v\| \quad (8.27)$$

□

Since $\Gamma_{n,m}$ is a product of operators, there is a Leibniz (or product) rule for computing the commutator with another operator. It is possible to further simplify this by expressing a sum of complex conjugates as a real part. The main application will be when the other operator is H or one of the subterms H_i .

Lemma 8.8. *For $u \in \mathbb{S}$, $0 \leq n \leq m \leq \frac{1}{2}$, and any self-adjoint operator G which commutes with L*

$$\langle u, [G, \Gamma_{n,m}]u \rangle = \langle u, \chi_\alpha\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)[G, \gamma_{L^m}]L^{n-\epsilon}\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)\chi_\alpha u \rangle \quad (8.28)$$

$$+ \langle u, \chi_\alpha[G, \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)]\gamma_{L^m}L^{n-\epsilon}\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)\chi_\alpha u \rangle \quad (8.29)$$

$$+ \langle u, \chi_\alpha\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)\gamma_{L^m}L^{n-\epsilon}[G, \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)]\chi_\alpha u \rangle \quad (8.30)$$

$$+ \langle u, \chi_\alpha\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)\gamma_{L^m}L^{n-\epsilon}\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)[G, \chi_\alpha]u \rangle \quad (8.31)$$

$$+ \langle u, [G, \chi_\alpha]\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)\gamma_{L^m}L^{n-\epsilon}\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)\chi_\alpha u \rangle \quad (8.32)$$

Proof. This is simply an application of the Leibniz rule for commutators. □

8.2 Commutator Expansions

To apply the Heisenberg like relation, it is necessary to expand commutators involving localisation in ξ_n . This is done through a version of the commutator expansion lemma previously used in scattering theory[29]. We consider the special case of this expansion for commutators involving localisation in the phase space variables ξ_n and ρ_* or $\mathbf{x}_m$. These expansions are as finite order power series with an error term which involves the Fourier transform of a k th order derivative of one of the localising functions.

First, the adjoint is defined. These are iterated commutators and will appear in the commutator expansion, both as terms in the finite power series expansion and as a term in the remainder.

Definition 8.9. For two operators H and A , the k th commutator of A with respect to H , $Ad_A^k(H)$ is defined recursively by

$$Ad_A^1(H) = [H, A] \quad (8.33)$$

$$Ad_A^k(H) = [Ad_A^{k-1}(H), A] \quad (8.34)$$

Initially the commutator $[H, A]$ is defined only as a form on the domain of A intersect the domain of H by the formula

$$\langle u, [H, A]u \rangle = \langle Hu, Au \rangle - \langle Au, Hu \rangle \quad (8.35)$$

If $Ad_A^{k-1}(H)$ extends to a bounded operator, then $Ad_A^k(H)$ is defined on the domain of A .

The commutator expansion theorem expands the commutator of an operator, F_1 , with a function of a self-adjoint operator, $F_2(A)$. The expansion is as a power series in the adjoint and has remainder involving the L^1 norm of Fourier transform of $F_2^{[k]}$.

Theorem 8.10 (Commutator Expansion Theorem). If $n > 0$ is an integer, A is a self-adjoint operator, F_1 is a self-adjoint operator satisfying

1. for $1 \leq k \leq n$, $Ad_A^k(F_1)$ extends to a bounded operator,

and $F_2(x)$ is a smooth function satisfying

2. $\|\mathcal{F}[F_2^{[n]}]\|_1 \leq \infty$,

then if $[F_1, F_2(A)]$ is defined as a form on the domain of A^n ,

$$[F_1, F_2(A)] = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{k!} F_2^{[k]}(A) Ad_A^k(F_1) + R_n \quad (8.36)$$

in the form sense with the remainder R_n satisfying

$$\|R_n\| \leq C \|\mathcal{F}[F_2^{[n]}]\|_1 \|Ad_A^n(F_1)\| \quad (8.37)$$

Consequently, $[F_1, F_2(A)]$ defines an operator on the domain of A^{n-1} .

Proof. This is proven in [29]. □

The commutator expansion theorem is now specialised to the localising functions in the phase space variables. In terms of the previous theorem, $A = \xi_n = -i \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} L^{n-1}$ and F_1 is a function of ρ_* or of $\mathbf{x}_m$.

There are two applications of this lemma. The first application is the expansion of the commutator of the Hamiltonian, H , and the phase space induction multiplier, $\Gamma_{n,m}$, as a power series in the adjoint. The remainder is a higher order term, in the sense that the commutator minus the power series expansion can be multiplied by a positive power of L and still remains a bounded operator. The second application is using the expansion with no terms and using the remainder to directly estimate the entire commutator as a higher order term. This second application is expanded upon in the lemmas which follow this one.

Lemma 8.11 (Commutator order reduction lemma). *Let k be a positive integer, $F_1(x)$ be a smooth function satisfying*

1. *for $1 \leq j \leq k$, $\|F_1^{[j]}\|_\infty \leq \infty$,*

and $F_2(x)$ be a smooth function satisfying

2. *for $1 \leq j \leq k-1$, $\|F_2^{[j]}\|_\infty \leq \infty$,*

3. $\|\mathcal{F}[F_2^{[k]}]\|_1 \leq \infty$

then there is a constant, C_k , depending only on k , such that

$$L^{k(1-n)}[F_1(\rho_*), F_2(\xi_n)] = \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} L^{k(1-n)} F_2^{[j]}(\xi_n) (iL^{n-1})^j F_1^{[j]}(\rho_*) + R_k \quad (8.38)$$

$$\|R_k\| \leq C_k \|\mathcal{F}[F_2^{[k]}]\|_1 \|F_1^{[k]}\|_\infty \quad (8.39)$$

and

$$L^{k(1-m-n)}[F_1(\mathbf{x}_m), F_2(\xi_n)] = \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} L^{k(1-m-n)} F_2^{[j]}(\xi_n) (iL^{m+n-1})^j F_1^{[j]}(\rho_*) + R_k \quad (8.40)$$

$$\|R_k\| \leq C_k \|\mathcal{F}[F_2^{[k]}]\|_1 \|F_1^{[k]}\|_\infty \quad (8.41)$$

Proof. The main idea in this proof is to apply the commutator expansion theorem, theorem 8.10.

The $m = 0$ case is a special case of the formula for general $m \geq 0$.

All quantities in equation (8.41) are composed of powers of L and functions of operators which commute with L . Therefore, they preserve the spherical harmonic decomposition, and it is sufficient to prove the power series expansion of the commutator on each spherical harmonic. We will use $\tilde{l}$ to denote the action of L on each spherical harmonic, rather than the standard spherical harmonic index.

On a fixed spherical harmonic, take $x = \mathbf{x}_m = \tilde{l}^m \rho_*$ and $A = \xi_n = -i\tilde{l}^{n-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*}$ and apply the commutator expansion theorem.

$$[F_1(\mathbf{x}_m), F_2(\xi_n)] = \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{1}{j!} F_2^{[j]}(\xi_n) \text{Ad}_{\xi_n}^j(F_1(\mathbf{x}_m)) + R_k \quad (8.42)$$

$$\|R_k\| \leq C_k \|\mathcal{F}[F_2^{[k]}]\|_1 \|\text{Ad}_{\xi_n}^k(F_1)\|_\infty \quad (8.43)$$

The adjoint can be rewritten in terms of $\tilde{l}$, ρ_* , and $\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*}$.

$$\text{Ad}_{\xi_n}^j(F_1(\mathbf{x}_m)) = [\text{Ad}_{\xi_n}^{j-1}(F_1(\mathbf{x}_m)), \xi_n] \quad (8.44)$$

$$= [\text{Ad}_{\xi_n}^{j-1}(F_1(\tilde{l}^m \rho_*)), -i\tilde{l}^{n-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*}] \quad (8.45)$$

$$= i\tilde{l}^{n-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} \text{Ad}_{\xi_n}^{j-1}(F_1(\tilde{l}^m \rho_*)) \quad (8.46)$$

Taking a derivative with respect to ρ_* of a function of $\tilde{l}^m \rho_*$ will introduce an additional factor of $\tilde{l}^m$. For $j = 1$, we have

$$\text{Ad}_{\xi_n}^1(F_1(\mathbf{x}_m)) = i\tilde{l}^{n-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} F_1(\tilde{l}^m \rho_*) \quad (8.47)$$

$$= i\tilde{l}^{m+n-1} F_1'(\tilde{l}^m \rho_*) \quad (8.48)$$

Each adjoint acts as a derivative, so, by induction,

$$\text{Ad}_{\xi_n}^j(F_1(\mathbf{x}_m)) = (i\tilde{l}^{m+n-1})^j F_1^{[j]}(\tilde{l}^m \rho_*) \quad (8.49)$$

$$= (i\tilde{l}^{m+n-1})^j F_1^{[j]}(\mathbf{x}_m) \quad (8.50)$$

Applying this, and multiplying by $\tilde{l}^{k(1-n-m)}$, gives that on each spherical harmonic,

$$\tilde{l}^{k(m+n-1)}[F_1(\mathbf{x}_m), F_2(\boldsymbol{\xi}_n)] = \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \tilde{l}^{k(m+n-1)} \frac{1}{j!} F_2^{[k]}(\boldsymbol{\xi}_n) (i\tilde{l}^{1-n-m})^j F_1^{[j]}(\mathbf{x}_m) + R_k \quad (8.51)$$

$$\|R_k\| \leq C_k \|\mathcal{F}[F_2^{[k]}]\|_1 \|F_1^{[j]}\|_\infty \quad (8.52)$$

Since the constant in the commutator expansion theorem, theorem 8.10, is independent of the choice of x , A , F_1 , and F_2 , the constant C_k is independent of $\tilde{l}$. The result on each spherical harmonic can be extended across all harmonics with out any change. In particular, the operator R_k is uniformly bounded across all spherical harmonics. $\square$

The commutator order reduction lemma, lemma 8.11, is used to estimate commutators of localisation in the phase space variables. Usually it is sufficient to know that the commutator is a bounded operator times negative powers of L , and that is what the following lemmas assert for certain classes of localising operators.

The following lemma applies in the common situation when the localisation in $\boldsymbol{\xi}_n$ is a Schwartz class function.

Lemma 8.12. *There is a constant C , such that if $0 \leq n \leq \frac{1}{2}$, $0 \leq m \leq \frac{1}{2}$, $F_1 \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$, $F_1' \in L^\infty$, and F_2 is of Schwartz class, then there is a bounded operator, B , satisfying the following*

$$[F_1(\mathbf{x}_m), F_2(\boldsymbol{\xi}_n)] = L^{n+m-1} B_1 \quad (8.53)$$

$$\|B_1\| \leq C \|\mathcal{F}[F_2']\|_1 \|F_1'\|_\infty. \quad (8.54)$$

Proof. Since the Schwartz class is preserved by differentiation and the Fourier transform, $\mathcal{F}[F_2']$ is Schwartz class and, hence, in L^1 . The expansion to order 0 from the commutator order reduction lemma, lemma 8.11, is

$$L^{1-m-n}[F_1(\mathbf{x}_m), F_2(\boldsymbol{\xi}_n)] = R_1 \quad (8.55)$$

$$\|R_1\| \leq C \|\mathcal{F}[F_2']\|_{L^1} \|F_2'\|_{L^\infty} \quad (8.56)$$

Since L is bounded below by 1, and $0 \leq n, m \leq \frac{1}{2}$, the operator L^{m+n-1} is bounded. The operators L^{m+n-1} and R_1 are bounded, so they can be composed. Applying L^{m+n-1} to (8.55) proves the desired result. $\square$

The previous lemma can not be applied with the smooth, near localisations for $\boldsymbol{\xi}_n$, which are not in Schwartz class. To prove a similar result for the smooth, near localisations, it is sufficient to show that each satisfies the condition $\mathcal{F}[F_2'] \in L^1$. The following lemma also proves a commutator order reduction result for two unbounded functions of $\boldsymbol{\xi}_n$, $F_2 = \xi$ and $F_2 = \xi \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi)$, using a first order expansion in lemma 8.11.

Lemma 8.13. *There is a constant C , such that if $0 \leq n \leq \frac{1}{2}$, $0 \leq m \leq \frac{1}{2}$, F_1 has its first in L^∞ , $\epsilon > 0$, and $F_2(x)$ is one of the following functions: $x, \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(x), \Phi_{b,\epsilon}(x), \Phi_{c,\epsilon}(x)$, then there is a bounded operator, B_{F_2} , satisfying the following*

$$[F_1(\mathbf{x}_m), F_2(\boldsymbol{\xi}_n)] = L^{n+m-1} B_{F_2} \quad (8.57)$$

$$\|B_{F_2}\| \leq C \|F_1'\|_\infty. \quad (8.58)$$

If the previous conditions apply, F_1 also has its second derivative in L^∞ , and $F_2(x) = x \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(x)$, then there is a bounded operator, B_{F_2} , satisfying

$$[F_1(\mathbf{x}_m), F_2(\boldsymbol{\xi}_n)] = L^{n+m-1} B_{F_2} \quad (8.59)$$

$$\|B_{F_2}\| \leq C (\|F_1'\|_\infty + \|F_1''\|_\infty). \quad (8.60)$$

Proof. The fundamental problem here is that the permitted F_2 functions are not in Schwartz class. There are finitely many functions F_2 considered in this theorem, so if a C can be found for each of them, then the largest one can be applied to make the result hold uniformly.

For the function $F_2(x) = x$, $F_2(\xi_n) = \xi_n = -i\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_*}L^{n-1}$. All the operators involved commute with the spherical harmonic decomposition, and on each spherical harmonic, the commutator can be explicitly computed

$$[F_1(\mathbf{x}_m), -i\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_*}L^{n-1}] = [F_1(L^m\rho_*), -i\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_*}L^{n-1}] \quad (8.61)$$

$$= iL^{n+m-1}F'_1(L^m\rho_*) = iL^{n+m-1}F'_1(\mathbf{x}_m) \quad (8.62)$$

The commutator is a product of a power of L and a multiplication operator. The L^∞ norm of the function is the operator norm of the associated multiplication operator.

To apply the commutator order reduction lemma, lemma 8.11 to the remaining functions, we prove: If $h \in C^2(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $h'' \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, then $\|\mathcal{F}[h]\|_1 \leq \infty$.

Since $h \in C^2$, h'' is well defined, and since $h, h'' \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, both $\mathcal{F}[h]$ and $\mathcal{F}[h'']$ are well defined and in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Let

$$f(\xi) \equiv |(1 + \xi^2)\mathcal{F}[h](\xi)|, \quad (8.63)$$

$$f_{\text{big}}(\xi) \equiv f(\xi)\chi([1, \infty), f(\xi)), \quad (8.64)$$

$$f_{\text{small}}(\xi) \equiv f(\xi)\chi([0, 1), f(\xi)), \quad (8.65)$$

and observe that

$$f(\xi) = f_{\text{big}}(\xi) + f_{\text{small}}(\xi) \quad (8.66)$$

$$|\mathcal{F}[g](\xi)| \leq \left| \frac{f_{\text{big}}(\xi)}{1 + \xi^2} \right| + \left| \frac{f_{\text{small}}(\xi)}{1 + \xi^2} \right| \leq |f_{\text{big}}(\xi)| + \left| \frac{f_{\text{small}}(\xi)}{1 + \xi^2} \right|. \quad (8.67)$$

From properties of the Fourier transform it is known that

$$|\mathcal{F}[h](\xi)| + |\mathcal{F}[h''](\xi)| = |\mathcal{F}[h](\xi)| + |\xi^2\mathcal{F}[h](\xi)| = |f(\xi)|, \quad (8.68)$$

and hence that f is in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Since $f_{\text{big}} \leq f_{\text{big}}^2 \leq f^2$, f_{big} is in $L^1(\mathbb{R})$, and since $f_{\text{small}} \leq 1$, $\frac{f_{\text{small}}(\xi)}{1 + \xi^2}$ is also in L^1 . The sum of these bounds $|\mathcal{F}[h]|$, so that $\mathcal{F}[h]$ is in $L^1(\mathbb{R})$.

For the three functions, $\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(x)$, $\Phi_{b,\epsilon}(x)$, and $\Phi_{c,\epsilon}(x)$, each of these is a power of a polynomial and decays like $x^{\frac{-1-\epsilon}{2}}$. Therefore, F'_2 and $(F'_2)''$ are each in L^2 , since they decay like $x^{\frac{-3+\epsilon}{2}}$ and $x^{\frac{-7+\epsilon}{2}}$ respectively, and $\mathcal{F}[F'_2]$ is in L^1 . By the same argument as in lemma 8.12,

$$[F_1(\mathbf{x}_m), F_2(\xi_n)] = L^{n+m-1}B \quad (8.69)$$

$$\|B\| \leq C(\|F'_1\|_\infty). \quad (8.70)$$

The last, and most difficult piece, is for $F_2(x) = x\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(x)$. In the previous arguments, the commutator was directly estimated. In this case it is expanded to first order, and both the first order term and the remainder are estimated. The derivative of $x\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(x)$ decays too slowly to be in L^2 and may not have an L^1 Fourier transform.

As usual, all the operators involved commute with the spherical harmonic decomposition. On each spherical harmonic, by lemma 8.11

$$[F_1(\mathbf{x}_m), F_2(\xi_n)] = F'_2(\xi_n)(iL^{n+m-1})(F'_1(\mathbf{x}_m)) + L^{2n+2m-2}R_2. \quad (8.71)$$

The first term is a product of operators which commute with powers of L and each can be estimated in norm.

$$\|F'_2(\xi_n)(-iL^{n+m-1})(-F'_1(\mathbf{x}_m))\| = L^{n+m-1}\|F'_2(\xi_n)\|\|F'_1(\mathbf{x}_m)\| \quad (8.72)$$

$$\leq L^{n+m-1}\|F'_2\|_\infty\|F'_1\|_\infty \quad (8.73)$$

Since $F_2(x) = x\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(x)$, F'_2 is bounded.

The second term is estimated by lemma 8.11.

$$\|R_2\| = C\|\mathcal{F}[F_2'']\|_1\|F_1''\|_\infty \quad (8.74)$$

Since $F_2 = x\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(x)$, F_2'' is a smooth function, which is in L^2 and has its second derivative, $(F_2'')''$, also in L^2 , we conclude that $\mathcal{F}[F_2'']$ is in L^1 .

Since $L^{2n+2m-2} \leq L^{n+m-1}$, the estimate on each of the terms in the expression for the commutator can be combined to give

$$\|[F_1(\mathbf{x}_m), F_2(\boldsymbol{\xi}_n)]\| \leq L^{n+m-1}\|F_2'\|_\infty\|F_1'\|_\infty + L^{2n+2m-2}C\|\mathcal{F}[F_2'']\|_1\|F_1''\|_\infty \quad (8.75)$$

$$\leq CL^{n+m-1}(\|F_1'\|_\infty + \|F_1''\|_\infty) \quad (8.76)$$

On each spherical harmonic there is a bounded operator, B , such that

$$[F_1(\mathbf{x}_m), F_2(\boldsymbol{\xi}_n)] = L^{n+m-1}B \quad (8.77)$$

$$\|B\| \leq C(\|F_1'\|_\infty + \|F_1''\|_\infty). \quad (8.78)$$

Therefore, B can be extended as an operator on L^2 satisfying the same condition. $\square$

8.3 Phase Space Estimates

In this subsection, we compute the commutators between $\Gamma_{n,m}$ and the components of the Hamiltonian, H_i . This is analogous to subsection 6.2 with phase space localisation and additional factors of L . The H_2 commutator is simply lower order. The $H_1 + H_3$ commutator dominates the radial derivative localised to small $\mathbf{x}_m$ and powers of L localised to large $\mathbf{x}_m$.

The commutator with H_2 is computed first. Because H_2 is a function of ρ_* and contains no derivatives, all the commutators can be shown to be lower order by lemma 8.13.

Lemma 8.14. *For $u \in \mathbb{S}$ is a solution to the wave equation, $\ddot{u} + Hu = 0$, and $0 \leq n \leq m \leq \frac{1}{2}$,*

$$\langle u, [H_2, \Gamma_{n,m}]u \rangle = O(L^1) \quad (8.79)$$

Proof. Lemma 8.8, the Leibniz formula for commutators, is used to calculate $[H_2, \Gamma_{n,m}]$ and then the commutators are shown to be lower order by lemma 8.13. Since χ_α and V commute, $[H_2, \chi_\alpha] = 0$ and only three terms are left in the expansion of the $[H_2, \Gamma_{n,m}]$.

$$\langle u, [H_2, \Gamma_{n,m}]u \rangle = \langle u, \chi_\alpha L^{n-\epsilon}([V, \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\boldsymbol{\xi}_n)]\gamma_{L^m}\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\boldsymbol{\xi}_n) \quad (8.80)$$

$$+ \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\boldsymbol{\xi}_n)[V, \gamma_{L^m}]\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\boldsymbol{\xi}_n) \quad (8.81)$$

$$+ \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\boldsymbol{\xi}_n)\gamma_{L^m}[V, \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\boldsymbol{\xi}_n)]\chi_\alpha u \rangle \quad (8.82)$$

The commutator $[V, \gamma_{L^m}]$ only involves the angularly modulated multiplier, and was shown to be a bounded operator in lemma 7.5. Applying additional bounded operators and powers of L yields a bounded operator multiplied by the same power of L .

$$L^{n-\epsilon}\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\boldsymbol{\xi}_n)[V, \gamma_{L^m}]\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\boldsymbol{\xi}_n) = L^{n-\epsilon}B \quad (8.83)$$

Since $n \leq \frac{3}{2}$, by the angular modulation theorem, theorem 7.6, the expectation value of this operator is $O(L^1)$.

$$\langle u, \chi_\alpha L^{n-\epsilon}\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\boldsymbol{\xi}_n)[V, \gamma_{L^m}]\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\boldsymbol{\xi}_n)\chi_\alpha u \rangle = \langle u, L^{n-\epsilon}Bu \rangle \quad (8.84)$$

$$= O(L^1). \quad (8.85)$$

The remaining terms are complex conjugates of each other. Only one is considered here, and the other can be dealt with in the same way. The commutator is shown to be a bounded operator times a negative

power of L using lemma 8.13. γ_{L^m} can be expanded and the bounded functions involved in this expansion can be absorbed into the bounded operator from the commutator expansion.

$$L^{n-\epsilon}\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)\gamma_{L^m}[V, \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)] = L^{n-\epsilon}\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_*}g_{L^m} - \frac{1}{2}g'_{L^m}\right)L^{n-1}B \quad (8.86)$$

$$= L^{2n-1-\epsilon}\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_*}B_1 + L^{2n-1-\epsilon}L^m\frac{1}{2}X_\downarrow(\mathbf{x}_m)B_2 \quad (8.87)$$

$$= L^{2n-1-\epsilon}\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_*}B_1 + L^{m+2n-1-\epsilon}B. \quad (8.88)$$

This operator can be substituted into the relevant expectation value. This gives a sum of two terms, both of which can be estimated by the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality.

$$\langle u, \chi_\alpha L^{n-\epsilon}\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)\gamma_{L^m}[V, \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)]\chi_\alpha u \rangle \quad (8.89)$$

$$= \langle -\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_*}\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)\chi_\alpha u, L^{2n-1-\epsilon}B_1\chi_\alpha u \rangle + \langle \chi_\alpha u, L^{m+2n-1-\epsilon}B\chi_\alpha u \rangle \quad (8.90)$$

$$\geq -C(\|\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_*}\chi_\alpha u\| \|L^{\frac{2n-1-\epsilon}{2}}B_1\chi_\alpha u\| + \|L^{\frac{3-\epsilon}{2}n}\chi_\alpha u\|^2) \quad (8.91)$$

$$\geq -C(\|\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_*}\chi_\alpha u\|^2 + \|L^{\frac{2n-1-\epsilon}{2}}\chi_\alpha u\|^2 + \|L^{\frac{3-\epsilon}{2}n}\chi_\alpha u\|^2) \quad (8.92)$$

Since $2n-1-\epsilon \leq \frac{3}{2}$ and $(3-\epsilon)n \leq \frac{3}{2}$, by the angular modulation theorem, theorem 7.6, the two terms involving powers of L are time integrable, ie $O(L^1)$. By corollary 7.7, $\|\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_*}\chi_\alpha u\|^2 = O(L^1)$. Therefore the expectation value being considered is time integrable

$$\langle u, \chi_\alpha L^{n-\epsilon}\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)\gamma_{L^m}[V, \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)]\chi_\alpha u \rangle = O(L^1) \quad (8.93)$$

□

Because $H_3 = (-\Delta_{S^2})V_L = (L^2 - 1)V_L$ contains two angular derivatives, which are exactly what is under consideration, this commutator requires the most care. The difference between $L^2 - 1$ and L^2 is a local decay term. The commutator is first expanded using the product rule in lemma 8.8. The commutator with γ_{L^m} is computed from the angular modulation argument in lemma 7.4. The commutator with the localisation $\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)$ can be expanded to second order using the commutator order reduction lemma, lemma 8.11. The first order term is of the same order as the commutator with γ_{L^m} . It involves a derivative of $\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)$ and is multiplied by the $\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_*}$ term from γ_{L^m} . There are two such terms, and when rearranged and combined with the term from the commutator with γ_{L^m} , they give $\Phi_{c,\epsilon}(\xi_n)^2 = \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)(\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) + 2\Phi'_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n))$. This term must be positive to give the desired estimate. This dictates the choice of the decay function $\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(x)$ and forces $\epsilon > 0$. The second order term in the expansion naively appears to be of a high order since H_3 introduced two factors of L . However, rearrangements yield a cancellation of the highest order part of this term and the remainder is a lower order error term. For both the first and second commutators, there are many rearrangements of phase space localising functions. These are shown to be lower order in the standard way using lemma 8.13. The remainder term is shown to be lower order directly from the commutator order reduction lemma, lemma 8.11.

Proposition 8.15. *For $u \in \mathbb{S}$ is a solution to the wave equation, $\ddot{u} + Hu = 0$ and $0 \leq n \leq m \leq \frac{1}{2}$,*

$$\langle u, [H_1 + H_3, \Gamma_{n,m}]u \rangle \geq c\langle \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)\chi_\alpha u, (-\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_*}\tilde{X}_\downarrow(\mathbf{x}_m)\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_*} - \epsilon L^2 g_{L^m} V'_L)\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)\chi_\alpha u \rangle + O(\|L^{\frac{1+2n}{2}}\chi_\alpha u\|^2, L^1) \quad (8.94)$$

Proof. The commutator is given by the Leibniz rule as the sum of five terms.

$$[H_1 + H_3, \Gamma_{n,m}] = [H_1 + H_3, \chi_\alpha]\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)L^{n-\epsilon}\gamma_{L^m}\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)\chi_\alpha + \chi_\alpha\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)L^{n-\epsilon}\gamma_{L^m}\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)[H_1 + H_3, \chi_\alpha] \quad (8.95)$$

$$+ \chi_\alpha[H_1 + H_3, \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)]L^{n-\epsilon}\gamma_{L^m}\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)\chi_\alpha + \chi_\alpha\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)L^{n-\epsilon}\gamma_{L^m}[H_1 + H_3, \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)]\chi_\alpha \quad (8.96)$$

$$+ \chi_\alpha\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)L^{n-\epsilon}[H_1 + H_3, \gamma_{L^m}]\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)\chi_\alpha \quad (8.97)$$

Since the first two terms are adjoints of each other, it is sufficient to estimate only one of them. The remaining three terms are more complicated and must be estimated together.

Since χ_α is a function, it commutes with V_L , and we only need to determine the contribution from $-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r_*^2}$, which can be explicitly calculated.

$$\langle u, \chi_\alpha \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) \gamma_{L^m} L^{n-\epsilon} \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) [-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r_*^2}, \chi_\alpha] u \rangle \quad (8.98)$$

$$= \langle u, \chi_\alpha \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) \gamma_{L^m} L^{n-\epsilon} \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) (-2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} \chi'_\alpha - \chi''_\alpha) u \rangle \quad (8.99)$$

To analyse this term, γ_{L^m} is expanded into two terms so that the most significant term can be dealt with first. The slightly less common expansion $\gamma_{L^m} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} g_{L^m} - \frac{1}{2} g'_{L^m}$ is used. There are a total of four terms to consider, two from expanding $[H_1, \chi_\alpha]$ times two from expanding γ_{L^m} .

The term that appear to be highest order is the one involving $\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} g_{L^m}$ from γ_{L^m} and $-2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} \chi'_\alpha$ from $[-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r_*^2}, \chi_\alpha]$. It will be referred to as I_0 . It can be simplified by moving one of the derivative operators through $\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)$ (since they commute), and then moving it and a factor of χ_α to the other side of the inner product.

$$I_0 \equiv \langle u, \chi_\alpha \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} g_{L^m} L^{n-\epsilon} \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) (-2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} \chi'_\alpha) u \rangle \quad (8.100)$$

$$= - \langle \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} \chi_\alpha u, \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) g_{L^m} L^{n-\epsilon} \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) (-2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} \chi'_\alpha) u \rangle \quad (8.101)$$

Applying the Cauchy-Schwartz estimate gives the sum of two terms. One of these is $\|\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} \chi u\|^2$ which is $O(L^1)$ (integrable in time) by corollary 7.7. The other can be rewritten in terms of ξ_n .

$$I_0 \geq - \|\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} \chi_\alpha u\|^2 - \|\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) g_{L^m} L^{n-\epsilon} \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} \chi'_\alpha u\|^2 \quad (8.102)$$

$$\geq - \|L^{1-\epsilon} \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) g_{L^m} \xi_n \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) \chi'_\alpha u\|^2 + O(L^1) \quad (8.103)$$

The remaining norm is going to be manipulated with the goal of applying lemma 8.7 to control $\xi_n \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)^2$ by powers of L . This manipulation will introduce additional error terms from commuting the phase space localisations. To commute $\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)$ and g_{L^m} while avoiding any error terms involving factors of ξ_n outside a commutator, it is necessary to commute ξ_n through g_{L^m} and then commute $\xi_n \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)$ back through g_{L^m} .

$$I_0 \geq - \|L^{1-\epsilon} \xi_n \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) g_{L^m} \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) \chi'_\alpha u\|^2 \quad (8.104)$$

$$- \|L^{1-\epsilon} \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) [g_{L^m}, \xi_n] \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) \chi'_\alpha u\|^2 + O(L^1) \quad (8.105)$$

$$\geq - \|L^{1-\epsilon} g_{L^m} \xi_n \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)^2 \chi'_\alpha u\|^2 - \|L^{1-\epsilon} [\xi_n \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n), g_{L^m}] \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) \chi'_\alpha u\|^2 \quad (8.106)$$

$$- \|L^{1-\epsilon} \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) [g_{L^m}, \xi_n] \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) \chi'_\alpha u\|^2 + O(L^1) \quad (8.107)$$

By lemma 8.13, the commutators are of the form $L^{m+n-1}B$. Since $\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)$ is also a bounded operator, all the error terms from commuting are lower order terms. In the remaining term, the factor of g_{L^m} can be dropped for a constant and then lemma 8.7 can be applied to control $\xi_n \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)^2$.

$$I_0 \geq - C \|L^{1-\epsilon} g_{L^m} \xi_n \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)^2 \chi'_\alpha u\|^2 - C \|L^{1-\epsilon} L^{n+m-1} B \chi'_\alpha u\|^2 + O(L^1) \quad (8.108)$$

$$\geq - C (\|L^{\frac{1+n\epsilon-2\epsilon}{1-\epsilon}} \chi'_\alpha u\|^2 + \|\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} \chi'_\alpha u\|^2) - O(\|L^{\frac{1+2n}{2}} \chi_\alpha u\|^2, L^1) \quad (8.109)$$

The angular energy away from $r = \alpha$ is time integrable by corollary 7.8. Since χ_α is compactly supported and identically 1 in a neighbourhood of $r = \alpha$, $|\chi'_\alpha|^2$ is dominated by a multiple of $(\rho_* g(\rho_*))$ and $\|L \chi'_\alpha u\|^2$ is integrable in time. Interpolating between the local decay result and the angular energy decay away from the photon sphere result, $\|L^{\frac{1+n\epsilon-2\epsilon}{1-\epsilon}} \chi'_\alpha u\|^2$ is time integrable, and hence in $O(L^1)$. By corollary 7.7, $\|\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} \chi'_\alpha u\|^2$ is time integrable and hence in $O(L^1)$. All the terms in I_0 are now controlled.

$$I_0 \equiv \langle u, \chi_\alpha \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} g_{L^m} L^{n-\epsilon} \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) (-2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} \chi'_\alpha) u \rangle \geq O(\|L^{\frac{1+2n}{2}} \chi_\alpha u\|^2, L^1). \quad (8.110)$$

The term that appears to be the next highest order is also $O(\|L^{\frac{1+2n}{2}}\chi_\alpha u\|^2, L^1)$ not merely $O(L^1)$. This is the term involving g'_{L^m} from γ_{L^m} and $-2\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_*}\chi'_\alpha$ from $[-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r_*^2}, \chi_\alpha]$. It is estimated by moving most of the localisation to the other side of the inner product, applying the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, replacing bounded operators by a constant, and then finding that the remaining terms are each $O(\|L^{\frac{1+2n}{2}}\chi_\alpha u\|^2, L^1)$.

$$\langle u, \chi_\alpha \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) (-\frac{1}{2} \frac{L^{m+n-\epsilon}}{(1+L^m|\rho_*|)^2}) \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) \frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_*} \chi'_\alpha u \rangle \quad (8.111)$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2} \langle \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) L^{m+n-\epsilon} X_\downarrow(\mathbf{x}_m) \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) \chi_\alpha u, \frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_*} \chi'_\alpha u \rangle \quad (8.112)$$

$$\geq -C \|L^{m+n-\epsilon} \chi_\alpha u\| \|\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_*} \chi'_\alpha u\| \quad (8.113)$$

$$\geq -C (\|L^{m+n-\epsilon} \chi_\alpha u\|^2 + \|\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_*} \chi'_\alpha u\|^2) \quad (8.114)$$

$$\geq -O(\|L^{\frac{1+2n}{2}} \chi_\alpha u\|^2, L^1) \quad (8.115)$$

The terms involving χ''_α from $[-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r_*^2}, \chi_\alpha]$ are the lowest order terms and are quickly shown to be lower order. The same method as was used for the term involving g'_{L^m} and $-2\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_*}\chi'_\alpha$ can be applied.

$$\langle u, \chi_\alpha \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) \frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_*} L^{n-\epsilon} g_{L^m} \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) \chi''_\alpha u \rangle = \langle g_{L^m} \frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_*} \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) \chi_\alpha u, L^{n-\epsilon} \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) \chi''_\alpha u \rangle \quad (8.116)$$

$$\geq -C \|\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_*} \chi_\alpha u\| \|L^{n-\epsilon} \chi''_\alpha u\| \quad (8.117)$$

$$\geq -O(L^1) \quad (8.118)$$

$$= O(\|L^{\frac{1+2n}{2}} \chi_\alpha u\|^2, L^1) \quad (8.119)$$

$$\langle u, \chi_\alpha \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) \frac{L^{m+n-\epsilon}}{1+(\frac{\rho_* L^m}{2M})^2} \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) \chi''_\alpha u \rangle \quad (8.120)$$

$$= \langle \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) \chi_\alpha u, L^{m+n-\epsilon} X_\downarrow(\mathbf{x}_m) \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) \chi''_\alpha u \rangle \quad (8.121)$$

$$\geq -C \|L^{\frac{m+n-\epsilon}{2}} \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) \chi_\alpha u\| \|L^{\frac{m+n-\epsilon}{2}} \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) \chi''_\alpha u\| \quad (8.122)$$

$$\geq -C \|L^{\frac{m+n-\epsilon}{2}} \chi_\alpha u\|^2 \quad (8.123)$$

$$\geq -O(\|L^{\frac{1+2n}{2}} \chi_\alpha u\|^2, L^1) \quad (8.124)$$

We now turn to the three remaining terms in (8.95). For the commutators with $\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)$, since $-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r_*^2}$ commutes with ξ_n , it is sufficient to compute the commutator with V_L . No distinction is made between $[H_3, \Gamma_{n,m}] = [V_L(L^2 - 1), \Gamma_{n,m}]$ and $[V_L L^2, \Gamma_{n,m}]$ since the difference is lower order by an identical argument to that in lemma 8.14. These commutators are expanded to third order.

$$[V_L, \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)] = \Phi'_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) [V_L, \xi_n] + \Phi''_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) [[V_L, \xi_n], \xi_n] + R_3. \quad (8.125)$$

In each of the third and fourth terms of (8.95), we make this substitution and expand γ_{L^m} as a sum of two terms. This gives twelve terms, which are combined with the fifth term in (8.95), for a total of thirteen. These are grouped as

$$\chi_\alpha [H_3, \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)] L^{n-\epsilon} \gamma_{L^m} \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) \chi_\alpha \quad (8.126)$$

$$+ \chi_\alpha \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) L^{n-\epsilon} \gamma_{L^m} [H_3, \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)] \chi_\alpha \quad (8.127)$$

$$+ \chi_\alpha \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) L^{n-\epsilon} [H_1 + H_3, \gamma_{L^m}] \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) \chi_\alpha = \chi_\alpha \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) L^{n-\epsilon} [-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r_*^2}, \gamma_{L^m}] \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) \chi_\alpha \quad (8.128)$$

$$+ \chi_\alpha (I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4 + I_5 + I_6) \chi_\alpha. \quad (8.129)$$

where

$$I_1 = L^{2+n-\epsilon}(\Phi'_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)[V_L, \xi_n] \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} g_{L^m} \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) \quad (8.130)$$

$$+ \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) g_{L^m} [V_L, \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*}] \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) \quad (8.131)$$

$$+ \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) g_{L^m} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} \Phi'_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) [V_L, \xi_n] \quad (8.132)$$

$$I_2 = L^{2+n-\epsilon} \frac{1}{2} (-\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)' [V_L, \xi_n] g'_{L^m} \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) + \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) g'_{L^m} \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)' [V_L, \xi_n]) \quad (8.133)$$

$$I_3 = L^{2+n-\epsilon} (\Phi''_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) [[V_L, \xi_n], \xi_n] \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} g_{L^m} \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) \quad (8.134)$$

$$+ \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) g_{L^m} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} \Phi''_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) [[V_L, \xi_n], \xi_n]) \quad (8.135)$$

$$I_4 = L^{2+n-\epsilon} \frac{1}{2} (-\Phi''_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) [[V_L, \xi_n], \xi_n] g'_{L^m} \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) \quad (8.136)$$

$$+ \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) g'_{L^m} \Phi''_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) [[V_L, \xi_n], \xi_n]) \quad (8.137)$$

$$I_5 = L^{2+n-\epsilon} (R_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} g_{L^m} \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) + \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) g_{L^m} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} R_3) \quad (8.138)$$

$$I_6 = L^{2+n-\epsilon} \frac{1}{2} (-R_3 g'_{L^m} \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) + \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) g_{L^m} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} R_3) \quad (8.139)$$

The leading order term⁶, I_1 , is composed of two types of terms. One type comes from the commutator $[L^2 V_L, \gamma_{L^m}]$, and the other comes from combining the first order term in the expansion of $[H_3, \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)]$ with the derivative terms in γ_{L^m} . To get the desired estimate, it is necessary to rearrange the phase space localising functions, which introduces additional lower order error terms. In the calculations, leading order terms are written first here, followed by those which will be shown to be lower order.

The term I_1 is rearranged so that all the leading order subterms contain the ρ_* localisation factor, $(-V'_L g_{L^m})$, which first appears in the commutator $[V_L, \gamma_{L^m}]$, and is the localisation in the statement of the lemma. The commutators from rearranging localisations are lower order by lemma 8.13.

$$I_1 = L^{2+n-\epsilon} (\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)' (-V'_L) (-iL^{n-1}) \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} g_{L^m} \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) \quad (8.140)$$

$$+ \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) g_{L^m} [V_L, \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*}] \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) \quad (8.141)$$

$$+ \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) g_{L^m} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)' (-V'_L) (-iL^{n-1})) \quad (8.142)$$

$$= L^{2+n-\epsilon} (\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)' \xi_n (-V'_L g_{L^m}) \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) \quad (8.143)$$

$$+ \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) (-V'_L g_{L^m}) \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) \quad (8.144)$$

$$+ \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) (-V'_L g_{L^m}) \xi_n \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)') \quad (8.145)$$

$$+ L^{2+n-\epsilon} (\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)' [-V'_L, \xi_n] g_{L^m} \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) + \quad (8.146)$$

$$\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) g_{L^m} [\xi_n \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)', -V'_L]) \quad (8.147)$$

$$= L^{2+n-\epsilon} (\xi_n \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)' (-V'_L g_{L^m}) \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) \quad (8.148)$$

$$+ \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) (-V'_L g_{L^m}) \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) \quad (8.149)$$

$$+ \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) (-V'_L g_{L^m}) \xi_n \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)') \quad (8.150)$$

$$+ L^{1+2n-\epsilon} B \quad (8.151)$$

Following this, the ξ_n localising functions are gathered to the right of the ρ_* space localisation. Once they are gathered this way, their sum is the operator $\Phi_{c,\epsilon}(\xi_n)^2$. One factor of $\Phi_{c,\epsilon}(\xi_n)$ can be moved back through

⁶From here to the end of the estimate on I_6 , the proof is cut and pasted from the proof of prop 8.15 in the previous notes.

the ρ_* localisation, so that $\Phi_{c,\epsilon}(\xi_n)$ appears on both sides of the ρ_* localisation. Rearranging in this way introduces new commutators, which are not immediately estimated since they involve $(-V'_L g_{L^m})$. This is a localising function in ρ_* and $\rho_* L^m$ so that the previous lemmas which estimate commutators can not be applied.

$$I_1 = L^{2+n-\epsilon} (-V'_L g_{L^m}) \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) (\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) + 2\xi_n \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)') \quad (8.152)$$

$$+ L^{2+n-\epsilon} ([\xi_n \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)', -V'_L g_{L^m}] \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)) \quad (8.153)$$

$$+ [\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n), -V'_L g_{L^m}] \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) \quad (8.154)$$

$$+ [\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n), -V'_L g_{L^m}] \xi_n \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)' \quad (8.155)$$

$$+ L^{1+2n-\epsilon} B \quad (8.156)$$

$$= L^{2+n-\epsilon} (\Phi_{c,\epsilon}(\xi_n) (-V'_L g_{L^m}) \Phi_{c,\epsilon}(\xi_n)) \quad (8.157)$$

$$L^{2+n-\epsilon} ([-V'_L g_{L^m}, \Phi_{c,\epsilon}(\xi_n)] \Phi_{c,\epsilon}(\xi_n)) \quad (8.158)$$

$$+ [\xi_n \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)', -V'_L g_{L^m}] \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) \quad (8.159)$$

$$+ [\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n), -V'_L g_{L^m}] \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) \quad (8.160)$$

$$+ [\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n), -V'_L g_{L^m}] \xi_n \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)' \quad (8.161)$$

$$+ L^{1+2n-\epsilon} B \quad (8.162)$$

Of the terms in the previous expression, the first is a positive term we wish to keep, and the expectation value of the last is $O(\|L^{\frac{1+2n}{2}} \chi_\alpha u\|^2, L^1)$. The other terms all involve a commutator of the form $[F(\xi_n), (-V'_L g_{L^m})]$ multiplied by $L^{2+n-\epsilon}$ and bounded operators.

By rewriting the commutator $[F(\xi_n), (-V'_L g_{L^m})]$, the four remaining commutator terms can also be shown to be $O(\|L^{\frac{1+2n}{2}} \chi_\alpha u\|^2, L^1)$. It is necessary to separate $-V'_L g_{L^m}$ into a function of ρ_* and of $\rho_* L^m$ to estimate the commutator. Naively applying the Leibniz rule for commutators, gives a contribution from the commutator with g_{L^m} which is $L^{1+m+2n-\epsilon} B$, which is not $O(\|L^{\frac{1+2n}{2}} \chi_\alpha u\|^2, L^1)$ in expectation value. The decomposition

$$-V'_L g_{L^m} = (-V'_L \rho_*^{-1}) (\rho_* L^m g_{L^m}) L^{-m} \quad (8.163)$$

ensures that the commutators are all $O(\|L^{\frac{1+2n}{2}} \chi_\alpha u\|^2, L^1)$ in expectation. This decomposition is possible since $-V'_L$ vanishes linearly at $\rho_* = 0$. Taylor's theorem guarantees $-V'_L \rho_*^{-1}$ is C^∞ .

$$L^{2+n-\epsilon} [F(\xi_n), (-V'_L g_{L^m})] = L^{2-m+n-\epsilon} [F(\xi_n), (-V'_L \rho_*^{-1}) L^m \rho_*] \quad (8.164)$$

The Leibniz rule can now be applied and the resulting commutators shown to be lower order operators by lemma 8.13. Note that the class of F considered here consists of $\Phi_{c,\epsilon}(x)$, $\Phi_{b,\epsilon}(x)$, and $\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(x)$, all of which are covered by lemma 8.13, and that $(V'_L \rho_*^{-1})$ and $L^m \rho_* g_{L^m} = X_\uparrow(\mathbf{x}_m)^2$ both have bounded first and second derivative as required by lemma 8.13.

$$L^{2+n-\epsilon} [F(\xi_n), (-V'_L g_{L^m})] = L^{2-m+n-\epsilon} (-V'_L \rho_*^{-1}) [F(\xi_n), X_\uparrow(\mathbf{x}_m)^2] \quad (8.165)$$

$$+ L^{2-m+n-\epsilon} [F(\xi_n), (-V'_L \rho_*^{-1})] X_\uparrow(\mathbf{x}_m)^2 \quad (8.166)$$

$$= L^{2+n-\epsilon} L^{n+m-1} B + L^{2-m+n-\epsilon} L^{m+n-1} \rho_* B \quad (8.167)$$

$$= L^{1+2n-\epsilon} \rho_* B + L^{1+2n-\epsilon} B \quad (8.168)$$

Since χ_α has compact support, and applying the self-adjointness of ρ_* , we are left with an error term like

$$|\langle \rho_* \chi_\alpha u, L^{\frac{1+2n-\epsilon}{2}} B \chi_\alpha u \rangle| \leq C \|L^{\frac{1+2n-\epsilon}{2}} \chi_\alpha u\| \quad (8.169)$$

$$= O(\|L^{\frac{1+2n}{2}} \chi_\alpha u\|^2, L^1) \quad (8.170)$$

This gives the following estimate of the leading order term, I_1 .

$$\langle u, \chi_\alpha I_1 \chi_\alpha u \rangle = \|L^{\frac{2+n-\epsilon}{2}} (-V'_L \rho_*^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}} X_\uparrow(\mathbf{x}_m) \Phi_{c,\epsilon}(\xi_n) \chi_\alpha u\|^2 + O(\|L^{\frac{1+2n}{2}} \chi_\alpha u\|^2, L^1) \quad (8.171)$$

Using the estimate in equations (8.164)-(8.171) again, we can rearrange the localisation as

$$\langle u, \chi_\alpha I_1 \chi_\alpha u \rangle = \|L^{\frac{2+n-\epsilon}{2}} \Phi_{c,\epsilon}(\xi_n) (-V'_L \rho_*^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}} X_\uparrow(\mathbf{x}_m) \chi_\alpha u\|^2 + O(\|L^{\frac{1+2n}{2}} \chi_\alpha u\|^2, L^1) \quad (8.172)$$

The localisation $\Phi_{c,\epsilon}(\xi_n)$ can be replaced by $\epsilon^{1/2} \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)$, so the estimate becomes,

$$\langle u, \chi_\alpha I_1 \chi_\alpha u \rangle = \epsilon \|L^{\frac{2+n-\epsilon}{2}} \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) (-V'_L \rho_*^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}} X_\uparrow(\mathbf{x}_m) \chi_\alpha u\|^2 + O(\|L^{\frac{1+2n}{2}} \chi_\alpha u\|^2, L^1). \quad (8.173)$$

To conclude the estimate on I_1 , we commute the localisation again,

$$\langle u, \chi_\alpha I_1 \chi_\alpha u \rangle = \epsilon \|L^{\frac{2+n-\epsilon}{2}} (-V'_L \rho_*^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}} X_\uparrow(\mathbf{x}_m) \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) \chi_\alpha u\|^2 + O(\|L^{\frac{1+2n}{2}} \chi_\alpha u\|^2, L^1). \quad (8.174)$$

It now remains to show that $I_2, \dots, I_6$ are $O(\|L^{\frac{1+2n}{2}} \chi_\alpha u\|^2, L^1)$. Discussion of these continues from highest order to lowest. The first of these is I_2 which contains terms involving g'_{L^m} and $\xi_n \Phi'_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)$ terms. Note that because two different expansions of γ_{L^m} were used in equation (8.129), the signs on the two factors of g'_{L^m} are different. To simplify I_2 , the factors of g'_{L^m} are expanded.

$$I_2 = L^{2+n-\epsilon} \frac{1}{2} (-\Phi'_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) [V_L, \xi_n] g'_{L^m} \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) \quad (8.175)$$

$$+ \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) g'_{L^m} \Phi'_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) [V_L, \xi_n]) \quad (8.176)$$

$$= L^{2+n-\epsilon} \frac{1}{2} (-\Phi'_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) (-iL^{n-1}) (-V'_L) L^m X_\downarrow(\mathbf{x}_m) \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) \quad (8.177)$$

$$+ \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) X_\downarrow(\mathbf{x}_m) \Phi'_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) (-iL^{n-1}) (-V'_L)) \quad (8.178)$$

$$= \frac{i}{2} L^{1+m+2n-\epsilon} (-\Phi'_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) V'_L X_\downarrow(\mathbf{x}_m) \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) \quad (8.179)$$

$$+ \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) X_\downarrow(\mathbf{x}_m) \Phi'_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) V'_L) \quad (8.180)$$

This leaves an expression for I_2 as the sum of two terms. The goal now is to rearrange these terms so that the highest order parts from each cancel. As usual, the rearrangement will introduce commutators. Naively attempting to commute $\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)$ or $\Phi'_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)$ with g_{L^m} will leave a commutator of the form $L^{2m+3n-\epsilon} B$, which is not $O(\|L^{\frac{1+2n}{2}} \chi_\alpha u\|^2, L^1)$ in expectation value. Once again, an additional factor of $\rho_* \rho_*^{-1}$ is introduced and used to absorb a factor of L^m into a function of $\rho_* L^m$.

$$I_2 = \frac{i}{2} L^{1+2n-\epsilon} (-\Phi'_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) \rho_* L^m X_\downarrow(\mathbf{x}_m) (V'_L \rho_*^{-1}) \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) \quad (8.181)$$

$$+ \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) L^m X_\downarrow(\mathbf{x}_m) \Phi'_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) \rho_* (V'_L \rho_*^{-1})) \quad (8.182)$$

Functions of ρ_* are now moved to obtain the same localising functions, $(V'_L \rho_*^{-1})$ and $\rho_* L^m X_\downarrow(\mathbf{x}_m) = \mathbf{x}_m X_\downarrow(\mathbf{x}_m)$, in both terms. This rearrangement introduces additional commutators which can be estimated by lemma 8.13.

$$I_2 = \frac{i}{2} L^{1+2n-\epsilon} (-\Phi'_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) (\mathbf{x}_m X_\downarrow(\mathbf{x}_m)) \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) (V'_L \rho_*^{-1}) \quad (8.183)$$

$$+ \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) (\mathbf{x}_m X_\downarrow(\mathbf{x}_m)) \Phi'_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) (V'_L \rho_*^{-1})) \quad (8.184)$$

$$+ \frac{i}{2} L^{1+2n-\epsilon} (-\Phi'_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) (\mathbf{x}_m X_\downarrow(\mathbf{x}_m)) [(V'_L \rho_*^{-1}), \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)] \quad (8.185)$$

$$+ \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) X_\downarrow(\mathbf{x}_m) L^m [\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n), \rho_*] (V'_L \rho_*^{-1})) \quad (8.186)$$

$$= \frac{i}{2} L^{1+2n-\epsilon} (-\Phi'_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) (\mathbf{x}_m X_\downarrow(\mathbf{x}_m)) \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) (V'_L \rho_*^{-1}) \quad (8.187)$$

$$+ \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) (\mathbf{x}_m X_\downarrow(\mathbf{x}_m)) \Phi'_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) (V'_L \rho_*^{-1})) \quad (8.188)$$

$$+ L^{3n-\epsilon} B_1 + L^{m+3n-\epsilon} B_2 \quad (8.189)$$

The ξ_n localisation is now grouped to the left of all ρ_* and $\rho_* L^m$ localisation. The leading order terms are both $\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) \Phi'_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) (\mathbf{x}_m X_\downarrow(\mathbf{x}_m)) (V'_L \rho_*^{-1})$, but with opposite signs, so they cancel. The remaining terms

are commutators which are found to be $L^{m+3n-\epsilon}B$ in the standard way. Although $X_{\downarrow}(x)$ is not C^2 , we will only need to use $xX_{\downarrow}(x)$ which has its second derivative in L^∞ .

$$I_2 = \frac{i}{2}L^{1+2n-\epsilon}(-\Phi'_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)[(\mathbf{x}_m X_{\downarrow}(\mathbf{x}_m)), \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)](V'_L \rho_*^{-1}) \quad (8.190)$$

$$+ \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)[(\mathbf{x}_m X_{\downarrow}(\mathbf{x}_m)), \Phi'_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)](V'_L \rho_*^{-1}) \quad (8.191)$$

$$+ L^{3n-\epsilon}B_1 + L^{m+3n-\epsilon}B_2 \quad (8.192)$$

$$= L^{m+3n-\epsilon}B_3 + L^{3n-\epsilon}B_1 \quad (8.193)$$

Because the powers of L involved are all less than $1+2n$, the desired estimate on I_2 holds.

$$\langle \chi_\alpha u, I_2 \chi_\alpha u \rangle = O(\|L^{\frac{1+2n}{2}} \chi_\alpha u\|^2, L^1) \quad (8.194)$$

Next I_3 is considered. This involves a second commutator $[[V_L, \xi_n], \xi_n]$ which can be explicitly computed as $(V_L'')(-L^{2n-2})$.

$$I_3 = L^{2+n-\epsilon}(\Phi''_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)[[V_L, \xi_n], \xi_n] \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} g_{L^m} \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)) \quad (8.195)$$

$$+ \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) g_{L^m} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} \Phi''_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)[[V_L, \xi_n], \xi_n]) \quad (8.196)$$

$$= L^{2+n-\epsilon}(\Phi''_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)(V_L'')(-L^{2n-2}) \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} g_{L^m} \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)) \quad (8.197)$$

$$+ \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) g_{L^m} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} \Phi''_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)(V_L'')(-L^{2n-2})) \quad (8.198)$$

To further simplify this, it is rewritten in terms of ξ_n instead of $\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*}$.

$$I_3 = iL^{1+2n-\epsilon}(\Phi''_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)(V_L'')\xi_n g_{L^m} \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)) \quad (8.199)$$

$$+ \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) g_{L^m} \xi_n \Phi''_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)(V_L'')) \quad (8.200)$$

This is rearranged to group ξ_n and $\Phi''_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)$ together. Since $x\Phi''_{a,\epsilon}(x)$ is smooth and decays like $x^{\frac{-5+\epsilon}{4}}$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$, $x\Phi''_{a,\epsilon}(x)$ is a bounded function and $\xi_n \Phi''_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)$ is a bounded operator. The rearrangement introduces commutators which are estimated in the standard way by lemma 8.13.

$$I_3 = L^{1+2n-\epsilon}((-i\xi_n \Phi''_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n))V_L'' g_{L^m} \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)) \quad (8.201)$$

$$+ \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) g_{L^m} (-i\xi_n \Phi''_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n))V_L'' \quad (8.202)$$

$$+ L^{1+2n-\epsilon}(\Phi''_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)[V_L'', -i\xi_n] g_{L^m} \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)) \quad (8.203)$$

$$= L^{1+2n-\epsilon}B_1 + L^{3n-\epsilon}B_2 \quad (8.204)$$

Since the powers of L involved are bounded by $1+2n$, the expectation value of I_3 with respect to $\chi_\alpha u$ is $O(\|L^{\frac{1+2n}{2}} \chi_\alpha u\|^2, L^1)$.

To estimate I_4 , the commutator $[[V_L, \xi_n], \xi_n]$ and the derivative g'_{L^m} are explicitly expanded to show I_4 is a product of bounded operators and powers of L .

$$L^{2+n-\epsilon} \frac{1}{2}(-\Phi''_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)[[V_L, \xi_n], \xi_n] g'_{L^m} \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)) \quad (8.205)$$

$$+ \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) g'_{L^m} \Phi''_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)[[V_L, \xi_n], \xi_n]) \quad (8.206)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}L^{2+n-\epsilon}(-\Phi''_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)(V_L')(-L^{2n-2})L^m X_{\downarrow}(\mathbf{x}_m) \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)) \quad (8.207)$$

$$+ \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)L^m X_{\downarrow}(\mathbf{x}_m) \Phi''_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)(V_L')(-L^{2n-2})) \quad (8.208)$$

$$= L^{m+3n-\epsilon}B \quad (8.209)$$

Since $m+3n-\epsilon < 1+2n$, the expectation value of I_4 with respect to $\chi_\alpha u$ is $O(\|L^{\frac{1+2n}{2}} \chi_\alpha u\|^2, L^1)$.

Finally the terms I_5 and I_6 involving $R_3 = L^{3n-3}B$ are considered. These are each the sum of two inner products, but by the symmetry and anti symmetry properties of the operators involved, it is sufficient to consider just one of the inner products for each. The expectation value of I_5 is considered, and the operators χ_α , $\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*}$ are moved to the left side of the inner product.

$$\langle u, \chi_\alpha \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) L^{2+n-\epsilon} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} g_{L^m} R_3 \chi_\alpha u \rangle = \langle -\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) \chi_\alpha u, L^{2+n-\epsilon} g_{L^m} R_3 \chi_\alpha u \rangle \quad (8.210)$$

The Cauchy-Schwartz inequality is applied and the resulting norms are found to be of a bounded operator acting on $\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} \chi_\alpha u$ and on $L^{4n-1-\epsilon} \chi_\alpha u$. By corollary 7.7, $\|\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} \chi_\alpha u\|^2$ is time integrable and hence $O(L^1)$ and $O(\|L^{\frac{1+2n}{2}} \chi_\alpha u\|^2, L^1)$. Since $4n-1-\epsilon < \frac{1+2n}{2}$, $\|L^{4n-1-\epsilon} \chi_\alpha u\|^2$ is $O(\|L^{\frac{1+2n}{2}} \chi_\alpha u\|^2, L^1)$.

$$\langle u, \chi_\alpha \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) L^{2+n-\epsilon} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} g_{L^m} R_3 \chi_\alpha u \rangle \geq -(\|\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} \chi_\alpha u\| \|L^{2+n-\epsilon} L^{3n-3} B \chi_\alpha u\|) \quad (8.211)$$

$$\geq -(\|\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} \chi_\alpha u\|^2 + \|L^{2+n-\epsilon} L^{3n-3} B \chi_\alpha u\|^2) \quad (8.212)$$

$$\geq -(\|\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} \chi_\alpha u\|^2 + \|L^{4n-1-\epsilon} \chi_\alpha u\|^2) \quad (8.213)$$

$$\geq -O(\|L^{\frac{1+2n}{2}} \chi_\alpha u\|^2, L^1) \quad (8.214)$$

The term I_6 is estimated in expectation value by expanding g'_{L^m} and finding the commutator is a bounded operator times $L^{4n+m-1-\epsilon}$. Since $4n+m-1-\epsilon < 1+2n$, this expectation value in $O(\|L^{\frac{1+2n}{2}} \chi_\alpha u\|^2, L^1)$.

$$\langle u, \chi_\alpha L^{2+m+n-\epsilon} g'_{L^m} R_3 \chi_\alpha u \rangle = \langle u, \chi_\alpha L^{2+n-\epsilon} X_\downarrow(\mathbf{x}_m) R_3 \chi_\alpha u \rangle \quad (8.215)$$

$$= \langle u, \chi_\alpha L^{2+m+n-\epsilon} L^{3n-3} B \chi_\alpha u \rangle \quad (8.216)$$

$$= \langle u, \chi_\alpha L^{4n+m-1-\epsilon} B \chi_\alpha u \rangle \quad (8.217)$$

$$= O(L^1) \quad (8.218)$$

This term is $O(L^1)$ because $4n+m-1-\epsilon \leq \frac{3}{2}$. This shows the contributions from $I_2, \dots, I_6$ are lower order.

Combining the results so far, we have that

$$\langle u, [H_1 + H_3, \Gamma_{n,m}] u \rangle \geq \langle u, \chi_\alpha \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) L^{n-\epsilon} [-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r_*^2}, \gamma_{L^m}] \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) \chi_\alpha u \rangle \quad (8.219)$$

$$+ \langle u, \chi_\alpha \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) L^{n-\epsilon} \epsilon (-V'_L g_{L^m}) \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) \chi_\alpha u \rangle + O(\|L^{\frac{1+2n}{2}} \chi_\alpha u\|^2, L^1) \quad (8.220)$$

$$\geq \langle \Psi(L^{-\delta}, \chi_\alpha) u, L^{n-\epsilon} [H_1 + \epsilon H_3, \gamma_{L^m}] \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\chi_\alpha) u \rangle + O(\|L^{\frac{1+2n}{2}} \chi_\alpha u\|^2, L^1). \quad (8.221)$$

From the angular modulation commutator estimate, lemma 7.4, we have the desired result,

$$\langle u, [H_1 + H_3, \Gamma_{n,m}] u \rangle \geq c \|L^{\frac{n-\epsilon}{2}} \tilde{X}_\downarrow(\mathbf{x}_m)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) \chi_\alpha u\|^2 \quad (8.222)$$

$$+ c \epsilon \langle \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) \chi_\alpha u, L^{2+n-\epsilon} g_{L^m} V'_L \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) \chi_\alpha u \rangle + O(\|L^{\frac{1+2n}{2}} \chi_\alpha u\|^2, L^1). \quad (8.223)$$

Note that lemma 7.4 does not require the full $-g_{L^m} V'_L$ term, since there's a $-(1-\epsilon)g_{L^m} V'_L$ term appearing on the right. Thus, even though we only have $-\epsilon g_{L^m} V'_L$ in equation (8.221), this is sufficient to get positivity and control over $-(\epsilon/2)g_{L^m} V'_L$. $\square$

8.4 Derivative Bounds

In this subsection, we remove the localisation on the $\mathbf{x}_m$ phase space variable. The resulting estimates are localised in ξ_n only. Our estimates will be in the regions corresponding to $\Phi_{|\bullet| \leq 1}$ and $\Psi_{L^{-\delta} \leq |\bullet| \leq 1}$. The first lemma shows that $\Gamma_{n, \frac{1}{2}}$ majorates $L^{\frac{3+m-\epsilon}{2}}$, and the second, that $\Gamma_{n,n}$ majorates $L^{1-\delta-\frac{\epsilon}{2}}$.

The first lemma is a quick application of lemma 8.15, with lemma 8.14 used to show the H_2 contributions are lower order.

Lemma 8.16. For $u \in \mathbb{S}$ is a solution to the wave equation, $\ddot{u} + Hu = 0$, $\epsilon > 0$, $n \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$, and χ compactly supported, there is a constant C such that,

$$\langle u, [H, \Gamma_{n, \frac{1}{2}}]u \rangle \geq C \|L^{\frac{3}{2}+n-\epsilon} \Phi_{|\xi_n| \leq 1} \chi u\|^2 - O(\|L^{\frac{1+2n}{2}} \chi_\alpha u\|^2, L^1) \quad (8.224)$$

Proof. By the results of the angular modulation argument, we have that for any smooth, compactly supported function, χ ,

$$-\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} X_\downarrow(\mathbf{x}_m) \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} - L^2 g_{L^m} V'_L \geq L^{3m} \chi \quad (8.225)$$

Taking $\tilde{\chi}$ to be smooth, positive, compactly supported, and identically one on the support of χ_α and $m = \frac{1}{2}$, we have, from the result of lemmas 8.15 and 8.14, and lemma 7.4,

$$\langle u, [H, \Gamma_{n, \frac{1}{2}}]u \rangle \geq \langle \Phi_{a, \epsilon}(\xi_n) \chi_\alpha u, L^{n-\epsilon} (-\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} \tilde{X}_\downarrow(\mathbf{x}_{\frac{1}{2}}) \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} - \epsilon L^2 g_{L^m} V'_L) \Phi_{a, \epsilon}(\xi_n) \chi_\alpha u \rangle + O(\|L^{\frac{1+2n}{2}} \chi_\alpha u\|^2, L^1) \quad (8.226)$$

$$\geq \epsilon \langle \Phi_{a, \epsilon}(\xi_n) \chi_\alpha u, L^{\frac{3}{2}+n-\epsilon} \tilde{\chi} \Phi_{a, \epsilon}(\xi_n) \chi_\alpha u \rangle + O(\|L^{\frac{1+2n}{2}} \chi_\alpha u\|^2, L^1) \quad (8.227)$$

$$\geq C \|L^{\frac{3}{2}+n-\epsilon} \Phi_{a, \epsilon}(\xi_n) \chi_\alpha u\|^2 \quad (8.228)$$

$$+ C \|L^{\frac{3}{2}+n-\epsilon} L^{n-1} B \chi_\alpha u\|^2 + O(\|L^{\frac{1+2n}{2}} \chi_\alpha u\|^2, L^1). \quad (8.229)$$

Since the second term, from $[\tilde{\chi}, \Phi_{a, \epsilon}(\xi_n)]$, is also a lower order term, the desired result holds. $\square$

Our next goal is a result with localisation $\Psi_{L^{-\delta} \leq |\xi_n| \leq 1}$. We begin by introducing new Ψ type localisations, which are used as smooth approximations to $\Psi_{L^{-\delta} \leq |\bullet| \leq 1}$.

Definition 8.17. The function $\Psi_1 : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is defined to be a smooth C^∞ function which has support on $[\frac{1}{2}, \infty)$ and is identically one on $[1, \infty)$. The function $\Psi_2 : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is defined to be a C^∞ function which has support on $[0, 2]$ and is identically 1 on $[0, 1]$. These are extended as even functions. The extensions are also Schwartz class, since the original functions are constant in a neighbourhood of zero. The operator $\Psi(L^{-\delta}, \xi_n)$ is defined by

$$\Psi(L^{-\delta}, \xi_n) = \Psi_1(L^\delta \xi_n) \Psi_2(\xi_n) \quad (8.230)$$

The following lemma allows us to replace one Φ type localisation with Ψ type localisation and to move this replacement through $\mathbf{x}_m$ localisation.

Lemma 8.18. Given δ and ϵ positive, there is a constant C_1 such that for all $v \in \mathbb{S}$ and for F_2 either $\Phi_{a, \epsilon}$ or $\Phi_{c, \epsilon}$,

$$\|\Psi_{L^{-\delta} \leq |\xi_n| \leq 1} v\| \leq \|\Psi(L^{-\delta}, \xi_n) v\| \quad (8.231)$$

$$C_1 \|L^{-\delta} (\Psi(L^{-\delta}, \bullet) F_2^{-1})(\xi_n) v\| \leq \|\xi_n v\| \quad (8.232)$$

In addition, for $n \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$ and $m \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$, there is a constant C_2 , such that for any differentiable function F_1 and for F_2 either $\Phi_{a, \epsilon}$ or $\Phi_{c, \epsilon}$,

$$\|L^{1-m-n-\delta} [F_1(\mathbf{x}_m), (\Psi(L^{-\delta}, \bullet) F_2^{-1})(\xi_n)]\| \leq C_2 \|F_1'\|_\infty \quad (8.233)$$

Proof. Since L , $\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*}$, and ξ_n are all commuting operators, any functions of these operators, defined by the spectral theorem, also commute. The spherical harmonic decomposition is into orthogonal subspaces preserved by these operators. Therefore, it is sufficient to prove the first two results for functions with a single spherical harmonic component and to prove the third result by considering the operator on the right as an operator on a single spherical harmonic.

For a fixed value of $\tilde{l}$,

$$\Psi(l^{-\delta}, x) = \Psi_1(l^\delta |x|) \Psi_2(|x|) \quad (8.234)$$

$$\geq \chi([1, \infty), \tilde{l}^\delta |x|) \chi([0, 1], x) \quad (8.235)$$

$$\geq \chi([\tilde{l}^{-\delta}, \infty), |x|) \chi([0, 1], x) \quad (8.236)$$

$$\geq \chi([\tilde{l}^{-\delta}, 1], |x|) \quad (8.237)$$

$$\geq \Psi_{l^{-\delta} \leq |x| \leq 1} \quad (8.238)$$

Therefore, by the spectral theorem, for any function $v \in \mathbb{S}$, on each spherical harmonic

$$\|\Psi_{L^{-\delta} \leq |\xi_n| \leq 1} v\| \leq \|\Psi(L^{-\delta}, \xi_n) v\| \quad (8.239)$$

Now the case when F_2 is either $\Phi_{a,\epsilon}$ or $\Phi_{c,\epsilon}$ is considered. Both $\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(x)$ and $\Phi_{c,\epsilon}(x)$ are smooth, strictly positive functions, so in either case $F_2(x)$ has a bounded inverse for $|x| \in \text{supp}(\Psi_2) \subset [0, 2]$, and $(\Psi_2 F_2^{-1})(\xi_n)$ is a bounded operator. Since all the operators involved commute and $\Psi_1(l^\delta |x|)$ is bounded, $(\Psi(L^{-\delta}, \bullet) F_2^{-1})(\xi_n)$ is a well defined, bounded operator. Again, for a fixed l ,

$$|x| \geq \frac{1}{2} \tilde{l}^{-\delta} \chi([\frac{1}{2} \tilde{l}^{-\delta}, \infty), |x|) \quad (8.240)$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2} \tilde{l}^{-\delta} \chi([\frac{1}{2}, \infty), \tilde{l}^\delta |x|) \quad (8.241)$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2} \tilde{l}^{-\delta} \Psi_1(l^\delta |x|) \quad (8.242)$$

$$\geq C \tilde{l}^{-\delta} \Psi_1(l^\delta |x|) (\Psi_2 F_2^{-1})(x) \quad (8.243)$$

$$\geq C \tilde{l}^{-\delta} (\Psi(L^{-\delta}, \bullet) F_2^{-1})(x) \quad (8.244)$$

On each spherical harmonic, by the spectral theorem,

$$\|\xi_n v\| = \|(|\xi_n|) v\| \geq C \|L^{-\delta} (\Psi(L^{-\delta}, \bullet) F_2^{-1})(\xi_n) v\| \quad (8.245)$$

Finally the commutator is calculated. Again this is proven on a single spherical harmonic shell.

$$(\Psi(L^{-\delta}, \bullet) F_2^{-1})(\xi_n) = \Psi_1(L^\delta \xi_n) (\Psi_2 F_2^{-1})(\xi_n) \quad (8.246)$$

$$L^{1-m-n-\delta} [F_1(\mathbf{x}_m), (\Psi(L^{-\delta}, \bullet) F_2^{-1})(\xi_n)] = L^{1-m-n-\delta} [F_1(\mathbf{x}_m), \Psi_1(L^\delta \xi_n) (\Psi_2 F_2^{-1})(\xi_n)] \quad (8.247)$$

$$= L^{1-m-n-\delta} [F_1(\mathbf{x}_m), \Psi_1(L^\delta \xi_n)] (\Psi_2 F_2^{-1})(\xi_n) \quad (8.248)$$

$$+ L^{1-m-n-\delta} \Psi_1(L^\delta \mathbf{x}_m) [F_1(\xi_n), (\Psi_2 F_2^{-1})(\xi_n)] \quad (8.249)$$

Each of these terms is now estimated. Since only one spherical harmonic is being considered, the operator L can be replaced with the constant l . This simplifies the discussion of the commutator involving $\Psi_1(L^\delta \xi_n)$.

Since Ψ_1 is C^∞ and constant outside a compact interval, it follows that Ψ'_1 is Schwartz class and that $\|\mathcal{F}[\Psi'_1]\|_1 \leq \infty$.

$$\|L^{1-m-n-\delta} [F_1(\mathbf{x}_m), \Psi_1(l^\delta \xi_n)] (\Psi_2 F_2^{-1})(\xi_n)\| \leq C l^{-\delta} \|l^{1-2n} [F_1(\mathbf{x}_m), \Psi_1(l^\delta \xi_n)]\| \quad (8.250)$$

$$\leq C l^{-\delta} \|F'_1\|_\infty \|\mathcal{F}[(\Psi_1(l^\delta | \bullet |))']\|_1 \quad (8.251)$$

At this point, the derivative of the function in a scaled variable, $(\Psi_1(l^\delta \bullet))'$, is evaluated to be a scaled version of the derivative evaluated at the scaled variable, $l^\delta \Psi'_1(l^\delta \bullet)$. To evaluate the Fourier transform of this, it is noted that $\|\mathcal{F}[f(\lambda \bullet)]\|_1 = \|\mathcal{F}[f(\bullet)]\|_1$.

$$\|L^{1-m-n-\delta} [F_1(\mathbf{x}_m), \Psi_1(L^\delta \xi_n)] (\Psi_2 F_2^{-1})(\xi_n)\| \leq C l^{-\delta} \|F'_1\|_\infty \|l^\delta \mathcal{F}[\Psi'_1(l^\delta | \bullet |)]\|_1 \quad (8.252)$$

$$\leq C \|F'_1\|_\infty \|\mathcal{F}[\Psi'_1]\|_1 \quad (8.253)$$

$$\leq C \|F'_1\|_\infty \quad (8.254)$$

This completes the estimate on the first of the commutator terms.

Since Ψ_2 is Schwartz class, and F_2 is smooth and has bounded inverse on $\text{supp}(\Psi_2)$, $(\Psi_2 F_2^{-1})$ is Schwartz class, and $\|\mathcal{F}[(\Psi_2 F_2^{-1})']\|_1 \leq \infty$.

$$\|L^{1-m-n-\delta}\Psi_1(L^\delta\xi_n)[F_1(\mathbf{x}_m),(\Psi_2 F_2^{-1})(\xi_n)]\| \leq C\|L^{1-m-n-\delta}[F_1(\mathbf{x}_m),(\Psi_2 F_2^{-1})(\xi_n)]\| \quad (8.255)$$

$$\leq C\|L^{1-m-n}[F_1(\mathbf{x}_m),(\Psi_2 F_2^{-1})(\xi_n)]\| \quad (8.256)$$

$$\leq C\|F_1'\|_\infty\|\mathcal{F}[(\Psi_2 F_2^{-1})']\|_1 \quad (8.257)$$

$$\leq C\|F_1'\|_\infty \quad (8.258)$$

This completes the estimate on the second of the commutator terms. $\square$

We now prove a $\Psi_{L^{-\delta}\leq|\bullet|\leq 1}$ localised estimate. This is analogous to the $\Phi_{|\bullet|\leq 1}$ localised estimate in lemma 8.16; although, the proof is more complicated because the Ψ type localisations are more complicated to work with. Because ξ_n is bounded below on the support of $\Psi_{L^{-\delta}\leq|\xi_n|\leq 1}$, we can dominate more powers of L with this localisation. Here, we take $m = n$.

Lemma 8.19. *For $u \in \mathbb{S}$ is a solution to the wave equation, $\ddot{u} + Hu = 0$, $\epsilon > 0$, $\delta > 0$, and $n \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$,*

$$\langle u, [H, \Gamma_{n,n}]u \rangle \geq \|L^{1-\frac{\epsilon}{2}-\delta}\Psi_{L^{-\delta}\leq|\xi_n|\leq 1}\chi_\alpha u\|^2 - O(\|L^{\frac{1+2n}{2}}\chi_\alpha u\|^2, L^1) \quad (8.259)$$

Proof. We take the result of lemmas 8.15 and 8.14 with $m = n$,

$$\langle u, [H, \Gamma_{n,n}]u \rangle \geq \langle \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)\chi_\alpha u, L^{n-\epsilon}(-\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_*}\tilde{X}_\downarrow(\mathbf{x}_n)\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_*} + \epsilon L^2(-g_{L^n}V'_L))\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)\chi_\alpha u \rangle + O(\|L^{\frac{1+2n}{2}}\chi_\alpha u\|^2, L^1) \quad (8.260)$$

The term involving the derivatives is estimated first. The first step is weakening the estimate to eliminate the square root.

$$\|L^{\frac{2n-\epsilon}{2}}\tilde{X}_\downarrow(\mathbf{x}_n)^{\frac{1}{2}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_*}\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)\chi_\alpha u\| \geq \|L^{n-\frac{\epsilon}{2}}\tilde{X}_\downarrow(\mathbf{x}_n)\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_*}\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)\chi_\alpha u\| \quad (8.261)$$

Now $\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_*}$ is treated as if it were a localisation in $\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_*}$ and is commuted to the right of the chain of operators.

$$\|L^{\frac{2n-\epsilon}{2}}\tilde{X}_\downarrow(\mathbf{x}_n)\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_*}\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)\chi_\alpha u\| \geq \|L^{n-\frac{\epsilon}{2}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_*}\tilde{X}_\downarrow(\mathbf{x}_n)\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)\chi_\alpha u\| \quad (8.262)$$

$$- \|L^{n-\frac{\epsilon}{2}}[\tilde{X}_\downarrow(\mathbf{x}_n), \frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_*}]\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)\chi_\alpha u\| \quad (8.263)$$

The commutator from moving $\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_*}$ through $\tilde{X}_\downarrow(\mathbf{x}_n)$ can be computed explicitly. It involves the function $\tilde{X}'_\downarrow(x) = -2x\tilde{X}_\downarrow(x)^2$, which is bounded.

$$[\tilde{X}_\downarrow(\mathbf{x}_n), \frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_*}] = \frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_*} (1 + (L^n\rho_*)^2)^{-1} \quad (8.264)$$

$$= -2L^n\mathbf{x}_n\tilde{X}_\downarrow(\mathbf{x}_n)^2 \quad (8.265)$$

$$= L^n B. \quad (8.266)$$

The “localisation” $L^n\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_*}$ can be replaced by $L^{1-\delta}(\Psi(L^{-\delta}, \bullet)\Phi_{a,\epsilon}^{-1})(\xi_n)$ using lemma 8.18.

$$\|L^{\frac{2n-\epsilon}{2}}\tilde{X}_\downarrow(\mathbf{x}_n)\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_*}\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)\chi_\alpha u\| \geq C\|L^{1-\frac{\epsilon}{2}-\delta}(\Psi(L^{-\delta}, \bullet)\Phi_{a,\epsilon}^{-1})(\xi_n)\tilde{X}_\downarrow(\mathbf{x}_n)\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)\chi_\alpha u\| \quad (8.267)$$

$$- \|L^{2n-\frac{\epsilon}{2}}B\chi_\alpha u\| \quad (8.268)$$

The $(\Psi(L^{-\delta}, \bullet)\Phi_{a,\epsilon}^{-1})(\xi_n)$ is commuted back through the $\mathbf{x}_n$ localisation. The commutator involving $(\Psi(L^{-\delta}, \bullet)\Phi_{a,\epsilon}^{-1})(\xi_n)$ is shown to be lower order by lemma 8.18. Lemma 8.13 can not be directly applied

to commutators involving $(\Psi(L^{-\delta}, \bullet)\Phi_{a,\epsilon}^{-1})(\xi_n)$, because $\Psi(L^{-\delta}, \xi_n) = \Psi_1(L^\delta \xi_n)\Psi_2(\xi_n)$ is a product of two operators with different localisations.

$$\|L^{\frac{2n-\epsilon}{2}}\tilde{X}_\downarrow(\mathbf{x}_n)\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_*}\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)\chi_\alpha u\| \geq C\|L^{1-\frac{\epsilon}{2}-\delta}\tilde{X}_\downarrow(\mathbf{x}_n)\Psi(L^{-\delta}, \xi_n)\chi_\alpha u\| \quad (8.269)$$

$$- \|L^{1-\frac{\epsilon}{2}-\delta}[(\Psi(L^{-\delta}, \bullet)\Phi_{a,\epsilon}^{-1})(\xi_n), \tilde{X}_\downarrow(\mathbf{x}_n)]\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)\chi_\alpha u\| \quad (8.270)$$

$$- \|L^{2n-\frac{\epsilon}{2}}B\chi_\alpha u\| \quad (8.271)$$

$$\geq C\|L^{1-\frac{\epsilon}{2}-\delta}\tilde{X}_\downarrow(\mathbf{x}_n)\Psi(L^{-\delta}, \xi_n)\chi_\alpha u\| \quad (8.272)$$

$$- C\|L^{1-\frac{\epsilon}{2}-\delta}L^{2n+1+\delta}B\chi_\alpha u\| - \|L^{2n-\frac{\epsilon}{2}}B\chi_\alpha u\| \quad (8.273)$$

Since $2n - \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ is the power of L appearing in both error terms, and this exponent is less than $\frac{1+2n}{2}$, the error terms are $O(\|L^{\frac{1+2n}{2}}\chi_\alpha u\|^2, L^1)$. To simplify the summation of the current estimate with the next step in this proof, an additional localisation by $(-V'_L\rho_*^{-1})$ is introduced.

$$\|L^{\frac{2n-\epsilon}{2}}\tilde{X}_\downarrow(\mathbf{x}_n)\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_*}\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)\chi_\alpha u\| \quad (8.274)$$

$$\geq C\|L^{1-\frac{\epsilon}{2}-\delta}\tilde{X}_\downarrow(\mathbf{x}_n)(-V'_L\rho_*^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}}\Psi(L^{-\delta}, \xi_n)\chi_\alpha u\| - O(\|L^{\frac{1+2n}{2}}\chi_\alpha u\|^2, L^1) \quad (8.275)$$

Now the $g_{L^n}V'_L$ terms are estimated. The goal is again to replace the localisation $\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)$ by $\Psi(L^{-\delta}, \xi_n)$. This requires commuting $(\Psi(L^{-\delta}, \bullet)\Phi_{a,\epsilon}^{-1})(\xi_n)$ through the ρ_* localisation. A factor of L^δ is dropped to control commutator terms involving $\Psi(L^{-\delta}, \xi_n)$ at a later stage.

$$\|L^{\frac{2-\epsilon}{2}}(-V'_L\rho_*^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}}X_\uparrow(\mathbf{x}_n)\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)\chi_\alpha u\| = \|L^{1-\frac{\epsilon}{2}-\delta}X_\uparrow(\mathbf{x}_n)(-V'_L\rho_*^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}}\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)\chi_\alpha u\| \quad (8.276)$$

The bounded localisation $(\Psi(L^{-\delta}, \bullet)\Phi_{a,\epsilon}^{-1})(\xi_n)$ is introduced, and then commuted through the localisation in $\mathbf{x}_n$.

$$\|L^{\frac{2-\epsilon}{2}}(-V'_L\rho_*^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}}X_\uparrow(\mathbf{x}_n)\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)\chi_\alpha u\| \quad (8.277)$$

$$\geq C\|(\Psi(L^{-\delta}, \bullet)\Phi_{a,\epsilon}^{-1})(\xi_n)L^{1-\frac{\epsilon}{2}-\delta}X_\uparrow(\mathbf{x}_n)(-V'_L\rho_*^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}}\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)\chi_\alpha u\| \quad (8.278)$$

$$\geq C_1\|L^{1-\frac{\epsilon}{2}-\delta}X_\uparrow(\mathbf{x}_n)(\Psi(L^{-\delta}, \bullet)\Phi_{a,\epsilon}^{-1})(\xi_n)(-V'_L\rho_*^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}}\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)\chi_\alpha u\| \quad (8.279)$$

$$- C_2\|L^{1-\frac{\epsilon}{2}-\delta}[(\Psi(L^{-\delta}, \bullet)\Phi_{a,\epsilon}^{-1})(\xi_n), X_\uparrow(\mathbf{x}_n)](-V'_L\rho_*^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}}\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)\chi_\alpha u\| \quad (8.280)$$

Now the operator $(\Psi(L^{-\delta}, \bullet)\Phi_{a,\epsilon}^{-1})(\xi_n)$ is commuted through the localisation $(-V'_L\rho_*^{-1})$. This eliminates the $\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)$ localisation.

$$\|L^{\frac{2-\epsilon}{2}}(-V'_L\rho_*^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}}X_\uparrow(\mathbf{x}_n)\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)\chi_\alpha u\| \quad (8.281)$$

$$\geq C\|L^{1-\frac{\epsilon}{2}-\delta}X_\uparrow(\mathbf{x}_n)(-V'_L\rho_*^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}}\Psi(L^{-\delta}, \xi_n)\chi_\alpha u\| \quad (8.282)$$

$$- C\|L^{1-\frac{\epsilon}{2}-\delta}X_\uparrow(\mathbf{x}_n)[(\Psi(L^{-\delta}, \bullet)\Phi_{a,\epsilon}^{-1})(\xi_n), (-V'_L\rho_*^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}}]\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)\chi_\alpha u\| \quad (8.283)$$

$$- \|L^{1-\frac{\epsilon}{2}-\delta}[(\Psi(L^{-\delta}, \bullet)\Phi_{a,\epsilon}^{-1})(\xi_n), X_\uparrow(\mathbf{x}_n)](-V'_L\rho_*^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}}\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)\chi_\alpha u\| \quad (8.284)$$

The commutator terms can now be estimated using lemma 8.18 and are found to be lower order error terms. Note that $X_\uparrow(x)$ has a L^∞ derivative.

$$\|L^{\frac{2-\epsilon}{2}}(-V'_L\rho_*^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}}X_\uparrow(\mathbf{x}_n)\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)\chi_\alpha u\| \quad (8.285)$$

$$\geq C\|L^{1-\frac{\epsilon}{2}-\delta}X_\uparrow(\mathbf{x}_n)(-V'_L\rho_*^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}}\Psi(L^{-\delta}, \xi_n)\chi_\alpha u\| \quad (8.286)$$

$$- C\|L^{1-\frac{\epsilon}{2}-\delta}X_\uparrow(\mathbf{x}_n)L^{n+\delta-1}B_1\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)\chi_\alpha u\| \quad (8.287)$$

$$- \|L^{1-\frac{\epsilon}{2}-\delta}L^{2n+\delta-1}B_2(-V'_L\rho_*^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}}\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)\chi_\alpha u\| \quad (8.288)$$

$$\geq C\|L^{1-\frac{\epsilon}{2}-\delta}X_\uparrow(\mathbf{x}_n)(-V'_L\rho_*^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}}\Psi(L^{-\delta}, \xi_n)\chi_\alpha u\| \quad (8.289)$$

$$+ O(\|L^{\frac{1+2n}{2}}\chi_\alpha u\|^2, L^1) \quad (8.290)$$

Equations (8.275) and (8.290) can be combined with the initial estimate on the expectation value of $[H, \Gamma_{n,n}]$ to produce an intermediate result.

$$\langle u, [H, \Gamma_{n,n}]u \rangle \geq C(\|L^{1-\frac{\epsilon}{2}-\delta} X_{\uparrow}(\mathbf{x}_n)(-V'_L \rho_*^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \Psi(L^{-\delta}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_n) \chi_{\alpha} u\|^2 \quad (8.291)$$

$$+ \|L^{1-\frac{\epsilon}{2}-\delta} \tilde{X}_{\downarrow}(\mathbf{x}_n)(-V'_L \rho_*^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \Psi(L^{-\delta}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_n) \chi_{\alpha} u\|^2) \quad (8.292)$$

$$- O(\|L^{\frac{1+2n}{2}} \chi_{\alpha} u\|^2, L^1) \quad (8.293)$$

Both these terms involve localisation in $\mathbf{x}_n$ acting on $(-V'_L \rho_*^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \Psi(L^{-\delta}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_n) \chi_{\alpha} u$. The sum of the localisations is bounded below by a constant.

$$\tilde{X}_{\downarrow}(x)^2 + X_{\uparrow}(x)^2 = (\frac{1}{1+x^2})^2 + (x\sqrt{\frac{g(x)}{x}})^2 \geq C \quad (8.294)$$

Therefore, the two terms can be combined to provide a better estimate.

$$\langle u, [H, \Gamma_{n,n}]u \rangle \geq C\|L^{1-\frac{\epsilon}{2}-\delta}(-V'_L \rho_*^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \Psi(L^{-\delta}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_n) \chi_{\alpha} u\| - O(\|L^{\frac{1+2n}{2}} \chi_{\alpha} u\|^2, L^1) \quad (8.295)$$

To eliminate the factor of $(-V'_L \rho_*^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}}$, a new function is introduced. This function, f , is a smooth, compactly supported function and equal to the inverse of $(-V'_L \rho_*^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}}$ on $\text{supp} \chi_{\alpha}$. Since it is bounded, it can be freely introduced into the norm. The ρ_* localisation can then be commuted through $\Psi(L^{-\delta}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_n)$.

$$\langle u, [H, \Gamma_{n,n}]u \rangle \geq C\|L^{1-\frac{\epsilon}{2}-\delta} f(-V'_L \rho_*^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \Psi(L^{-\delta}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_n) \chi_{\alpha} u\| - O(\|L^{\frac{1+2n}{2}} \chi_{\alpha} u\|^2, L^1) \quad (8.296)$$

$$\geq C\|L^{1-\frac{\epsilon}{2}-\delta} \Psi(L^{-\delta}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_n) f(-V'_L \rho_*^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \chi_{\alpha} u\| \quad (8.297)$$

$$- \|L^{1-\frac{\epsilon}{2}-\delta} [f(-V'_L \rho_*^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}}, \Psi(L^{-\delta}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_n)] \chi_{\alpha} u\| \quad (8.298)$$

$$- O(\|L^{\frac{1+2n}{2}} \chi_{\alpha} u\|^2, L^1) \quad (8.299)$$

From the definition of f , the product of all the ρ_* localisation reduces to χ_{α} . The new commutator terms can be estimated by lemma 8.18 and are found to be lower order error terms.

$$\langle u, [H, \Gamma_{n,n}]u \rangle \geq C\|L^{1-\frac{\epsilon}{2}-\delta} \Psi(L^{-\delta}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_n) \chi_{\alpha} u\| - \|L^{1-\frac{\epsilon}{2}-\delta} L^{n+\delta-1} B \chi_{\alpha} u\| \quad (8.300)$$

$$- O(\|L^{\frac{1+2n}{2}} \chi_{\alpha} u\|^2, L^1) \quad (8.301)$$

$$\geq C\|L^{1-\frac{\epsilon}{2}-\delta} \Psi(L^{-\delta}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_n) \chi_{\alpha} u\| - O(\|L^{\frac{1+2n}{2}} \chi_{\alpha} u\|^2, L^1) \quad (8.302)$$

Lemma 8.18 can now be used to replace the localisation in $\Psi(L^{-\delta}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_n)$ by localisation in $\Psi_{L^{-\delta} \leq |\boldsymbol{\xi}_n| \leq 1}$.

$$\langle u, [H, \Gamma_{n,n}]u \rangle \geq C\|L^{1-\frac{\epsilon}{2}-\delta} \Psi_{L^{-\delta} \leq |\boldsymbol{\xi}_n| \leq 1} \chi_{\alpha} u\| - O(\|L^{\frac{1+2n}{2}} \chi_{\alpha} u\|^2, L^1) \quad (8.303)$$

□

8.5 Phase Space Induction

The previous section shows that $\Gamma_{n, \frac{1}{2}}$ and $\Gamma_{n,n}$ majorate $L^{\frac{3}{2}+n-\epsilon}$ and $L^{1-\delta-\frac{\epsilon}{2}}$ respectively. We would like to integrate the Heisenberg identity to conclude that the time integral of the expectation value of these powers of L are bounded. However, our definition of majoration allows the domination to occur only in a region of phase space and the lower order terms to be unlocalised.

The lower order terms are $O(\|L^{\frac{1+2n}{2}} \chi_{\alpha} u\|^2, L^1)$. To control these terms, we use a finite induction on n , to eventually control $L^{1-\epsilon}$ without phase space localisation.

Following this, we use the control of $L^{1-\epsilon}$ in the conformal estimate to prove point wise in time, weighted L^6 decay, with bounds involving an additional L^{ϵ} factor.

Theorem 8.20 (Phase Space Induction). *If $\epsilon > 0$, then for $u \in \mathbb{S}$ a solution to the wave equation, $\ddot{u} + Hu = 0$, there is a constant, K_{PS} , such that*

$$\int_1^{\infty} \|L^{1-\frac{\epsilon}{2}} \chi_{\alpha} u(t)\|^2 dt \leq K_{PS}(E[u, \dot{u}] + \|u(1)\|^2) \quad (8.304)$$

Proof. This is proven for $\varepsilon = \delta + \epsilon$.

We induct on n to prove, simultaneously, the two statements

$$\|L^{\frac{3+n-\delta-\epsilon}{2}}\chi_\alpha u\|^2 = O(L^1), \quad (8.305)$$

$$\|L^{1-\delta}\chi([L^{1-n}, \infty), \frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_*})\chi_\alpha u\|^2 = O(L^1). \quad (8.306)$$

The induction will run from $n = 0$, in steps of size δ , as long as

$$n \leq \frac{1}{2} - 2\delta - \epsilon. \quad (8.307)$$

Each step in the induction will be proven by Morawetz type arguments using $\Gamma_{n,n}$ and $\Gamma_{n,\frac{1}{2}}$.

The base case of (8.305) follows from the angular modulation result, theorem 7.6, which says, in the notation of this section $\|L^{\frac{3}{2}}\chi_\alpha u\|^2 = O(L^1)$. The base case of (8.306) follows from the angular modulation theorem, theorem 7.6. For $n = 0$, since

$$l^{1-\delta}\chi([l, \infty), x) \leq l^{1-\delta}\chi([l^{1-\delta}, \infty), x) \leq x, \quad (8.308)$$

by the spectral theorem and corollary 7.7,

$$\|L^{1-\delta}\chi([L, \infty), \frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_*})\chi_\alpha u\|^2 \leq \|\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_*}\chi_\alpha u\|^2 = O(L^1). \quad (8.309)$$

The inductive step is now considered.

Morawetz type estimates with $\Gamma_{n,n}$ and $\Gamma_{n,\frac{1}{2}}$ will be used. Before these estimates are proven, $\|\Gamma_{n,m}u\|^2$ is shown to be bounded by the energy and a local decay term, under the condition $0 \leq n \leq m \leq \frac{1}{2}$.

To begin estimating the norm of $\Gamma_{n,m}u$, $\Gamma_{n,m}$ is expanded as a product of operators, and the factor of γ_{L^m} is expanded as a sum of two terms. These terms can be further simplified by eliminating bounded functions.

$$\|\Gamma_{n,m}u\| = \|\chi_\alpha \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) \gamma_{L^m} L^{n-\epsilon} \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) \chi_\alpha u\| \quad (8.310)$$

$$\leq \|\chi_\alpha \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) \frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_*} g_{L^m} L^{n-\epsilon} \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) \chi_\alpha u\| \quad (8.311)$$

$$+ \|\chi_\alpha \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) \frac{1}{2} \frac{L^m}{1 + (\frac{\rho_* L^m}{2M})^2} L^{n-\epsilon} \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) \chi_\alpha u\| \quad (8.312)$$

$$\leq \|\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) \frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_*} g_{L^m} L^{n-\epsilon} \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) \chi_\alpha u\| + \|L^{m+n-\epsilon} \chi_\alpha u\| \quad (8.313)$$

Interpolation can be used to simplify $\|L^{m+n-\epsilon} \chi_\alpha u\|$.

$$\|\Gamma_{n,m}u\| \leq \|\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) \frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_*} g_{L^m} L^{n-\epsilon} \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) \chi_\alpha u\| + C(E[u] + \|(1 + \rho_*^2)^{-1} u\|^2) \quad (8.314)$$

To control $\|\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) \frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_*} g_{L^m} L^{n-\epsilon} \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) \chi_\alpha u\|$, it is rewritten in terms of ξ_n and L , and its sub factors are rearranged.

$$\|\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) \frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_*} L^{n-\epsilon} g_{L^m} \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) \chi_\alpha u\| = \|L^{1-\epsilon} \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) \xi_n g_{L^m} \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) \chi_\alpha u\| \quad (8.315)$$

$$\leq \|L^{1-\epsilon} g_{L^m} \xi_n \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n)^2 \chi_\alpha u\| \quad (8.316)$$

$$+ \|L^{1-\epsilon} [\xi_n \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n), g_{L^m}] \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) \chi_\alpha u\| \quad (8.317)$$

The first of these two norms can be estimated by lemma 8.7. The second can be estimated using lemma 8.13 which states that the commutator is $L^{n+m-1}B$.

$$\|\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) \frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_*} L^{n-\epsilon} g_{L^m} \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) \chi_\alpha u\| \leq \|L^{\frac{1+n-\epsilon-2\epsilon}{1-\epsilon}} \chi_\alpha u\| + \|\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_*} \chi_\alpha u\| \quad (8.318)$$

$$+ \|L^{n+m-\epsilon} B \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) \chi_\alpha u\| \quad (8.319)$$

Since $\frac{1+n\epsilon-2\epsilon}{1-\epsilon} \leq 1$ and $n+m-\epsilon \leq 1$, each of the terms involving powers of L in this expression can be estimated by interpolation.

$$\|\Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*} L^{n-\epsilon} g_{L^m} \Phi_{a,\epsilon}(\xi_n) \chi_\alpha u\| \leq C(E[u] + \|(1+\rho_*^2)^{-1} u\|^2) \quad (8.320)$$

This completes the estimate on $\|\Gamma_{n,m} u\|$.

We can now integrate the Heisenberg like identity to find

$$\int_1^T \langle u, [H, \Gamma_{n,m}] u \rangle dt = \int \frac{d}{dt} (\langle u, \Gamma_{n,m} \dot{u} \rangle - \langle \dot{u}, \Gamma_{n,m} u \rangle) dt \quad (8.321)$$

$$= -2 \langle \dot{u}, \Gamma_{n,m} u \rangle \Big|_1^T \quad (8.322)$$

$$\leq C(E[u] + \|(1+\rho_*^2)^{-1} u(T)\|^2 + \|(1+\rho_*^2)^{-1} u(1)\|^2) \quad (8.323)$$

The second term on the right can be dropped since, by the local decay result, the norm $\|(1+\rho_*^2)^{-1} u(T)\|^2 \rightarrow 0$ on a sequence of times.

$$\int_1^T \langle u, [H, \Gamma_{n,m}] u \rangle dt \leq C(E[u] + \|(1+\rho_*^2)^{-1} u(1)\|^2) \quad (8.324)$$

The derivative localisation results, lemmas 8.19 and 8.16 can now be applied. From the inductive hypothesis (8.305) and condition (8.307), the lower order terms are integrable:

$$\|L^{\frac{1+2n}{2}} \chi_\alpha u\|^2 = O(L^1), \quad (8.325)$$

$$O(\|L^{\frac{1+2n}{2}} \chi_\alpha u\|^2, L^1) = O(L^1). \quad (8.326)$$

From inductive hypothesis (8.306) and the second derivative localising result, lemma 8.19,

$$\|L^{1-\delta} \chi([L^{1-n-\delta}, \infty), \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*}) \chi_\alpha u\|^2 = \|L^{1-\delta} \chi([L^{1-n-\delta}, L^{1-n}), \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*}) \chi_\alpha u\|^2 \quad (8.327)$$

$$+ \|L^{1-\delta} \chi([L^{1-n}, \infty), \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*}) \chi_\alpha u\|^2 \quad (8.328)$$

$$= \|L^{1-\delta} \Psi_{L^{-\delta} \leq |\xi_n| \leq 1} \chi_\alpha u\|^2 \quad (8.329)$$

$$+ \|L^{1-\delta} \chi([L^{1-n}, \infty), \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*}) \chi_\alpha u\|^2 \quad (8.330)$$

$$\leq \langle u, [H, \Gamma_{n,n}] u \rangle + O(\|L^{\frac{1+2n}{2}} \chi_\alpha u\|^2, L^1) \quad (8.331)$$

$$+ O(L^1). \quad (8.332)$$

Integration in time extends inductive hypothesis (8.306) to $n+\delta$

$$\int_1^\infty \|L^{1-\delta} \chi([L^{1-n-\delta}, \infty), \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*}) \chi_\alpha u\|^2 dt \leq C(E[u] + \|u(1)\|^2). \quad (8.333)$$

From condition (8.307), it follows that $\frac{\frac{3}{2}+n-\epsilon}{2} < 1-\delta$. From the first derivative localising result, lemma 8.16,

$$\|L^{\frac{\frac{3}{2}+n-\epsilon}{2}} \chi_\alpha u\|^2 = \|L^{\frac{\frac{3}{2}+n-\epsilon}{2}} \chi([0, L^{1-n}], \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*}) \chi_\alpha u\|^2 \quad (8.334)$$

$$+ \|L^{\frac{\frac{3}{2}+n-\epsilon}{2}} \chi([L^{1-n}, \infty), \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*}) \chi_\alpha u\|^2 \quad (8.335)$$

$$\leq \|L^{\frac{\frac{3}{2}+n-\epsilon}{2}} \Phi_{|\xi_n| \leq 1} \chi_\alpha u\|^2 + \|L^{1-\delta} \chi([L^{1-n}, \infty), \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_*}) \chi_\alpha u\|^2 \quad (8.336)$$

$$\leq \langle u, [H, \Gamma_{n, \frac{1}{2}}] u \rangle + O(\|L^{\frac{1+2n}{2}} \chi_\alpha u\|^2, L^1) + O(L^1). \quad (8.337)$$

Integration in time extends inductive hypothesis (8.305) up to $n + \delta$.

$$\int_1^\infty \|L^{\frac{3+n-\epsilon}{2}} \chi_\alpha u\|^2 dt \leq C(E[u] + \|u(1)\|^2) \quad (8.338)$$

Since the induction continues as long as condition (8.307) holds, after the last application of equation (8.338),

$$\int_1^\infty \|L^{\frac{2-2\delta-2\epsilon}{2}} \chi_\alpha u\|^2 dt \leq C(E[u] + \|u(1)\|^2) \quad (8.339)$$

This proves the desired result with $\varepsilon = \delta - \epsilon$. Since δ and ϵ can be taken to be arbitrarily small, so can ε . $\square$

Except for the loss of L^ϵ , this provides the control on the angular energy near the photon sphere required by corollary 7.8 to control the weighted L^6 norm. Since the wave equation is linear and L commutes with H , $L^\epsilon u$ is also a solution, and the energy of this function can be used to recover the additional factor of $L^\epsilon u$.

Theorem 8.21. *If $\tilde{u}$ is a solution to the wave equation (2.21), and $u = r\tilde{u}$, then*

$$\|F^{\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{u}\|_{L^6(\mathfrak{M})} \leq t^{-\frac{1}{3}} C(\|u_0\|_2^2 + E[u_0, u_1] + E_C[u_0, u_1] + \|L^\epsilon u\|^2 + E[L^\epsilon u_0, L^\epsilon u_1])^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (8.340)$$

$$\|(\rho_*^2 + 1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{u}\|_{L^2(\mathfrak{M})} \leq t^{-\frac{1}{2}} C(\|u_0\|_2^2 + E[u_0, u_1] + E_C[u_0, u_1] + \|L^\epsilon u\|^2 + E[L^\epsilon u_0, L^\epsilon u_1])^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (8.341)$$

Proof. If $\tilde{u}$ is a solution to equation (2.21), then u is a solution to equation (2.24). The weighted L^6 norms are related.

$$\|F^{\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{u}\|_{L^6(\mathfrak{M})}^6 = \int F^3 |\tilde{u}|^6 d^3 \mu \quad (8.342)$$

$$= \int F^3 r^{-4} |u|^6 d^3 \mu. \quad (8.343)$$

Since the wave equation equation (2.24) is linear and H commutes with L , if u is a solution, then so is $L^\epsilon u$. The phase space induction theorem, theorem 8.20, can be applied to $L^\epsilon u$.

$$\|L^{1-\epsilon} \chi_\alpha (L^\epsilon u)\|^2 = O(L^1), \quad (8.344)$$

$$\int \|L \chi_\alpha u\|^2 dt \leq C(\|L^\epsilon u\|^2 + E[L^\epsilon u, L^\epsilon \dot{u}]). \quad (8.345)$$

This is the term which is needed to control the conformal charge in the reduction to angular energy on the photon sphere, corollary 7.8.

$$E_C[u(t), \dot{u}(t)] \leq E_C[u_0, u_1] + CtE[u_0, u_1]^{\frac{1}{2}} (E[u_0, u_1]^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|u_0\|) \quad (8.346)$$

$$+ Ct \int \chi_\alpha |\nabla_{S^2} u|^2 d^3 \mu d\tau \quad (8.347)$$

$$\leq E_C[u_0, u_1] + CtE[u_0, u_1]^{\frac{1}{2}} (E[u_0, u_1]^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|u_0\|) \quad (8.348)$$

$$+ Ct(\|L^\epsilon u_0\|^2 + E[L^\epsilon u_0, L^\epsilon u_1]) \quad (8.349)$$

Since the conformal charge controls the weighted L^6 norm, we have

$$\|F^{\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{u}\|_{L^6(\mathfrak{M})} \leq \left(\int F^3 r^{-4} |u|^6 d^3 \mu \right)^{\frac{1}{6}} \quad (8.350)$$

$$\leq Ct^{\frac{-1}{3}} (\|u_0\|^2 + E[u_0, u_1] + E_C[u_0, u_1] + \|L^\epsilon u_0\|^2 + E[L^\epsilon u_0, L^\epsilon u_1]). \quad (8.351)$$

Similarly, since lemma 3.6 controls the weighted L^2 norm by the conformal charge,

$$\langle \tilde{u}, \frac{1}{\rho_*^2 + 1} \tilde{u} \rangle_{L^2(\mathfrak{M})} = \langle u, \frac{1}{\rho_*^2 + 1} u \rangle \quad (8.352)$$

$$\leq Ct^{-2} E_C[u(t), \dot{u}(t)] \quad (8.353)$$

$$\leq Ct^{-2} E_C[u_0, u_1] + Ct^{-1} (E[u_0, u_1] + \|u_0\|^2 + \|L^\epsilon u_0\|^2 + E[L^\epsilon u_0, L^\epsilon u_1]) \quad (8.354)$$

$\square$

Acknowledgements

We wish to thank the anonymous referee and J. Sterbenz.

These results originally appeared as part of the Ph.D. Dissertation of P. Blue, “Decay estimates and phase space analysis for wave equations on some black hole metrics” [3], submitted in October 2004. Both authors would like to thank the NSF for partial support under grants NSF DMS 0100490 (P. Blue) and 0501043 (A. Soffer).

References

- [1] A. Bachelot, *Asymptotic completeness for the Klein-Gordon equation on the Schwarzschild metric*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Phys. Théor **61** (1994), 411–441.
- [2] A. Bachelot and J.-P. Nicolas, *Equation non linéaire de Klein-Gordon dans des métriques de type Schwarzschild*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Série I Math **316** (1993), 1047–1050.
- [3] P. Blue, *Decay estimates and phase space analysis for wave equations on some black hole metrics*, Ph.D. thesis, Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, New Brunswick, NJ, October 2004.
- [4] P. Blue and A. Soffer, *Semilinear wave equations on the Schwarzschild manifold I: Local decay estimates*, Advances in Differential Equations **8** (2003), 595–614.
- [5] ———, *The wave equation on the schwarzschild metric. II. local decay for the spin-2 Regge-Wheeler equation*, J. Math. Phys. **46** (2005), 012502, 9pp.
- [6] N. Burq, F. Planchon, J. Stalker, and A. S. Tahvildar-Zadeh, *Strichartz estimates for the wave and Schrödinger equations with the inverse-square potential*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. **9** (2003), 1387–1400.
- [7] D. Christodoulou and S. Klainerman, *The global nonlinear stability of the Minkowski space*, Princeton Mathematical Series **41** (1993).
- [8] C.-M. Claudel, K. S. Virbhadra, and G. F. R. Ellis, *The geometry of photon surfaces*, J. Math. Phys. **42** (2001), no. 2, 818–838.
- [9] M. Dafermos and I. Rodnianski, *A proof of Price’s law for the collapse of a self-gravitating scalar field*, Preprint, xxx.lanl.gov **gr-qc/0309115** (2003).
- [10] ———, *Small- amplitude nonlinear waves on a black hole background*, Preprint, xxx.lanl.gov **math.AP/0503024** (2005).
- [11] S. DeBièvre, P. D. Hislop, and I. M. Sigal, *Scattering theory for the wave equation on noncompact manifolds*, Rev. Math. Phys **4** (1992), 575–608.
- [12] J. Dereziński and C. Gérard, *Scattering theory of classical and quantum N-particle systems*, Springer, 1997.
- [13] J. Dimock, *Scattering for the wave equation on the Schwarzschild metric*, Gen. Relativity and Gravitation **17** (353-369), 1985.
- [14] J. Dimock and B. S. Kay, *Classical and quantum scattering theory for linear scalar fields on the Schwarzschild metric I*, Ann. Phys. **175** (1987), 366–426.
- [15] G. F. R. Ellis and S. F. Hawking, *The large scale structure of space-time*, Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics, Cambridge University Press, 1973.
- [16] L. Evans, *Partial differential equations*, vol. 19, American Mathematical Society, 1998.
- [17] F. Finster, N. Kamran, J. Smoller, and S.-T. Yau, *Decay rates and probability estimates for massive Dirac particles in the Kerr-Newman black hole geometry*, Comm. Math. Phys. **230** (2002), 201–244.

- [18] J. Ginibre and G. Velo, *Conformal invariance and time decay for non linear wave equations. I*, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré **47** (1973), 221–261.
- [19] A. Hassell, T. Tao, and J. Wunsch, *A Strichartz inequality for the Schrödinger equation on non- trapping asymptotically conic manifolds.*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **30** (2005), 157–205.
- [20] W. Hunziker and I. M. Sigal, *The quantum N-body problem*, J. Math. Phys. **41** (2000), 3448–3510.
- [21] H. Koyama and A. Tomimatsu, *Slowly decaying tails of massive scalar fields in spherically symmetric spacetimes*, Phys Rev D **65** (2002), 084031.
- [22] I. Laba and A. Soffer, *Global existence and scattering for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation on Schwarzschild manifolds*, Helv. Phys. Acta **72** (1999), 274–294.
- [23] H. Lindblad and I. Rodnianski, *Global existence for the Einstein vacuum equations in wave coordinates*, Preprint, xxx.lanl.gov **math.AP/0312479** (2003).
- [24] S. Machihara, M. Nakamura, K. Nakanishi, and T. Ozawa, *Endpoint Strichartz estimates and global solutions for the nonlinear Dirac equation*, J. Funct. Anal. **219** (2005), 1–20.
- [25] C. W. Misner, K. S. Thorne, and J. A. Wheeler, *Gravitation*, Freeman, 1973.
- [26] J.P. Nicolas, *Nonlinear Klein-Gordon equation on Schwarzschild-like metrics*, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) **74** (1995), 35–58.
- [27] T. Regge and J.A. Wheeler, *Stability of a Schwarzschild singularity*, Phys. Rev. **108** (1957), 1063–1069.
- [28] I. M. Sigal and A. Soffer, *The N-particle scattering problem: asymptotic completeness for short-range systems*, Ann. of Math. (2) **126** (1987), 35–108.
- [29] A. Soffer, *On the many body problem in quantum mechanics*, Asterisque **207** (1992), 109–152.
- [30] E. Stein, *Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions*, Princeton University Press, 1971.
- [31] J. Sterbenz, *Angular regularity and Strichartz estimates for the wave equation*, Int. Math. Res. Not. (2005), no. 4, 187–231.
- [32] K. S. Virbhadra and G. F. R. Ellis, *Schwarzschild black hole lensing*, Phys. Rev. D **62** (2000), no. 084003, 8.
- [33] R.M. Wald, *Note on the stability of the Schwarzschild metric*, J. Math. Phys. **20** (1979), 1056–1058.
- [34] B.F. Whiting, *Mode stability of the Kerr black hole*, J. Math. Phys. **30** (1989), 1301–1305.